# ON THE DEFINITION OF A HYPERATOM OF A RING 

By Alexander Abian

In the direct product decomposition of a (not necessarily associative or commutative) ring $R$ essential use is made of the notion of a hyperatom [1], [3], [4] where a hyperatom of $R$ is defined by the conjunction of statements (1). and (2) below. We show here that in all the cases pertaining to [1], [3], [4], statement (1) implies statement (2). Accordingly, we define a hyperatom subject to statement (1) alone.

REMARK. We call a (not necessarily associative or commutative) ring zero-product-associative if and only if a product of elements of the ring which is. equal to zero remains equal to zero no matter how its factors are associated. In [2] it is shown that if $A$ is a zero-product-associative ring without nilpotent elements then a product of elements of $A$ which is equal to zero remains equal to zero no matter how its factors are associated or permuted. We observe also[4, Lemma 2] that an alternative ring without nilpotent elements is zero-product-associative.

DEFINITION. A nonzero element $a$ of a (not necessarily associative or commutative) ring $A$ is called a hyperatom of $A$ if and only if for every element. $x$ of $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x \neq 0 \text { implies } a(x s)=a \text { for some } s \in A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM. Let A be a zero-product-associative ring without nilpotent elements. and let a be a hyperatom of $A$. Then for every nonzero element $x$ of $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x=x^{2} \text { implies } a=x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. Since $x \neq 0$ and $A$ has no nilpotent elements, $a x=x^{2}$ implies $a x \neq 0$ and since $a$ is a hyperatom $a(x s)=a$ for some $s \in A$ by (1). From $a x=x^{2}$ it follows $(a-x) x=0$ which, by the Remark, implies $(a-x)$ xas $=(a-x)(a(x s))=0$ and therefore $(a-x) a=0$. But then the latter together with $(a-x) x=0$ imply
$(a-x)^{2}=0$. Hence $a=x$, as desired.
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