

ON OPERATOR SEMISTABLE PROBABILITY MEASURES ON HILBERT SPACES

BY SANG GONE LEE

1. Introduction and notation

Throughout H and H^* will denote a real separable Hilbert space and its topological dual, respectively; and $M(H)$ will denote the class of probability (prob.) measures on $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, the smallest σ -algebra containing the open sets of H . For $\mu \in M(H)$, the *characteristic functional* (ch. f.) of μ denoted by $\hat{\mu}$ is a complex valued function on H^* defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \int_H e^{i(x,y)} d\mu(x)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product on H and $y \in H^*$. For any $\mu, \nu \in M(H)$, we denote by $\mu * \nu$ the *convolution* of μ and ν which is a probability measure on $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ defined by

$$\mu * \nu(B) = \int_H \mu(B-x) d\nu(x)$$

for every $B \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$. The symbol μ^{*n} will denote μ convoluted n times with itself. It is well known [2, p.152] that every $\mu \in M(H)$ is uniquely determined by its ch. f. $\hat{\mu}$, and $\widehat{\mu^{*n}}(\cdot) = \hat{\mu}(\cdot)^n$. For $\mu, \mu_n \in M(H)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, we shall say that μ_n *converges weakly* to μ (in symbols, $\lim_n \mu_n = \mu$) if for every bounded continuous real valued function f on H , $\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known that $M(H)$ is the topological semigroup with the topology of weak convergence of measures and the convolution of measures as a multiplication.

Let A be a bounded, invertible, linear operator on H . For any $\mu \in M(H)$, we denote by $A\mu$ the measure on $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ defined by $A\mu(B) = \mu(A^{-1}(B))$ for every $B \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$. It is not difficult to verify that for any bounded linear operator A on H , $A(\mu * \nu) = A\mu * A\nu$ and $\widehat{A\mu}(y) = \hat{\mu}(A^*y)$, where A^* denotes the adjoint of A ; i. e. $(Ax, y) \equiv (x, A^*y)$. The measure δ_x defined by $\delta_x(B) = 0$ if $x \notin B$, and 1 if $x \in B$, is said to be the *degenerated probability measure* at $x \in H$.

Characteristic functions which satisfy, for all t , an equation of the form $f(t) = \{f(bt)\}^a$ where $a > 0$ and $0 < b < 1$ were considered by Lévy [1937], and the solutions have been called semistable laws. Pillai [3] has considered semistable laws and proved that they can be identified as weak limits of laws. Recently, Kumar [1] has extended this result to real separable Hilbert spaces.

Motivated from the work of Kumar [1], Pillai [3] and Lévy, in this paper we define operator r -semistable prob. measures on H and obtain a characterization of these measures in terms of the weak limits of measures in $M(H)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect necessary definitions and some known results that will be needed in this paper. We begin with the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1. A positive semidefinite Hermitian operator A is said to be an S -operator if it has finite trace, i. e., for some orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (S e_i, e_i) < \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A family $\{S_\alpha\}$ of S -operators is said to be *compact* if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(i) \sup_{\alpha} \text{trace}(S_{\alpha}) < \infty;$$

$$(ii) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (S_{\alpha} e_i, e_i) = 0$$

for some complete orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\}$ in H .

DEFINITION 2.3. A measure $\mu \in M(H)$ is said to be *infinitely divisible* if for each positive integer n , there exists a measure λ_n in $M(H)$ such that $\mu = \lambda_n^{*n}$

Now we will state some known results which will be needed in the proof of our theorems in this paper.

THEOREM 2.4. [2] A function $\phi(y)$ is the characteristic function of an infinitely divisible measure μ on H if and only if it is of the form

$$\phi(y) = \exp \left\{ i(x_0, y) - \frac{1}{2} (S y, y) + \int k(x, y) dM(x) \right\},$$

where x_0 is a fixed element of H , S is an S -operator and M is a σ -finite measure with finite mass outside every neighborhood of the origin and

$$\int_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|x\|^2 dM(x) < \infty$$

Here, $k(x, y)$ is the function $\{e^{i(x, y)} - 1 - (i(x, y)/1 + \|x\|^2)\}$.

The above representation is unique.

If μ is any infinitely divisible measure on H , by $\mu = [x, S, M]$, we mean that the three quantities occurring in the representation of Theorem 2.4 are, respectively, x, S , and M .

REMARK 2.5. If μ is an infinitely divisible measure with the representation $[x_0, S, M]$, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that for any $t > 0$, $[tx_0, tS, tM]$ is the ch. f. of some infinitely divisible prob. measure on H . In view of this, we denote by μ^t the infinitely divisible prob. measure with the representation $[tx_0, tS, tM]$.

REMARK 2.6. If $\mu = [x, S, M]$ and T denotes the associated operator defined by

$$(Ty, y) = (Sy, y) + \int_{\|x\| \leq 1} (x, y)^2 dM(x), \quad y \in H,$$

then it is easily shown that T is an S -operator.

THEOREM 2.7. [2] In order that a sequence of infinitely divisible measure $\mu_n = [x_n, S_n, M_n]$ converges weakly to $\mu = [x_0, S_0, M_0]$ it is necessary and sufficient that

- (i) $\lim_n x_n = x_0$,
- (ii) $M_n \implies M_0$ outside every closed neighborhood N of the origin
- (iii) the sequence of S -operators $\{T_n\}$ in Remark 2.6 is compact
- (iv) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| \leq \epsilon} (x, y)^2 dM_n + (S_n y, y) = (S_0 y, y)$

3. A characterization of operator r -semistable probability measures on H

In this section we define operator r -semistable prob. measures on H and obtain a characterization of these measures.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\mu \in M(H)$ be non-degenerate infinitely divisible and $0 < r < 1$, then, we say that μ is operator r -semistable if there exists a bounded, invertible linear operator B on H such that

$$\mu^r = B\mu \tag{3.1}$$

It is clear from the definition that an operator r -semistable measure is also operator r^n -semistable for every positive integer n .

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\mu \in M(H)$ be non-degenerate infinitely divisible. Then $\{\mu^t : t \in (0, \infty)\}$ is a semigroup and weakly continuous.*

Proof. It is clear from the definition of μ^t in Remark 2.6 that $\{\mu^t : 0 < t < \infty\}$ is a semigroup. Now we proceed to prove the weak continuity. Let $\mu = [x_0, S, M]$, and let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ converging to t . Then we must show that $\mu^{t_n} = [t_n x_0, t_n S, t_n M]$ weakly converges to $\mu^t = [t x_0, t S, t M]$.

To show this, it is enough to verify the conditions (i) – (iv) in Theorem 2.7. The conditions (i) and (ii) are easy to be verified. Now, verify the condition (iii): by noting the easy facts that $T_n = t_n T$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$, and $\sup_n \{t_n\} = u < \infty$, we easily see that

$$(i) \sup_n \text{Trace}(T_n) = \sup_n t_n \text{Trace}(T) = u \text{Trace}(T) < \infty$$

$$(ii) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (T_n e_i, e_i) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n t_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (T e_i, e_i) \\ = u \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (T e_i, e_i) = 0$$

Hence $\{T_n\}$ is compact. Finally, verify the condition (iv):

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| < \epsilon} (x, y)^2 d(t_n M) + (t_n S y, y) \\ = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \left(\int_{\|x\| < \epsilon} (x, y)^2 dM + (S y, y) \right) \\ = t \left((S y, y) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\|x\| < \epsilon} (x, y)^2 dM \right) = t(S y, y).$$

Now we state and prove our main theorem in this paper.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $\mu \in M(H)$ be non-degenerate infinitely divisible and $0 < r < 1$. Then the following is equivalent:*

- (i) μ is operator r -semistable.
- (ii) there exist a bounded, invertible, linear operator B and an increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$ of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n+1}}{k_n} = r \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B^{k_n} \mu^{*k_n} = \mu \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. (i) implies (ii): By the definition of operator r -semistable prob. measure, there exists a bounded, invertible, linear operator B on H such that $\mu^r = B\mu$. By iterating (3.1) n times ($n=1, 2, \dots$), we obtain

$$\mu^{r^n} = B^n \mu. \quad (3.4)$$

Now choose an increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$ of positive integers such that $r^n \cdot k_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (for example, $k_n = \text{the integral part of } \frac{1}{r^n}$). Then clearly, $\{k_n\}$ satisfies (3.2). By taking k_n -th power on both sides of the equation (3.4),

and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, by Theorem 2.7,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B^n \mu^{*k_n} = \mu.$$

(ii) implies (i): Let $\lim_n B^n \mu^{*k_n} = \mu$, for some bounded, invertible, linear operator B on H and $\{k_n\}$ satisfying (3.2). Then each $y \in H^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B^n \widehat{\mu^{*k_n}}(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\hat{\mu}(B^{*n}y)\}^{k_n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\hat{\mu}(B^{*n}(B^*y))\}^{k_n \cdot k_{n+1} / k_n} \\ &= \{\hat{\mu}(B^*y)\}^r \end{aligned}$$

By replacing y by $(B^*)^{-1}y$, we obtain

$$\hat{\mu}((B^*)^{-1}y) = \{\hat{\mu}(y)\}^r.$$

Since every $\mu \in M(H)$ is uniquely determined by its ch. f., it follows that $\mu^r = B^{-1}\mu$. Hence μ is operator r -semistable.

REMARK 3.4. (i) It is worth pointing out that while proving (ii) \implies (i) above, we need only assume that r is positive and not necessarily belongs to $(0, 1)$. However, if we assume that the norm of B , $\|B\|$ is less than 1, then $r \in (0, 1)$. This follows from the following argument: Suppose $r \geq 1$; then by (3.4), we have

$$|\hat{\mu}(B^{*n}y)| = |\hat{\mu}(y)|^{r^n} \leq |\hat{\mu}(y)|$$

for all $y \in H^*$. Since $\|B^*\| = \|B\| < 1$, $\|B^{*n}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $|\hat{\mu}(y)| = 1$ for all $y \in H^*$. This implies that μ is degenerate, contradicting the non-degeneracy of μ . Hence we must have $r \in (0, 1)$.

(ii) If we take a bounded, invertible, linear operator B on H as T_a : $x \rightarrow ax$, (a is a real number), we obtain a result of Kumar [1] from our main Theorem. Hence Theorem 3.3 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [1].

References

1. A. Kumar, *Semi-stable probability measures on Hilbert Spaces*, J. Multivariate Anal., **6**(1976), 309-318
2. K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability Measures in Metric Spaces*, New York, Academic Press, 1967
3. R. N. Pillai, *Semi-stable laws as limit distributions*, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, **42**(1971), 780-783

Jeon Bug National University