

ON THE DERIVED NORMAL RINGS OF A NOETHERIAN INTEGRAL DOMAIN

BY JONG GEUN PARK

1. It is well known that the derived normal ring of a noetherian integral domain is a Krull domain, which is a theorem of Mori-Nagata. J. Nishimura proved the theorem using properties of a complete local ring ([5]) and J. Querrè proved the same theorem without using either completion or properties of a complete local ring ([7]). In this paper we obtain some properties of an ideal transform and the derived normal ring of a noetherian integral domain, which give another proof of the theorem.

2. In this paper all rings are commutative with identity and a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) means a noetherian commutative ring A with only one maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . We denote the Krull dimension of a ring A by $\dim A$.

PROPOSITION 1. ([3], (33.2)) *Let A be a noetherian integral domain with field of quotients K , Let L be a finite algebraic extension of K , and let B be a ring between A and L . If $\dim A=1$, then B is noetherian with $\dim B \leq 1$ and for any non-zero element a in A , B/aB is a finite A -module.*

Proof. If $\dim A=1$, it is well-known Krull-Akizuki's theorem and so we omit the proof.

Let I be an ideal of a ring A , and let $Q(A)$ be the total quotient ring of A . $A(I) = \{x \in Q(A) : xI^n \subset A \text{ for some natural number } n\}$ is called the ideal transform of A with respect to I . We denote the derived normal ring of an integral domain A by \bar{A} , which is the integral closure of A in its field of quotients.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local domain and $\dim A \geq 2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) $A(\mathfrak{m}) = A$, (2) $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \bar{A} = A$.*

Proof. Since $\dim A \geq 2$, we have $x\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ for $x \in A : \mathfrak{m}$, and it follows that $A(\mathfrak{m}) \neq A$ implies $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \bar{A} \neq A$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local domain and $\dim A = n \geq 2$. Suppose for any noetherian integral domain B with $\dim B < n$, \bar{B} is a Krull domain.*

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $A(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \bar{A}$, (2) \bar{A} has no maximal ideal of height 1.

Proof. In view of Proposition (1.2) in [6] it follows that (2) implies (1). Now we shall prove (1) implies (2). By definition $A(\mathfrak{m}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r A_{f_i}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = f_1 A + \dots + f_r A$. Since $\dim A_{f_i} < \dim A = n$, by hypothesis \bar{A}_{f_i} , $i = 1, \dots, r$, is a Krull domain. Hence $\bar{A} = \overline{A(\mathfrak{m})} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \bar{A}_{f_i}$ is a Krull domain.

Now suppose there is a maximal ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ of \bar{A} with $\text{ht } \bar{\mathfrak{m}} = 1$. Then for non-zero element a in \mathfrak{m} , $a\bar{A} = \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{(e)} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2^{(e_2)} \cap \dots \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_n^{(e_n)}$. Since $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{(e)} + I = \bar{A}$ with $I = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2^{(e_2)} \cap \dots \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_n^{(e_n)}$, we have $b + c = 1$ for some $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{(e)}$ and $c \in I$.

Suppose there exist two distinct maximal ideals $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ in \bar{A} such that $\mathfrak{m}' = \bar{\mathfrak{m}} \cap A[b] = \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap A[b]$. Then, as $I \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, $c \in \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $b \notin \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. On the other hand $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{(e)} \cap A[b] \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the only one maximal ideal of \bar{A} which lies over \mathfrak{m}' .

Let $A_1 = A[b]$, $\text{rad } A_1 = \bar{\mathfrak{m}}$, and $\mathfrak{m}' = \bar{\mathfrak{m}} \cap A_1$. Then, since $A(\mathfrak{m})$ is noetherian ([1]), $A_1(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ is a finite $A(\mathfrak{m})$ -module, and $A_1(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset \overline{A(\mathfrak{m})} = \bar{A} = \bar{A}_1$. Hence $\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \supset A_1(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}'} = (A_1)_{\mathfrak{m}'}(\mathfrak{m}') = K$ because $\dim (A_1)_{\mathfrak{m}'} = 1$. This is a contradiction. Therefore \bar{A} has no maximal ideal of height 1.

PROPOSITION 4. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local domain and $B = A(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \bar{A}$. Then the set of maximal ideals of \bar{A} with height 1 and the set of maximal ideals of B with height 1 are in one to one correspondence.

Proof. $(B, \mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_r)$ is a semi-local domain with Jacobson radical $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \dots \mathfrak{n}_r$ ([1]). Suppose that $\mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ are maximal ideals of height 1 and that $\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_r$ are maximal ideals of height > 1 . Then $B(\mathfrak{n}) = \bigcap_{j>\alpha} B_{\mathfrak{n}_j}(\mathfrak{n}_j) = B_T(\mathfrak{n}_T)$, where $T = B - \bigcup_{j>\alpha} \mathfrak{n}_j$. Since $B(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \bar{B} = A(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \bar{A} = B$, $B_{\mathfrak{n}_j} = (B(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \bar{B})_{\mathfrak{n}_j} = B_{\mathfrak{n}_j}(\mathfrak{n}_j) \cap \bar{B}_{\mathfrak{n}_j}$ for $j > \alpha$. Hence by Proposition 2, $B_{\mathfrak{n}_j} = B_{\mathfrak{n}_j}(\mathfrak{n}_j)$ for $j > \alpha$ and $B_T(\mathfrak{n}_T) = B(\mathfrak{n})_T = B_T \subset \bar{B}_T$. Thus by Proposition 3, \bar{B}_T has no maximal ideal of height 1. Therefore if $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a maximal ideal of \bar{A} with $\text{ht } \bar{\mathfrak{m}} = 1$ and if $\mathfrak{n} = \bar{\mathfrak{m}} \cap B$, then $\text{ht } \mathfrak{n} = 1$.

Now let $S_i = B - \mathfrak{n}_i$ for $i \leq \alpha$. Then $B_{S_i} = (A(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \bar{A})_{S_i} = A(\mathfrak{m})_{S_i} \cap \bar{A}_{S_i} = \bar{A}_{S_i}$. Hence $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{n}_i B_{S_i} \cap \bar{A}$ is the only maximal ideal of \bar{A} which lies \mathfrak{n}_i .

PROPOSITION 5. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local domain with $\dim A = n \geq 2$. Suppose that \bar{R} is a Krull domain for any noetherian integral domain R of dimension $< n$. Then \bar{A} is a Krull domain.

Proof. Let $B = A(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \bar{A}$. Then $(B, \mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_r)$ is a semi-local domain. Let \mathfrak{n}_i , $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, be maximal ideals of height 1, and let \mathfrak{n}_j , $j > \alpha$, be maximal

ideals of height > 1 . Then $\bar{B} = (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\alpha} \bar{B}_{n_i}) \cap (\bigcap_{j=\alpha+1}^r \bar{B}_{n_j})$, where \bar{B}_{n_i} , $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, is a discrete valuation ring by Proposition 4. For $j > \alpha$, $B_{n_j}(n_j) \subset \bar{B}_{n_j}$ and $B_{n_j}(n_j) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{e_j} (B_{n_j})_{b_{jk}}$, where $n_j = (b_{j1}, \dots, b_{je_j})$. Hence $\bar{B}_{n_j} = \overline{B_{n_j}(n_j)} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{e_j} \overline{(B_{n_j})_{b_{jk}}}$. Since $\dim \overline{(B_{n_j})_{b_{jk}}} \leq n - 1$, by hypothesis $\overline{(B_{n_j})_{b_{jk}}}$ is a Krull domain and hence $\bar{A} = \bar{B}$ is a Krull domain.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let A be a noetherian integral domain with $\dim A = n \geq 2$. Suppose that \bar{R} is a Krull domain for any local domain R with $\dim R \leq n$. Then \bar{A} is a Krull domain.*

Proof. $\bar{A} = \bigcap_m \bar{A}_m$, where the intersection runs through all maximal ideals of A . By hypothesis each \bar{A}_m is a Krull domain, so it is sufficient to show that for any non-zero element a in A , $\mathcal{F} = \{\bar{p} \in \text{Spec}(\bar{A}) : \text{ht } \bar{p} = 1, a \in \bar{p}\}$ is a finite set. Thus we shall prove the following:

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\bar{p} : \bar{p} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}, \bar{p} \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is finite.
- (2) For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\bar{p} \in \mathcal{F} : \bar{p} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}\}$ is finite.

Proof of (2). The number of elements in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the number of maximal ideals in $\bar{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with height 1 which lies over the maximal ideal of the local domain $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $B = A_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \bar{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then B is a semi-local domain ([1]). Hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite set by Proposition 4.

Proof of (1). Let $\bar{p} = \bar{p} \cap A$, $\bar{p} \in \mathcal{F}$. If $\text{ht } \bar{p} = 1$, then $\bar{p} \in \text{Ass}(aA)$. Thus the number of \bar{p} with $\text{ht } \bar{p} = 1$ is finite. Now suppose $\text{ht } \bar{p} > 1$. If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $A_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \bar{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $\bar{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has no maximal ideal of height 1 by Proposition 3. This is a contradiction. Therefore $A_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{p}) \not\subseteq A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Now we need a lemma.

LEMMA. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local domain with field of quotients K . If $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cong A$ then for any $0 \neq b$ in \mathfrak{m} , \mathfrak{m} is an associated prime divisor of bA .*

Proof of Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) = (a_1, \dots, a_r, b)$. Then $A(\mathfrak{m}) = \bigcap_{j=1}^r A_{a_j} \cap A_b \cong A$. Hence there is an element x in $A(\mathfrak{m})$ but $x \notin A$. We may assume $x\mathfrak{m} \subset A$. Write $x = d/b^i$. Then, as $x\mathfrak{m} \subset A$, $d\mathfrak{m} \subset b^i A$ i.e., $b^i : d \supset \mathfrak{m}$.

If $b^i : d \neq \mathfrak{m}$, then $b^i : d = A$ and $d = b^i a$ for, some a in A . Hence $x \in A$, which is a contradiction. Thus $b^i : d = \mathfrak{m}$. Now consider $b^{i-1} : d \supset \mathfrak{m}$. Then it follows that either there is an element c in A such that $b : c = \mathfrak{m}$ or $b^{i-1} : d = \mathfrak{m}$. Consequently, we have $b : c = \mathfrak{m}$ for some c in A . Lemma is proved.

By Lemma \bar{p} is an associated prime ideal of aA but the number of associated prime ideals of aA is finite. Hence \mathcal{F}_0 is finite.

PROPOSITION 7. ([3], (33.10)) *The derived normal ring \bar{A} of a noetherian integral domain A is a Krull domain.*

Proof. In view of Propositions 1, 5 and 6 the assertion is true for any noetherian domain of finite Krull dimension.

For a general noetherian domain A , since $\dim A_{\mathfrak{m}} < \infty$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and $\bar{A} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} \bar{A}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, to get the assertion, it is sufficient to show that for any $0 \neq a$ in \bar{A} , $\mathcal{F} = \{\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Spec}(\bar{A}) : \text{ht } \bar{\mathfrak{p}} = 1, a \in \bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ is a finite set. But the same reasoning as in Proposition 6 gives the claim.

PROPOSITION 8. ([3], (33.12)) *The derived normal ring \bar{A} of a noetherian integral domain A of Krull dimension ≤ 2 is again noetherian.*

Proof. If $\dim A \leq 1$, the assertion is clear by Proposition 1. Suppose $\dim A = 2$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a prime ideal of \bar{A} with height 1 and let $\mathfrak{p} = \bar{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A$. If $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not maximal, then $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$. Since the quotient field $\kappa(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ of $\bar{A}/\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite algebraic extension of the quotient field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ of A/\mathfrak{p} and $\dim (A/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$, by Proposition 1 $\bar{A}/\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ is noetherian. Thus by Mori-Nishimura's theorem ([4], Theorem), \bar{A} is noetherian.

Note that in Proposition 1 B is not necessarily finite ([3], p. 205, Example 3). In Proposition 8 if B is a ring between A and \bar{A} , then B is not necessarily noetherian ([3], p. 207, Example 4), and in Proposition 7 even A is not necessarily noetherian ([3], p. 207, Example 5).

The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor M. Nagata and Dr. J. Nishimura for their valuable suggestions.

References

1. J. Matijevic, *Maximal ideal transforms of noetherian rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **54** (1976), 49-52.
2. Y. Mori, *On the integral closure of an integral domain II*, Bull. Kyoto Gakugei Univ. Ser. B. No. **7** (1955), 19-30.
3. M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience, 1962.
4. J. Nishimura, *Note on Krull domain*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **15-2** (1975), 397-400.
5. J. Nishimura, *Note on integral closures of a noetherian integral domain*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **16-1** (1976), 117-122.
6. J. Nishimura, *On ideal transforms of noetherian rings*, I, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **19-1** (1979), 41-46.
7. J. Querré, *Sur un théorème de Mori-Nagata*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. **285** (1977), 323-324.