

**ON CONNECTIONS WITH TORSIONS AND THOSE  
CURVATURE TENSORS IN RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS II \***

BY SANG-SEUP EUM

**I. A characterization of a Kaehlerian manifold by a curvature tensor formed with an  $F$ -connection with torsion.**

§1. *Preliminaries.*

We consider a Kaehlerian manifold  $M$  of real  $2n$  dimensions ( $n \geq 2$ ) covered by a coordinate neighborhoods  $\{U; x^h\}$  and denote by  $g_{ji}$  and  $F_i^h$  components of the Hermitian metric tensor and those of the Kaehlerian structure tensor of  $M$  respectively, where, here and in the sequel, the indices  $h, i, j, \dots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ .

Let  $'D$  be an affine connection with torsion in a Kaehlerian manifold  $M$ . We denote by  $'\Gamma_{kj}^h$  the components of the connection  $'D$  and by  $'D_j$  the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $'\Gamma_{kj}^h$ .

If the affine connection  $'D$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad 'D_k g_{ji} = -2p_k g_{ji},$$

$$(1.2) \quad 'D_k F_{ji} = -2p_k F_{ji} \quad (\text{or } 'D_k F_j^h = 0),$$

$$(1.3) \quad '\Gamma_{ji}^h - '\Gamma_{ij}^h = -2F_{ji}q^h,$$

where  $F_{ji} = g_{ih}F_j^h$ , for a certain non zero covector field  $p_k$  and a vector field  $q^h$ , then  $'D$  is called a *complex Weyl-Hlavaty connection* [4].

Solving (1.1) and (1.3) with respect to  $'\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , it is easily obtained that

$$(1.4) \quad '\Gamma_{ji}^h = \{j^h_i\} + \delta_j^h p_i + \delta_i^h p_j - g_{ji} p^h + F_j^h q_i + F_i^h q_j - F_{ji} q^h,$$

where  $p^h = p_t g^{th}$ ,  $q^h = q_t g^{th}$  and  $\{j^h_i\}$  are the Christoffel symbols of  $M$ .

Computing  $'D_k F_{ji}$  and taking account of (1.4), we obtain

$$(n-1)(p_t F_i^t + q_i) = 0,$$

from which

$$(1.5) \quad q_i = -p_t F_i^t, \quad p_i = q_t F_i^t.$$

---

Received October 20, 1981.

\*) This research is supported by the research grant (1981) of the Ministry of Education.

In a previous paper [1], we considered an affine connection  $D$  whose components  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  are related to those of complex Weyl-Hlavaty connection  $'D$  by

$$(1.6) \quad \Gamma_{ji}^h = ' \Gamma_{ji}^h - p_j \delta_i^h,$$

that is,

$$(1.7) \quad \Gamma_{ji}^h = \{j^h_i\} + \delta_j^h p_i - g_{ji} p^h + F_j^h q_i + F_i^h q_j - F_{ji} q^h$$

in a Kaehlerian manifold  $M$ .

(Such a connection  $D$  is called "a semi-symmetric metric  $F$ -connection" in [5].)

Denoting by  $R_{kji}^h$  the curvature tensor of  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , we obtain

$$(1.8) \quad R_{kji}^h = K_{kji}^h - \delta_k^h p_{ji} + \delta_j^h p_{ki} - p_k^h g_{ji} + p_j^h g_{ki} - F_k^h q_{ji} \\ + F_j^h q_{ki} - q_k^h F_{ji} + q_j^h F_{ki} - \alpha_{kj} F_i^h - F_{kj} \beta_i^h,$$

where  $K_{kji}^h$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$ ,

$$(1.9) \quad p_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i - p_j p_i + q_j q_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda g_{ji},$$

$$(1.10) \quad q_{ji} = \nabla_j q_i - p_j q_i - q_j p_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda F_{ji},$$

$\lambda$  being defined by  $\lambda = p_i p^i = q_i q^i$ ,

$$(1.11) \quad \alpha_{ji} = -(\nabla_j q_i - \nabla_i q_j), \quad \beta_{ji} = 2(p_j q_i - q_j p_i)$$

and  $p_k^h = p_{kt} g^{th}$ ,  $q_k^h = q_{kt} g^{th}$ ,  $\beta_i^h = \beta_{it} g^{th}$ .

The following relations are easily checked.

$$(1.12) \quad p_{ji} = q_{jt} F_i^t, \quad q_{ji} = -p_{jt} F_i^t,$$

$$(1.13) \quad \alpha_{ji} = -(q_{ji} - q_{ij} - \lambda F_{ji}).$$

§ 2. *A certain  $F$ -connection which is closely related to the complex Weyl-Hlavaty connection.*

In this section, we use the fact that if

$$\Gamma_{ji}^h = \{j^h_i\} + T_{ji}^h,$$

$T_{ji}^h$  being a tensor field of type (1, 2), then the curvature tensor  $R_{kji}^h$  formed with  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad R_{kji}^h = K_{kji}^h + \nabla_k T_{ji}^h - \nabla_j T_{ki}^h + T_{kt}^h T_{ji}^t - T_{jt}^h T_{ki}^t.$$

In this place, we want to seek out an  $F$ -connection  $*D$  in a Kaehlerian manifold  $M$  such that if the curvature tensor formed with the components of

\* $D$  vanishes then  $M$  is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

For this aim, it is desirable that the tensor  $p_{ji}$  in (1.8) is symmetric. By this reason, we firstly put

$$(2.2) \quad (1)\Gamma_{ji}^h = \Gamma_{ji}^h - p_j F_i^h,$$

where  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  are the components of a metric connection  $D$  defined by (1.7).

Denoting by  $(1)D_j$ , the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $(1)\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , we find that  $(1)D_j$  is an  $F$ -connection, that is,  $(1)D_k F_j^i = 0$  and the curvature tensor  $(1)R_{kji}^h$  of  $(1)\Gamma_{ji}^h$  is of the form:

$$(2.3) \quad (1)R_{kji}^h = R_{kji}^h - (p_{kj} - p_{jk}) F_i^h,$$

where  $R_{kji}^h$  is the curvature tensor of  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  defined by (1.7).

Secondly, it is desirable for our aim that the expecting  $F$ -connection annihilates the terms  $-F_k^h q_{ji} + F_j^h q_{ki}$  in (1.8). By this reason, we put

$$(2.4) \quad (2)\Gamma_{ji}^h = (1)\Gamma_{ji}^h - F_j^h q_i.$$

Then the curvature tensor  $(2)R_{kji}^h$  of  $(2)\Gamma_{ji}^h$  is of the form

$$(2.5) \quad (2)R_{kji}^h = (1)R_{kji}^h - F_j^h (1)D_k q_i + F_k^h (1)D_j q_i \\ - q_i (1)\Gamma_{kj}^t - (1)\Gamma_{jk}^t F_i^h \\ + p_j q_i F_k^h - p_k q_i F_j^h.$$

From (1.6) and (2.2), we easily obtain

$$(2.6) \quad (1)D_k q_i = \nabla_k q_i - q_k p_i - p_k q_i - p_i q_k + \lambda F_{ki} + p_k p_i,$$

$$(2.7) \quad (1)\Gamma_{kj}^h - (1)\Gamma_{jk}^h = -2F_{kj} q^h - \delta_k^h p_j + \delta_j^h p_k - p_k F_j^h - p_j F_k^h.$$

Finally, it is also desirable that the expecting  $F$ -connection annihilates the terms  $-\delta_k^h p_{ji} + \delta_j^h p_{ki}$  in (1.8), so we put

$$(2.8) \quad * \Gamma_{ji}^h = (2)\Gamma_{ji}^h - \delta_j^h p_i.$$

Denoting by  $(2)D_k$  the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $(2)\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , we find that the curvature tensor  $*R_{kji}^h$  of  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$  is of the form:

$$(2.9) \quad *R_{kji}^h = (2)R_{kji}^h - \delta_j^h (2)D_k p_i + p_k p_i + \delta_k^h (2)D_j p_i + p_j p_i \\ - p_i (2)\Gamma_{kj}^h - (2)\Gamma_{jk}^h.$$

From (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), we easily obtain

$$(2.10) \quad (2)D_k p_i = \nabla_k p_i - p_k p_i + \lambda g_{ki} + q_i q_k - p_k q_i,$$

$$(2.11) \quad (2)\Gamma_{ji}^h - (2)\Gamma_{ij}^h = -2F_{ji} q^h - \delta_i^h p_j + \delta_j^h p_i - p_j F_i^h + p_i F_j^h - F_j^h q_i + F_i^h q_j.$$

In the sequel, we introduced an  $F$ -connection  $*D$  whose components  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$

are given by

$$(2.12) \quad {}^* \Gamma_{ji}{}^h = {}' \Gamma_{ji}{}^h - p_j \delta_i{}^h - p_j F_i{}^h - F_j{}^h q_i - \delta_j{}^h p_i,$$

where  ${}' \Gamma_{ji}{}^h$  are the components of the complex Weyl-Hlavaty connection  ${}' D$ . Then (2.12) is written as

$$(2.13) \quad {}^* \Gamma_{ji}{}^h = \{j^h{}_i\} + q_j F_i{}^h - p_j F_i{}^h - g_{ji} p^h - F_{ji} q^h$$

by the help of (1.4).

Substituting (2.12) into (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) and denoting by  ${}^* D_k$  the covariant differentiation with respect to  ${}^* \Gamma_{ji}{}^h$ , we find

$$(2.14) \quad {}^* D_k g_{ji} = g_{kj} p_i + g_{ki} p_j + F_{kj} q_i + F_{ki} q_j,$$

$$(2.15) \quad {}^* D_k F_{ji} = g_{kj} q_i - g_{ki} q_j - F_{kj} p_i + F_{ki} p_j, \quad (\text{or } {}^* D_k F_j{}^h = 0).$$

In this case, we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad {}^* \Gamma_{ji}{}^h - {}^* \Gamma_{ij}{}^h = -2F_{ji} q^h + (q_j - p_j) F_i{}^h - (q_i - p_i) F_j{}^h,$$

and we call  ${}^* D$  an  $F$ -connection which is closely related to the complex Weyl-Hlavaty connection.

Substituting (1.8), (2.3) and (2.5) into (2.9) successively, we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^* R_{kji}{}^h &= K_{kji}{}^h - g_{ji} (p_k{}^h - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \delta_k{}^h) + g_{ki} (p_j{}^h - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \delta_j{}^h) \\ &\quad - F_{ji} (q_k{}^h - \frac{1}{2} \lambda F_k{}^h) + F_{ki} (q_j{}^h - \frac{1}{2} \lambda F_j{}^h) \\ &\quad + (q_{kj} - q_{jk} - \lambda F'_{kj}) F_i{}^h - (p_{kj} - p_{jk}) F_i{}^h, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{ji}$  and  $q_{ji}$  are defined by (1.9) and (1.10) respectively.

§3. A characterization of a Kaehlerian manifold by the curvature tensor  ${}^* R_{kji}{}^h$  defined by (2.17).

In this section, we prove the following

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let a real  $2n$ -dimensional Kaehlerian manifold  $M(n \geq 2)$  admit the complex Weyl-Hlavaty connection. If the curvature tensor formed with the components of the connection  ${}^* D$  defined by (2.13) vanishes locally, then  $M$  is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature locally, and vice-versa.*

*Proof.* Assuming that

$$(3.1) \quad {}^* R_{kji}{}^h = 0,$$

we can write (2.17) in covariant form as

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{kjih} = & g_{ji}(\rho_{kh} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda g_{kh}) - g_{ki}(\rho_{jh} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda g_{jh}) + F_{ji}(q_{kh} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda F_{kh}) \\
 (3.2) \quad & - F_{ki}(q_{jh} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda F_{jh}) - (q_{kj} - q_{jk} - \lambda F_{kj})F_{ih} + (\rho_{kj} - \rho_{jk})F_{ih}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For briefness, we now put

$$(3.3) \quad P_{ji} = \rho_{ji} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda g_{ji}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad Q_{ji} = q_{ji} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda F_{ji}.$$

Then (3.2) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad K_{kjih} = g_{ji}P_{kh} - g_{ki}P_{jh} + F_{ji}Q_{kh} - F_{ki}Q_{jh} - (Q_{kj} - Q_{jk})F_{ih} + (P_{kj} - P_{jk})F_{ih}.$$

Transvecting (3.3) with  $F_k^i$  and using (1.12), we find

$$(3.6) \quad P_{jt}F_k^t = -Q_{jk}, \quad Q_{jt}F_k^t = P_{jk}.$$

Transvecting (3.5) with  $g^{kh}$ , taking account of (3.6), and denoting by  $K_{ji}$  the Ricci tensor of  $M$ , we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad K_{ji} = P g_{ji} + Q F_{ji} + P_{ji} - Q_{tj}F_i^t + P_{tj}F_i^t + Q_{ji},$$

where we have put  $P = P_t^t$  and  $Q = Q_t^t$ .

Transvecting (3.7) with  $F_k^i$ , and taking account of (3.6), we find

$$(3.8) \quad K_{jt}F_i^t = P F_{ij} + Q g_{ji} - Q_{ji} + Q_{ij} - P_{ij} + P_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.8) into the well known equation  $K_{jt}F_i^t + K_{it}F_j^t = 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad Q = 0.$$

Substituting (3.5) into the well known identity  $K_{kjih} - K_{ihkj} = 0$  and transvecting it with  $g^{ih}$ , we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad P_{kj} - P_{jk} = 0$$

by virtue of (3.6) and (3.9).

Substituting (3.5) into the identity  $K_{kjih} = K_{ihkj}$  and transvecting it with  $F^{kh}$ , we find

$$(3.11) \quad P F_{ji} + (2n - 1)Q_{ij} - Q_{ji} = 0.$$

Taking the symmetric part of (3.11), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad Q_{ji} + Q_{ij} = 0.$$

Substituting (3.12) into (3.11), we find

$$(3.13) \quad Q_{ji} = \frac{P}{2n} F_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.13) into (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad P_{ji} = \frac{P}{2n} g_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.9), (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad K_{ji} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) P g_{ji},$$

and from which, the scalar curvature  $K$  of  $M$  is given by

$$(3.16) \quad K = 2(n+1)P.$$

Substituting (3.15) and (3.16) into the identity  $\nabla_j K = 2\nabla_i K_j^i$ , we obtain  $\nabla_j P = \frac{1}{n}(\nabla_i P)\delta_j^i$  and from which,  $\nabla_j P = 0$ , that is,  $P = \text{constant}$ . Thus we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad P = \frac{K}{2(n+1)}, \quad K = \text{constant}.$$

Substituting (3.13), (3.14) and (3.17) into (3.5), we find

$$(3.18) \quad K_{kji}{}^h = \frac{K}{2n(2n+1)} (g_{ji}\delta_k^h - g_{ki}\delta_j^h + F_{ji}F_k^h - F_{ki}F_j^h - 2F_{kj}F_i^h),$$

$K$  being a constant.

Conversely, if the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of  $M$  is of the form (3.18), then we consider the integrability condition of the differential equations

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} p_i &= \nabla_i p, \\ \nabla_j p_i &= p_j p_i - q_j q_i + \frac{K}{2n(2n+1)} g_{ji}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is a constant and

$$(3.20) \quad q_j = -p_t F_j^t.$$

By a straightforward computation, we see that the following equation is satisfied

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j p_i - \nabla_j \nabla_k p_i = -K_{kji}{}^t p_t$$

by virtue of (3.18).

Therefore a gradient covector field  $p_i$  satisfying (3.19) is completely integrable locally. In this case, differentiating covariantly (3.20) and substituting (3.19) into it, we easily obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \nabla_j q_i = p_j q_i - q_j p_i + \frac{K}{2n(2n+1)} F_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.19) and (3.21) into (1.9) and (1.10) respectively, we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad p_{ji} - \frac{\lambda}{2} g_{ji} = \frac{K}{2n(2n+1)} g_{ji},$$

$$(3.23) \quad q_{ji} - \frac{\lambda}{2} F_{ji} = \frac{K}{2n(2n+1)} F_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.22) and (3.23) into (2.17), we see that

$$*R_{kji}{}^h = 0$$

by virtue of (3.18). Thus the theorem 3.1 is completely proved. (cf. [6])

## II. A characterization of a cosymplectic manifold by a curvature tensor formed with a $\varphi$ -connection with torsion.

### §1. Preliminaries.

We consider a cosymplectic manifold  $M$  of real  $2n+1$  dimensions covered by a coordinate neighborhoods  $\{U; y^h\}$  and denote by  $g_{ji}$ ,  $\varphi_j^h$ ,  $\xi^h$  and  $\eta_j$  components of the Grayan metric tensor, those of the cosymplectic structure tensor, those of the cosymplectic vector and those of the cosymplectic 1-form of  $M$  respectively, where, here and in the sequel, the indices  $h, i, j, \dots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\}$ .

We denote by  $K_{kji}{}^h$ ,  $K_{ji}$  and  $K$  the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of  $M$  respectively.

Let  $'D$  be an affine connection with torsion in a cosymplectic manifold  $M$ . We denote by  $'\Gamma_{kj}{}^h$  the components of the connection  $'D$  and by  $'D_j$  the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $'\Gamma_{kj}{}^h$ .

If the affine connection  $'D$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad 'D_k g_{ji} = -2p_k \gamma_{ji},$$

where  $\gamma_{ji} = g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad 'D_k \varphi_{ji} = -2p_k \varphi_{ji} \quad (\text{or } 'D_k \varphi_j^h = 0),$$

$$(1.3) \quad 'D_k \xi^h = 0 \quad (\text{or } 'D_k \eta_j = 0),$$

$$(1.4) \quad {}'\Gamma_{ji}{}^h - {}'\Gamma_{ij}{}^h = -2\varphi_{ji}u^h,$$

for a certain non-zero covector field  $p_k$  and a vector field  $u^h$ , then  $'D$  is called in [1] a *cosymplectic Weyl-Hlavaty connection*.

In a previous paper [1], we constructed an affine connection  $D$  whose components  $\Gamma_{kj}{}^h$  are given by  $'\Gamma_{kj}{}^h - p_k\gamma_j{}^h$ , that is,

$$(1.5) \quad \Gamma_{ji}{}^h = \{j^h{}_i\} + p_i\gamma_j{}^h - p^h\gamma_{ji} + \varphi_j{}^h q_i + \varphi_i{}^h q_j - \varphi_{ji}q^h,$$

where  $\{j^h{}_i\}$  are the Christoffel symbols of  $M$  and

$$(1.6) \quad q_i = -p_i\varphi_i{}^t, \quad p_i = q_i\varphi_i{}^t, \quad \gamma_j{}^i = \gamma_{jt}g^{ti}.$$

Denoting by  $R_{kji}{}^h$  the curvature tensor of  $\Gamma_{ji}{}^h$ , we obtain (cf. [1])

$$(1.7) \quad R_{kji}{}^h = K_{kji}{}^h - \gamma_k{}^h p_{ji} + \gamma_j{}^h p_{ki} - p_k{}^h \gamma_{ji} + p_j{}^h \gamma_{ki} - \varphi_k{}^h q_{ji} \\ + \varphi_j{}^h q_{ki} - q_k{}^h \varphi_{ji} + q_j{}^h \varphi_{ki} - \alpha_{kj} \varphi_i{}^h + \varphi_{kj} \beta_i{}^h,$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad p_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i - p_j p_i + q_j q_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \gamma_{ji},$$

$$(1.9) \quad q_{ji} = \nabla_j q_i - p_j q_i - q_j p_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \varphi_{ji},$$

$\lambda$  being defined by  $\lambda = p_i p^i = q_i q^i$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha_{ji} = -(\nabla_j q_i - \nabla_i q_j),$$

$$(1.11) \quad \beta_{ji} = 2(p_j q_i - p_i q_j)$$

and  $p_k{}^h = p_{kt}g^{th}$ ,  $q_k{}^h = q_{kt}g^{th}$ ,  $\beta_k{}^h = \beta_{kt}g^{th}$ .

The following relations are easily checked.

$$(1.12) \quad p_{ji} = q_{jt}\varphi_i{}^t, \quad q_{ji} = -p_{jt}\varphi_i{}^t,$$

$$(1.13) \quad \alpha_{ji} = -(q_{ji} - q_{ij} - \lambda\varphi_{ji}).$$

§ 2. *A certain  $\varphi$ -connection which is closely related to the cosymplectic Weyl-Hlavaty connection.*

In this section, we want to seek out a  $\varphi$ -connection  $*D$  in a cosymplectic manifold  $M$  such that if the curvature tensor formed with the components of  $*D$  vanishes then  $M$  is of constant  $\varphi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature.

For this aim, by the quite similar process to chapter I, § 2, we construct, in the sequel, a  $\varphi$ -connection  $*D$  whose components  $*\Gamma_{ji}{}^h$  are given by

$$(2.1) \quad *\Gamma_{ji}{}^h = \Gamma_{ji}{}^h - p_j \varphi_i{}^h - \varphi_j{}^h q_i - \gamma_j{}^h p_i,$$

where  $\Gamma_{ji}{}^h$  are defined by (1.5), that is,



$$(2.2) \quad * \Gamma_{ji}^h = \{j^h_i\} + q_j \varphi_i^h - p_j \varphi_i^h - \gamma_{ji} p^h - \varphi_{ji} q^h.$$

Denoting by  $*D_j$  the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , we find

$$(2.3) \quad *D_k g_{ji} = \gamma_{kj} p_i + \gamma_{ki} p_j + \varphi_{kj} q_i + \varphi_{ki} q_j,$$

$$(2.4) \quad *D_k \varphi_{ji} = \gamma_{kj} q_i - \gamma_{ki} q_j - \varphi_{kj} p_i + \varphi_{ki} p_j. \quad (\text{or } *D_k \varphi_j^h = 0).$$

In this case, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad * \Gamma_{ji}^h - * \Gamma_{ij}^h = -2\varphi_{ji} q^h + (q_j - p_j) \varphi_i^h - (q_i - p_i) \varphi_j^h,$$

and we call  $*D$  a  $\varphi$ -connection which is closely related to the cosymplectic Weyl-Hlavaty connection.

Computing the curvature tensor  $*R_{kji}^h$  of  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$  and using the notations (1.8), (1.9) and

$$p_k^h = p_{ki} g^{ih}, \quad q_k^h = q_{ki} g^{ih},$$

we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} *R_{kji}^h &= K_{kji}^h - \gamma_{ji} (p_k^h - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_k^h) + \gamma_{ki} (p_j^h - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_j^h) \\ &\quad - \varphi_{ji} (q_k^h - \frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi_k^h) + \varphi_{ki} (q_j^h - \frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi_j^h) \\ &\quad + (q_{kj} - q_{jk} - \lambda \varphi_{kj}) \varphi_i^h - (p_{kj} - p_{jk}) \varphi_i^h. \end{aligned}$$

§3. A characterization of a cosymplectic manifold by the curvature tensor  $*R_{kji}^h$  defined by (2.6).

In this section, we prove the following

**THEOREM 3.1** *Let a real  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional cosymplectic manifold  $M$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) admits the cosymplectic Weyl-Hlavaty connection. If the curvature tensor formed with the components of the connection  $*D$  defined by (2.2) vanishes locally, then  $M$  is of constant  $\varphi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature locally, and vice-versa.*

*Proof.* Assuming that

$$(3.1) \quad *R_{kji}^h = 0,$$

we can write (2.6) in the following covariant form:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kjih} &= \gamma_{ji} (p_{kh} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_{kh}) - \gamma_{ki} (p_{jh} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_{jh}) \\ &\quad + \varphi_{ji} (q_{kh} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi_{kh}) - \varphi_{ki} (q_{jh} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi_{jh}) \end{aligned}$$

$$-(q_{kj}-q_{jk}-\lambda\varphi_{kj})\varphi_{ih}-(p_{kj}-p_{jk})\varphi_{ih}.$$

For briefness, we now put

$$(3.3) \quad P_{ji}=p_{ji}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\gamma_{ji},$$

$$(3.4) \quad Q_{ji}=q_{ji}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\varphi_{ji}.$$

Then (3.2) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kjih} &= \gamma_{ji}P_{kh}-\gamma_{ki}P_{jh}+\varphi_{ji}Q_{kh}-\varphi_{ki}Q_{jh} \\ &\quad - (Q_{kj}-Q_{jk})\varphi_{ih}+(P_{kj}-P_{jk})\varphi_{ih}. \end{aligned}$$

Transvecting (3.3) or (3.4) with  $\varphi_k^i$  and using (1.12), we find

$$(3.6) \quad P_{jt}\varphi_k^t=-Q_{jk}, \quad Q_{jt}\varphi_k^t=P_{jk}.$$

From (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad P_{jt}\xi^t=0, \quad Q_{jt}\xi^t=0.$$

Transvecting (3.5) with  $g^{kh}$  and taking account of (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad K_{ji}=P\gamma_{ji}+Q\varphi_{ji}+P_{ji}+Q_{ji}-Q_{tj}\varphi_i^t+P_{tj}\varphi_i^t,$$

where we have put  $P=P_t^t$  and  $Q=Q_t^t$ .

Transvecting (3.8) with  $\varphi_k^i$  and taking account of (3.6), we find

$$(3.9) \quad K_{jt}\varphi_i^t=P\varphi_{ji}+Q\gamma_{ji}+P_{ji}-P_{ij}-Q_{ji}+Q_{ij}.$$

Substituting (3.9) into the well known equation

$$K_{jt}\varphi_i^t+K_{it}\varphi_j^t=0,$$

we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad Q=0.$$

Substituting (3.5) into the well known identity

$$(3.11) \quad K_{kjih}-K_{ihkj}=0$$

and transvecting it with  $\gamma^{ih}$ , we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad P_{jk}=P_{kj}$$

by virtue of (3.6) and (3.10).

Substituting (3.5) into (3.11) and transvecting it with  $\varphi^{kh}$ , we find

$$(3.13) \quad P\varphi_{ji}+(2n+1)Q_{ij}-(Q_{tj}\gamma_i^t+Q_{ti}\gamma_j^t)-Q_{tj}\gamma_i^t=0.$$

On the other hand, taking account of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.12), we find

$$(3.14) \quad P_{ij}\xi^t=0, \quad Q_{ij}\xi^t=0.$$

Then, (3.13) becomes

$$(3.15) \quad P\varphi_{ji} + (2n-1)Q_{ij} - Q_{ji} = 0.$$

Taking the skew-symmetric part of (3.15), we find

$$(3.16) \quad Q_{ji} + Q_{ij} = 0.$$

Substituting (3.16) into (3.15), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad Q_{ji} = \frac{P}{2n}\varphi_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.17) into (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad P_{ji} = \frac{P}{2n}\gamma_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.10), (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.8), we find

$$(3.19) \quad K_{ji} = (1 + \frac{1}{n})P\gamma_{ji},$$

from which, we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad K = 2(n+1)P.$$

Substituting (3.19) and (3.20) into the identity  $\nabla_j K = 2\nabla_t K_j^t$ , we obtain  $(1-n)\nabla_j P = \xi^t(\nabla_t P)\eta_j$ . Transvecting it with  $\xi^j$ , we find  $\xi^t\nabla_t P = 0$ , by  $n \geq 2$ , from which  $\nabla_j P = 0$ , that is,

$$P = \text{const.} = \frac{K}{2(n+1)}.$$

Substituting (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.5) and taking account of (3.20), we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad K_{kji}{}^h = \frac{K}{4n(n+1)}[\gamma_{ji}\gamma_k{}^h - \gamma_{ki}\gamma_j{}^h + \varphi_{ji}\varphi_k{}^h - \varphi_{ki}\varphi_j{}^h - 2\varphi_{kj}\varphi_i{}^h],$$

$K$  being a constant.

Therefore  $M$  is of constant  $\varphi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature. (cf. [2]).

Conversely, if  $M$  is of constant  $\varphi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature, then the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of  $M$  is of the form (3.21). In this case, we consider the integrability condition of the differential equations

$$(3.22) \quad p_i = \nabla_i p$$

$$\nabla_j p_i = p_j p_i - q_j q_i + \frac{K}{4n(n+1)} \gamma_{ji},$$

where  $K$  is a constant and

$$(3.23) \quad q_j = -p_t \varphi_j^t.$$

By a straightforward computation, we see that the following equation is satisfied

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j p_i - \nabla_j \nabla_k p_i = -K_{kji}^t p_t$$

by the help of (1.6) and (3.21).

Therefore a gradient covector field  $p_i$  satisfying (3.22) is completely integrable locally.

In this case, differentiating covariantly (3.23) and substituting (3.22) into it, we easily obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \nabla_j q_i = p_j q_i + q_j p_i + \frac{K}{4n(n+1)} \varphi_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.22) and (3.24) into (1.8) and (1.9) respectively, we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad p_{ji} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_{ji} = \frac{1}{4n(n+1)} \gamma_{ji},$$

$$(3.26) \quad q_{ji} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi_{ji} = \frac{1}{4n(n+1)} \varphi_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.25) and (3.26) into (2.6), we see that

$$*R_{kji}^h = 0.$$

Thus, the theorem 3.1 is completely proved.

### III. A characterization of a Sasakian manifold by a curvature tensor formed with an $\eta$ -connection with torsion.

#### §1. Preliminaries.

We consider a Sasakian manifold  $M$  of real  $2n+1$  dimensions covered by a coordinate neighborhoods  $\{U; y^h\}$  and denote by  $g_{ji}$ ,  $\varphi_j^h$ ,  $\xi^h$  and  $\eta_j$  components of the Grayan metric tensor, those of the Sasakian structure tensor, those of the Sasakian vector and those of the Sasakian 1-form of  $M$  respectively, where, here and in the sequel, the indices  $h, i, j, \dots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\}$ .

We denote by  $K_{kji}^h$ ,  $K_{ji}$  and  $K$  the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and

the scalar curvature of  $M$  respectively.

In a previous paper [1], we considered an affine connection  $'D$  satisfying (1.1)-(1.4) of chapter II in a Sasakian manifold  $M$  and we called it a *contact Weyl-Hlavaty connection*.

Furthermore in [1], we also considered an affine connection  $D$  whose components  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  are related to the components  $'\Gamma_{ji}^h$  of  $'D$  by  $\Gamma_{ji}^h = '\Gamma_{ji}^h - p_j \gamma_i^h$ , where  $\gamma_{ji} = g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i$ , that is,

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma_{ji}^h = \{j^h_i\} + \gamma_j^h p_i - \gamma_{ji} p^h + \varphi_j^h (q_i - \eta_i) + \varphi_i^h (q_j - \eta_j) - \varphi_{ji} (q^h - \xi^h),$$

for a certain non-zero covector  $p_i$ , where  $p^h = p_t g^{th}$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad q_i = -p_t \varphi_i^t, \quad p_i = q_t \varphi_i^t, \quad \gamma_j^h = \gamma_{jt} g^{th}, \quad q^h = q_t g^{th}$$

in a Sasakian manifold  $M$ .

(Such a connection  $D$  is called "a special semi-symmetric metric  $\varphi$ -connection" in [5].)

Denoting by  $R_{kji}^h$  the curvature tensor of  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , we obtain (cf. [1])

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{kji}^h &= K_{kji}^h - \gamma_k^h p_{ji} + \gamma_j^h p_{ki} - p_k^h \gamma_{ji} + p_j^h \gamma_{ki} \\ &- \varphi_k^h q_{ji} + \varphi_j^h q_{ki} - q_k^h \varphi_{ji} + q_j^h \varphi_{ki} \\ &- \alpha_{kj} \varphi_i^h - \varphi_{kj} \beta_i^h + (\varphi_k^h \varphi_{ji} - \varphi_j^h \varphi_{ki} - 2\varphi_{kj} \varphi_i^h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad p_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i - p_j p_i + (q_j - \eta_j)(q_i - \eta_i) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \gamma_{ji}$$

$$(1.5) \quad q_{ji} = \nabla_j q_i - p_j (q_i - \eta_i) - p_i (q_j - \eta_j) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \varphi_{ji}$$

$\lambda$  being defined by  $\lambda = p_t p^t = q_t q^t$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad \alpha_{ji} = -(\nabla_j q_i - \nabla_i q_j),$$

$$(1.7) \quad \beta_{ji} = 2(p_j q_i - p_i q_j)$$

and  $p_k^h = p_{kt} g^{th}$ ,  $q_k^h = q_{kt} g^{th}$ ,  $\beta_k^h = \beta_{kt} g^{th}$ .

The following relations are easily checked. (cf. [1])

$$(1.8) \quad p_{jt} \xi^t = \eta_j, \quad q_{jt} \xi^t = 0.$$

$$(1.9) \quad p_{jt} \varphi_i^t = -q_{ji}, \quad q_{jt} \varphi_i^t = p_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i.$$

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha_{ji} = -(q_{ji} - q_{ij} - \lambda \varphi_{ji}).$$

$$(1.11) \quad \alpha_{jt} \xi^t = q_{ij} \xi^t, \quad \beta_{jt} \xi^t = 0.$$

§ 2. *A certain  $\eta$ -connection which is closely related to the contact Weyl-Hlavaty connection.*

In this section, we want to seek out an  $\eta$ -connection  $*D$  in a Sasakian manifold  $M$  such that if the curvature tensor formed with the components of  $*D$  vanishes then  $M$  is of constant  $C$ -holomorphic sectional curvature.

For this aim, by the quite similar process to chapter I, § 2, we construct, in the sequel, an  $\eta$ -connection  $*D$  whose connection  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$  are given by

$$(2.1) \quad *\Gamma_{ji}^h = \Gamma_{ji}^h - p_j \varphi_i^h - \varphi_j^h q_i - \gamma_j^h p_i,$$

where  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  are defined by (1.1), that is,

$$(2.2) \quad *\Gamma_{ji}^h = \{j^h i\} + \gamma_i^h p_j - \gamma_{ji} p^h - \varphi_i^h p_j - \varphi_j^h \eta_i \\ + \varphi_i^h (q_j - \eta_j) - \varphi_{ji} (q^h - \xi^h).$$

Denoting by  $*D_j$  the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$ , we find

$$(2.3) \quad *D_k g_{ji} = \gamma_{kj} p_i + \gamma_{ki} p_j + \varphi_{kj} q_i + \varphi_{ki} q_j,$$

$$(2.4) \quad *D_k \varphi_{ji} = \gamma_{kj} q_i - \gamma_{ki} q_j - \varphi_{kj} p_i + \varphi_{ki} p_j,$$

$$(2.5) \quad *D_k \eta_i = 0, \quad *D_k \gamma_j^h = 0.$$

In this case we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad *\Gamma_{ji}^h - *\Gamma_{ij}^h = \gamma_i^h p_j - \gamma_j^h p_i - \varphi_i^h p_j + \varphi_j^h p_i \\ + \varphi_i^h q_j - \varphi_j^h q_i - 2\varphi_{ji} (q^h - \xi^h),$$

and we call  $*D$  an  $\eta$ -connection which is closely related to the contact Weyl-Hlavaty connection.

Computing the curvature tensor  $*R_{kji}^h$  of  $*\Gamma_{ji}^h$  and using the notations (1.4), (1.5) and

$$p_k^h = p_{kt} g^{th}, \quad q_k^h = q_{kt} g^{th},$$

we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad *R_{kji}^h = K_{kji}^h - \gamma_{ji} (p_k^h - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_k^h) + \gamma_{ki} (p_j^h - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_j^h) \\ - \varphi_{ji} [q_k^h - (1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}) \varphi_k^h] + \varphi_{ki} [q_j^h - (1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}) \varphi_j^h] \\ + [q_{kj} - q_{jk} - (2 + \lambda) \varphi_{kj}] \varphi_i^h + (\gamma_j^h \eta_k - \gamma_k^h \eta_j) \eta_i \\ - (p_{kj} - p_{jk}) \varphi_i^h.$$

§ 3. *A characterization of a Sasakian manifold by the curvature tensor  $*R_{kji}{}^h$  defined by (2.7).*

In this section we prove the following

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let a real  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold  $M$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) admits the contact Weyl-Hlavaty connection. If the curvature tensor formed with the components of the connection  $*D$  defined by (2.2) vanishes locally, then  $M$  is of constant  $C$ -holomorphic sectional curvature locally, and vice-versa.*

*Proof.* Assuming that

$$(3.1) \quad *R_{kji}{}^h = 0,$$

we have from (2.7)

$$(3.2) \quad K_{kji}{}^h = \gamma_{ji}P_k{}^h - \gamma_{ki}P_j{}^h + \varphi_{ji}Q_k{}^h - \varphi_{ki}Q_j{}^h - (Q_{kj} - Q_{jk})\varphi_i{}^h \\ + (P_{kj} - P_{jk})\varphi_i{}^h - (\gamma_j{}^h\eta_k - \gamma_k{}^h\eta_j)\eta_i,$$

where we have put

$$(3.3) \quad P_{kj} = p_{kj} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\gamma_{kj}, \quad P_k{}^i = P_{kj}g^{ji}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad Q_{kj} = q_{kj} - (1 + \frac{\lambda}{2})\varphi_{kj}, \quad Q_k{}^i = Q_{kj}g^{ji}.$$

Transvecting (3.3) with  $\varphi_i{}^h$  and using (1.9), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad P_{kt}\varphi_j{}^t = -Q_{kj} - \varphi_{kj}.$$

Similarly from (1.9) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad Q_{kt}\varphi_j{}^t = P_{kj} - g_{kj}.$$

Transvecting (3.3) with  $\xi^j$  and using (1.8), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad P_{ji}\xi^t = \eta_j.$$

Similarly from (1.8) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad Q_{ji}\xi^t = 0.$$

Contracting with respect to  $k$  and  $h$  in (3.2) and taking account of (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad K_{ji} = (P-2)\gamma_{ji} + (Q+1)\varphi_{ji} + P_{ji} + Q_{ji} + P_{tj}\varphi_i{}^t - Q_{tj}\varphi_i{}^t + (2n-1)\eta_j\eta_i,$$

where we have put

$$(3.10) \quad P = P_t{}^t, \quad Q = Q_t{}^t.$$

Transvecting (3.9) with  $\varphi_k^i$  and taking account of (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{jt}\varphi_i^t = & -(P-1)\varphi_{ji} + (Q+1)\gamma_{ji} - Q_{ji} + P_{ji} - g_{ji} \\ & - (P_{ij} - \eta_i P_{tj}\xi^t) + (Q_{ij} - \eta_i Q_{tj}\xi^t). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.11) into the well known equation

$$K_{jt}\varphi_i^t + K_{it}\varphi_j^t = 0,$$

we have

$$(3.12) \quad 2(Q+1)\gamma_{ji} - 2g_{ji} + (\eta_i P_{tj} + \eta_j P_{ti})\xi^t - (\eta_i Q_{tj} + \eta_j Q_{ti})\xi^t = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.12) with  $g^{ji}$ , we see that

$$(3.13) \quad Q = 0.$$

Substituting (3.13) into (3.12) and transvecting it with  $\xi^i$ , we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad P_{tj}\xi^t - Q_{tj}\xi^t = \eta_j.$$

Substituting (3.2) into the well known identity

$$(3.15) \quad K_{kjih} - K_{ihkj} = 0$$

and transvecting it with  $\gamma^{ih}$ , we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad P_{kj} = P_{jk}$$

by the help of (3.5), (3.6) and (3.13).

Taking account of (3.7), (3.14) and (3.16), we see that

$$(3.17) \quad Q_{tj}\xi^t = 0.$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.15) and transvecting it with  $\varphi^{kh}$ , we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad (P-2n-1)\varphi_{ji} = Q_{ji} + (1-2n)Q_{ij}$$

by the help of (3.5), (3.6) and (3.16).

Since  $\varphi_{ji} + \varphi_{ij} = 0$ , we see from (3.18) that

$$(3.19) \quad Q_{kj} + Q_{jk} = 0.$$

Therefore (3.18) is rewritten as

$$(3.20) \quad Q_{ji} = \left( \frac{P-1}{2n} - 1 \right) \varphi_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.20) into (3.16), we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad P_{ji} = \frac{P-1}{2n} g_{ji} - \left( \frac{P-1}{2n} - 1 \right) \eta_j \eta_i.$$



On the other hand, if we take account of (3.5), (3.6), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.19), then (3.9) is rewritten as

$$(3.22) \quad K_{ji} = (P-1)g_{ji} + (2n+1-P)\eta_j\eta_i.$$

Transvecting (3.22) with  $g^{ji}$  we easily see that

$$(3.23) \quad K = 2nP.$$

Substituting (3.22) and (3.23) into the well known identity  $\nabla_j K = 2\nabla_t K_j^t$ , we see that  $(1-n)\nabla_j P = \xi^t(\nabla_t P)\eta_j$ . Transvecting it with  $\xi^j$ , we find  $\xi^t\nabla_t P = 0$  by the help of  $n \geq 2$ , from which  $\nabla_j P = 0$ , that is,  $P = \text{const}$ . Thus  $M$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold ([3]).

Now we put

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{P-1}{2n} - 1 = k$$

$k$  being a constant.

Then, (3.20) and (3.21) are respectively rewritten as

$$(3.25) \quad Q_{ji} = k\varphi_{ji}.$$

$$(3.26) \quad P_{ji} = k\gamma_{ji} + g_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.25) and (3.26) into (3.2) and recalling  $\gamma_{ji} = g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i$ , we obtain

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{kjih} = & (k+1)(g_{kh}g_{ji} - g_{jh}g_{ki}) - k[\eta_k(g_{ji}\eta_h - g_{jh}\eta_i) \\ & - \eta_j(g_{ki}\eta_h - g_{kh}\eta_i) - \varphi_{ji}\varphi_{kh} + \varphi_{ki}\varphi_{jh} + 2\varphi_{kj}\varphi_{ih}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $M$  is of a constant  $C$ -holomorphic sectional curvature ([3]).

Conversely, let the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of  $M$  be of the form (3.27). We consider the integrability condition of the differential equations

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_i p &= p_i, \\ \nabla_j p_i &= (k+1)g_{ji} + p_j p_i - q_j q_i + q_j \eta_i + q_i \eta_j - (k+1)\eta_j \eta_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.29) \quad q_j = -p_t \varphi_j^t.$$

By a straightforward computation, we see that the following equation is satisfied

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j p_i - \nabla_j \nabla_k p_i = -K_{kji}^t p_t$$

by the help of (1.2) and (3.27).

Therefore a gradient covector field  $p_i$  satisfying (3.28) is completely integrable locally.

In this case, differentiating covariantly (3.29) and substituting (3.28) into it, we easily obtain

$$(3.30) \quad \nabla_j q_i = p_j q_i + q_j p_i - \eta_j p_i - p_j \eta_i + (k+1)\varphi_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.28) and (3.30) into (1.4) and (1.5) respectively, we obtain

$$(3.31) \quad p_{ji} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \gamma_{ji} = (k+1)g_{ji} - k\eta_j \eta_i,$$

$$(3.32) \quad q_{ji} - \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \varphi_{ji} = k\varphi_{ji}.$$

Substituting (3.31) and (3.32) into (2.7), we see that

$$*R_{kji}{}^h = 0.$$

Thus, the theorem is completely proved.

### References

1. S.-S. Eum, *On connections with torsions and those curvature tensors in Riemannian manifolds I*, to appear in Journal of Sung Kyun Kwan University.
2. ———, *Cosymplectic manifolds of constant  $\varphi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature*, Bull. of Korean Math. Soc., **9** (1972), 1–7.
3. Y. Tashiro and S. Tachibana, *On Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **15** (1963), 176–183.
4. K. Yano and S. Sawaki, *On complex Weyl-Hlavaty connections*. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **28** (1977), 372–380.
5. K. Yano and T. Imai, *On semi-symmetric metric  $\varphi$ -connections in a Sasakian manifold*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **28** (1977), 150–158.
6. K. Yano, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, 1965, Pergamon Press.

Sung Kyun Kwan University