

UNSOLVABILITY OF THE MIZOHATA OPERATOR

BY JONGSIK KIM, JUNG SOO KIM, JOON-KOOK SHIN

§1. Introduction

Since 1957 when H. Lewy showed that the partial differential equation

$$u_x + iu_y + 2(ix - y)u_t = f(x, y, t)$$

is not locally solvable for the generic C^∞ -function f in \mathbf{R}^3 , many other simpler equations with C^∞ coefficients without local solutions have been discovered. One of the simplest forms of this kind is the partial differential equation derived from the Mizohata operator; namely,

$$(*) \quad Mu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + it \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = f(x, t).$$

That this is not locally solvable in the space of distributions at any points lying on the x -axis for the generic C^∞ -function f can be shown easily following the general criteria, due to Nirenberg and Treves, for the local solvability. (cf. [2], [3], [4])

It seems, however, to us that it is not known yet the complete characterization of those C^∞ -functions f for which the equation (*) is not locally solvable, for example at the origin.

Two known results in this line can be found in the short notes [4] by Treves which deserve to be quoted here.

THEOREM A. *Let $f(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ have the following properties:*

- (a) $f(x, t) = f(x, -t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^2$;
- (b) *supp* f (i. e., the support of f) does not intersect the axis $t=0$;
- (c) $\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f(x, t) dx dt \neq 0$

Then the equation () in \mathbf{R}^2*

$$Mu = f$$

does not have any solution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$.

THEOREM B. *Let $f(x, y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be such that*

$$Kf(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\xi=0}^{+\infty} \left\{ \exp(ix\xi - \frac{t^2|\xi|}{2}) \right\} f(x, \xi) dt d\xi$$

is an analytic function of x in \mathbb{R}^1 .

Then the equation (*) in \mathbb{R}^2 has a C^1 -solution in a neighborhood of any point in \mathbb{R}^2 .

In particular, if $f(x, t)$ is odd with respect to t , the equation (*) is always locally solvable at any point in \mathbb{R}^2 .

The aim of this article is to generalize the Theorem A quoted above and to get similar result *without* assuming the condition (b) that *supp* f does not intersect the axis $t=0$.

2. Theorem

THEOREM. Let $f(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ have the following properties:

- (1) $f(x, t) = f(x, -t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$;
 (2) there exists a sequence $\{K_n\}$ ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$) of mutually disjoint compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 such that

- (i) $K_n \subset \{(x, t) \mid t \geq c|x|\}$ ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$) for some fixed constant $c > 0$,
 (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = \{0\}$ where 0 is the origin, and

- (iii) $\text{supp } f \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (K_n \cup K_n^-)$, where $K_n^- = \{(x, -t) \mid (x, t) \in K_n\}$;

(3) $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, t) dx dt \neq 0$.

Then the equation in \mathbb{R}^2

$$(*) \quad Mu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + it \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = f(x, t)$$

does not have any solution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. We shall prove that the equation (*) does not have any solution u which is C^1 -function of t valued in \mathcal{D}'_x , since all solutions of (*) have necessarily this property. (cf. [4])

By (i), setting $s = \frac{1}{2}t^2$, we may write

$$f(x, t) = F(x, s) \quad (s \geq 0).$$

We shall then define $F(x, s) = 0$ for $s < 0$. Thus $F(x, s)$ is a function defined on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Suppose now that there exists a solution u of (*), C^1 with respect to t valued in \mathcal{D}'_x . Since u can be decomposed as a sum of even and odd terms with respect to t -variable, we have

$$u(x, t) = v(x, s) + tw(x, s) \quad (s \geq 0).$$

Since $\frac{ds}{dt}=t$, we may set, for $s>0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Mu &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + it \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) (v(x, s) + tw(x, s)) \\ &= tv_s + w + 2sw_s + iv_x + 2isw_x \\ &= t(v_s + iv_x) + (w + 2sw_s + 2isw_x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $s>0$,

$$t(v_s + iv_x) + (w + 2sw_s + 2isw_x) = F(x, s)$$

or, equivalently, for $s>0$,

$$(4) \quad v_s + iv_x = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad w + 2sw_s + 2isw_x = F(x, s)$$

which follows from the fact that $F(x, s) = f(w, t)$ is even with respect to t .

Now the equation (5) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}} w + \sqrt{s} w_s + i\sqrt{s} w_x = \frac{F}{2\sqrt{s}} \quad (s>0).$$

or,

$$(6) \quad (\sqrt{s} w)_s + i(\sqrt{s} w)_x = \frac{F}{2\sqrt{s}} \quad (s>0).$$

We set here $\sqrt{s} w(x, s) = h(z)$ where $z = s + ix$ for $s \geq 0$, $x \neq 0$, and consider the set Q , the complement of $\text{supp } F$ in the half space $s > 0$. The equation (6) shows that $h(z)$ is holomorphic in Q , since in Q

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} h(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) (\sqrt{s} w) = 0.$$

We recall that u is assumed to be C^1 function with respect to t -variable. This implies that $w(x, s)$ and hence $h(z) = \sqrt{s} w(x, s)$ is a continuous function on $Q \cup \{z = s + ix \mid s = 0, x \neq 0\}$. Moreover, as $\sqrt{s} w(x, s)$ vanishes when $s = 0$, $h(z)$ takes the purely imaginary value (in fact, 0) on the imaginary axis $s = 0$, with the origin excluded. Therefore, by the reflection principle, $h(z)$ can be extended as a holomorphic function, say $h(z)$ again, to V , the unbounded connected component of $\mathbf{R}^2 / \{(\text{supp } F) \cup (\text{supp } F)^-\}$ where, as usual,

$$(\text{supp } F)^- = \{(x, -t) \mid (x, t) \in \text{supp } F\}.$$

Since $h \equiv 0$ on the imaginary axis (with origin excluded), it follows that

$$h(z) \equiv 0 \text{ identically on } V.$$

In particular,

$$\sqrt{s} w(x, s) \equiv 0 \text{ identically on } V \cap \{(x, s) \mid s \geq 0\}.$$

We extend $w(x, s)$ as a function on \mathbf{R}^2 such that

$$w(x, s) = 0 \text{ if } s < 0$$

(Then $\sqrt{s} w(x, s)$ is a solution of the hypoelliptic partial differential equ-

ation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{F}{2\sqrt{s}}$ in $\mathbf{R}^2/\{0\}$.)

Now we note that $\text{supp } F \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ where

$$G_n = \{(x, s) \mid s = \frac{1}{2}t^2, (x, t) \in K_n\}.$$

Since K_n 's are also mutually disjoint, G_n 's are also mutually disjoint.

Therefore we can find a large circle Γ with center at the origin enclosing all the G_m 's ($m=1, 2, 3, \dots$) and a small contour Γ_n enclosing all G_k 's for $k \geq n$ and such that $\Gamma_n \cap \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m = \emptyset$. Let D_n be the region surrounded by Γ and Γ_n .

Now let us notice that $F(x, s) = 0$ in a neighborhood of $\{(x, s) \mid s=0, x \neq 0\}$. Therefore, if we set

$$k(x, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(x, s)}{\sqrt{s}} & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s = 0, \end{cases}$$

then, by the fact that $f(x, t) = F(x, s)$ ($s \geq 0$), $s = \frac{1}{2}t^2$ and from the symmetry of f with respect to t , we have

$$I = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f(x, t) dx dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{D_n} f(x, t) dx dt = 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{D_n} k(x, s) dx ds.$$

Since $\sqrt{s} w(x, s)$ is a solution of the hypoelliptic partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{k(x, s)}{2}$$

in $\mathbf{R}^2/\{0\}$ and $k(x, s)$ is C^∞ in $\mathbf{R}^n/\{0\}$, it follows that $\sqrt{s} w(x, s)$ is C^∞ -function in $\mathbf{R}^n/\{0\}$. Therefore we have, by the Stoke's theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 4 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{D_n} \{(\sqrt{s} w)_s + i(\sqrt{s} w)_x\} dx ds \\ &= 4 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\iint_{D_n} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{s} w)}{\partial s} ds dx + \iint_{D_n} \frac{\partial(i\sqrt{s} w)}{\partial x} dx ds \right] \\ &= 4 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{s} w dx + \int_{\Gamma} i \sqrt{s} w ds + \int_{\Gamma_n} \sqrt{s} w dx - \int_{\Gamma_n} i \sqrt{s} w dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

But as $\sqrt{s} w$ vanishes on Γ_n and Δ , we have

$$I = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f(x, t) dx dt = 0, \text{ contrary to our hypothesis.}$$

This completes our proof.

REMARK. We remark that, in general, $\text{supp } f$ may intersect with x -axis at the origin.

References

1. L. Hörmander, *Differential equations without solutions*, Math. Ann. **140** (1960), 169–173.
2. L. Nirenberg and F. Trèves, *On local solvability of linear partial differential equations*, part I: *Necessary conditions* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 1–38.
3. Trèves, *On the existence and regularity of solutions of linear partial differential equations*, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. Vol. **23**, A. M. S. (1973), 33–60.
4. _____, *Lectures on linear partial differential equations*, Korea-U.S. Math. Workshop, **79** (1979), 247–316.

Seoul National University