

## COMPLETELY COMPACT SPACES

By Norman Levine

By a *completely compact space*, we shall mean a space in which every subset is compact.

In this note, we will give a variety of characterizations of completely compact spaces (when  $T_1$  is assumed) and give some properties of completely compact topologies.

We begin with

LEMMA 1. *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is an infinite Hausdorff space, there exists an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint non-empty open subsets of  $X$ .*

This is Theorem 5.2.3 in [2].

LEMMA 2. *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  has an infinite number of components, then there exists an infinite sequence of pairwise disjoint non-empty open subsets of  $X$ .*

See Theorem 1 in [1].

THEOREM 3. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a  $T_1$ -space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$X$  is completely compact*
- (2) *every open subset of  $X$  is compact*
- (3) *every subset of  $X$  is sequentially compact*
- (4) *every subset of  $X$  is countably compact*
- (5) *every countable subset of  $X$  is compact*
- (6) *every subset of  $X$  has a finite number of components*
- (7)  *$A \cap A'$  is infinite for every infinite subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $A'$  denoting the derived set of  $A$*
- (8)  *$X$  contains no infinite discrete subset*
- (9)  *$X$  contains no infinite Hausdorff subspace.*

PROOF. (1) implies (2). This is clear.

(2) implies (3). Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  and suppose  $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence  $S_0$  in  $A$ . Assume no subsequence of  $S_0$  converges to a point of  $A$ . Then  $S_0$  does not converge to  $a_1$  and hence there exists an open set  $O_1$  and a subsequence  $S_1$  of

$S_0$  such that  $a_1 \in O_1$  and  $S_1$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}O_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  denoting the complement operator. Now  $S_1$  does not converge to  $a_2$  and hence there exists an open set  $O_2$  and a subsequence  $S_2$  of  $S_1$  such that  $a_2 \in O_2$  and  $S_2$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}O_2 \cap \mathcal{C}O_1$ . By induction we have a sequence of open sets  $O_i$  and a sequence of sequences  $S_i$  such that  $a_i \in O_i$ ,  $S_{i+1}$  is a subsequence of  $S_i$  and  $S_i$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}O_i \cap \mathcal{C}O_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{C}O_1$ . By (2),  $\bigcup \{O_i : i \geq 1\}$  is compact and hence  $\bigcup \{O_i : i \geq 1\} = O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_N$  for some  $N$ . But  $S_N$  is in  $\bigcup \{O_i : i \geq 1\}$  and hence is in  $O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_N$ . However  $S_N$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}O_N \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{C}O_1 = \mathcal{C}(O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_N)$ , a contradiction.

(3) implies (4). Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  and suppose  $A \subseteq O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \dots$ . Suppose that  $A$  is contained in  $O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_n$  for no  $n$ . Take  $a_1 \notin O_1$ ,  $a_2 \notin O_1 \cup O_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $a_n \notin O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_n$ ,  $\dots$ . Let  $B = \{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ . It is clear that no subsequence of  $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$  converges to a point of  $B$ . Thus  $B$  is not sequentially compact.

(4) implies (5). Let  $A$  be a countable subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is a Lindelof space and by (4) countably compact. Thus  $A$  is compact.

(5) implies (6). Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  with an infinite number of components. By Lemma 2, there exist an infinite sequence of non-empty pairwise disjoint sets  $B_i$  which are open in  $A$ . Let  $b_i \in B_i$  for each  $i$ . Then  $\{b_i : i \geq 1\}$  is a countable subset of  $X$  which is not compact.

(6) implies (7). Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $X$  and suppose that  $A \cap A'$  is finite. Then  $A - A'$  is infinite; take  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  an infinite sequence of distinct points in  $A - A'$ . For each  $i$ , there exists an open set  $O_i$  such that  $a_i \in O_i$  and  $A \cap O_i - a_i = \emptyset$  or  $A \cap O_i = \{a_i\}$ . Let  $B = \{a_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Then  $B$  is infinite discrete and hence has an infinite number of components.

(7) implies (8). Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  and  $A$  is infinite and discrete. Take  $a \in A$ ; there exists an open set  $O$  such that  $\{a\} = A \cap O$ . Then  $A \cap O - a = \emptyset$  and  $a \notin A'$ . Thus  $A \cap A' = \emptyset$ .

(8) implies (9). Suppose  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A$  is infinite and  $A$  is a Hausdorff subspace of  $X$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a sequence of non-empty disjoint sets  $A_i$  which are open in  $A$ . Let  $a_i \in A_i$  for each  $i$  and let  $B = \{a_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Then  $B$  is infinite and discrete.

(9) implies (1). (Here is where  $T_1$  is used.) Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  and  $A$  is not compact. Then there exists  $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ , an open cover of  $A$  with no finite subcover. Take  $a_1 \in A$ ; then  $a_1 \in O_{\alpha_1}$  for some  $\alpha_1$ . Take  $a_2$  in  $A$  such that  $a_2 \notin O_{\alpha_1}$ .  $a_2 \in O_{\alpha_2}$  for some  $\alpha_2$ . By induction there exists sequences  $\{a_i : i \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\alpha_i : i \geq 1\}$

such that  $a_i \in O_{\alpha_i}$  and  $a_i \notin O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_{i-1}}$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Let  $B = \{a_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Then  $B$  is infinite and Hausdorff. Let  $a_n \neq a_m$  and assume that  $n < m$ . Then  $a_n \in B \cap O_{\alpha_n}$  and  $a_m \in B \cap (O_{\alpha_m} - \{a_1, \dots, a_m\})$  and  $B \cap O_{\alpha_n}$  and  $B \cap (O_{\alpha_m} - \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$  are disjoint and open in  $B$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be topologies on  $X$  for which  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  are completely compact. Let  $\mathcal{V} = \sup\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{V})$  is completely compact.

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{U}$ ; then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subbase for  $\mathcal{V}$ . It suffices to show that every subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -compact. Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $A \subseteq \bigcup\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \bigcup\{U_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  where  $O_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $U_\gamma \in \mathcal{U}$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Now  $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -open cover of  $\bigcup\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and hence  $\bigcup\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in  $\Delta$ . Likewise  $\bigcup\{U_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\} = U_{\gamma_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\gamma_m}$  for some  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  in  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $A \subseteq O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n} \cup U_{\gamma_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\gamma_m}$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$  be a surjection and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the weak topology, that is,  $\mathcal{T} = \{f^{-1}[U] : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . If  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  is completely compact, then so is  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .

PROOF. Let  $A \subseteq X$  and suppose  $A \subseteq \bigcup\{f^{-1}[U_\alpha] : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Then  $f[A] \subseteq \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $f[A]$  is compact. Thus  $f[A] \subseteq U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in  $\Delta$ . Then  $A \subseteq f^{-1}[U_{\alpha_1}] \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}[U_{\alpha_n}]$ .

LEMMA 6. Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$  be a continuous surjection and suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is completely compact. Then  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  is completely compact.

We omit the easy proof.

THEOREM 7. Let  $(Z, \mathcal{W})$  be the product space of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$ . Then  $(Z, \mathcal{W})$  is completely compact iff  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  are completely compact.

PROOF. If  $(Z, \mathcal{W})$  is completely compact, then  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  are completely compact. This follows from Lemma 6.

If  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  are completely compact, then so is  $(Z, \mathcal{W})$ . This follows from Lemmas 4 and 5.

Theorem 7 cannot be extended to infinite product as is shown by

EXAMPLE 8. Let  $Y = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, Y\}$ . Let  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i) = (Y, \mathcal{U})$  for  $i \geq 1$  and let  $(X, \mathcal{F}) = \prod\{(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i) : i \geq 1\}$ . Then  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$  is completely compact

for all  $i$ , but  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is not. For if every subset of  $X$  were compact, then every set would be closed ( $X$  is Hausdorff) and  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  would be discrete.

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be infinite and completely compact. Then there exists a topology  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  for which  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{U}$  and  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is completely compact.*

**PROOF.**  $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{P}(X)$  lest  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be discrete and not compact. Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X) - \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{\phi, A, X\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is a completely compact topology for  $X$ ; let  $\mathcal{U} = \sup\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is completely compact by Lemma 4.

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a space which is not completely compact. There exists then a topology  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{F}$  and  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is not completely compact.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A$  not compact. Let  $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be an open cover of  $A$  with no finite subcover. There exists a sequence  $a_i$  in  $A$  and a sequence  $\alpha_i$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $a_i \in O_{\alpha_i}$  for all  $i$  and  $a_i \notin \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_{i-1}}$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U \mid U = \phi \text{ or } U \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } U \supseteq O_{\alpha_i} \cup O_{\alpha_j}\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{U}$  is a topology for  $X$ ,  $O_{\alpha_i} \notin \mathcal{U}$  and  $A$  is not  $\mathcal{U}$ -compact.

The Ohio state university

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Norman Levine, *American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol.69, No.10, December, 1962.
- [2] William J. Pervin, *Foundations of General Topology*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.