

## A GAUSS MAP ON HYPERSURFACES OF SUBMANIFOLDS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

BY C. THAS

In this paper we consider a hypersurface  $N$  of a submanifold  $\bar{N}$  of the Euclidean space  $E^m$ . Let  $\xi$  be a unit normal vector field on  $U \subset N$  in  $\bar{N}$ . If  $S^{m-1}$  is the hypersphere of  $E^m$  with centre the origin  $(0, \dots, 0)$  and with radius 1 and if  $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ , where  $x^1, \dots, x^m$  is the standard coordinate system of  $E^m$ , then the Gauss map of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$  is given by  $\eta: U \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ ;  $p \rightarrow (a^1(p), \dots, a^m(p))$ . Let  $\bar{\omega}$  (resp.  $\omega$ ) be a volume element of the spherical image of  $\bar{N}$  (resp. of  $N$ ) at the point  $\eta(p)$  (resp. at the point  $p$ ). In section 3, we look for the connection between  $\bar{\omega}$  and  $\omega$  in the following cases: (a). the vector field  $\xi$  is parallel in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$ , (b).  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $E^m$ , and (c).  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{N}$  and  $\xi$  determines at each point an asymptotic direction of  $\bar{N}$ .

### 1. Introduction

We shall assume throughout that all manifolds, maps, vector fields, etc. ... are differentiable of class  $C^\infty$ .

Suppose that  $\bar{N}$  is a  $(n+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of the Euclidean space  $E^m$  ( $m > n+1$ ) and that  $N$  is a  $n$ -dimensional submanifold (hypersurface) of  $\bar{N}$ . Consider in a neighborhood  $U$  of a point  $p \in N$  a unit normal vector field  $\xi$  on  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$ . The standard Riemann connection of  $E^m$  and the Riemann connections of  $\bar{N}$  and  $N$  are respectively denoted by  $\bar{D}$ ,  $\bar{D}$  and  $D$ .

The Weingarten map  $L$  of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$  is given by

$$\bar{D}_x \xi = L(X), \quad \forall X \in N_p, \quad (1.1)$$

and  $\det L$  is the Gauss curvature at the point  $p$  of the hypersurface  $N$  of  $\bar{N}$ . If  $Y$  and  $Z$  are vector fields of  $N$ , then we have

$$\bar{D}_Y Z = D_Y Z + V'(Y, Z),$$

where  $V'(Y, Z)$  is the second fundamental form of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$ . Moreover, we find

(the metric tensor is denoted by  $\langle , \rangle$ )

$$\bar{D}_Y Z = D_Y Z - \langle L(Y), Z \rangle \xi. \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $U$  and  $W$  be vector fields of  $\bar{N}$ , then

$$\bar{D}_U W = \bar{D}_U W + \bar{V}(U, W), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\bar{V}(U, W)$  is the second fundamental form of  $\bar{N}$  in  $E^m$ . From (1.2) and (1.3) it follows that

$$\bar{D}_Y Z = D_Y Z - \langle L(Y), Z \rangle \xi + \bar{V}(Y, Z). \quad (1.4)$$

But, if  $V(Y, Z)$  is the second fundamental form of  $\bar{N}$  in  $E^m$ , then we also have

$$\bar{D}_Y Z = D_Y Z + V(Y, Z), \quad (1.5)$$

and so, because of (1.4) and (1.5) we find that for each two vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$  of  $N$

$$V(Y, Z) = -\langle L(Y), Z \rangle \xi + \bar{V}(Y, Z). \quad (1.6)$$

The equation of Weingarten of  $N$  in  $E^m$ , with respect to the unit normal field  $\xi$  is given by

$$\bar{D}_X \xi = -(A_\xi(X)) + D_X^\perp \xi, \quad \forall X \in N_p, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $A_\xi$  determines a self adjoint linear map in the tangent spaces of  $N$  and  $D^\perp$  is a metric connection in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$ . We also have

$$\bar{D}_X \xi = \bar{D}_X \xi + \bar{V}(X, \xi)$$

or

$$\bar{D}_X \xi = L(X) + \bar{V}(X, \xi). \quad (1.8)$$

From (1.7) and (1.8) it follows that

$$L(X) = -(A_\xi(X)) \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$D_X^\perp \xi = \bar{V}(X, \xi), \quad \forall X \in N_p. \quad (1.10)$$

Because of (1.9) we have  $\det L = \pm \det A_\xi$ , which means that the Gauss curvature at the point  $p$  of the hypersurface  $N$  of  $\bar{N}$  is equal to  $\pm K(p, \xi_p)$ , where  $K(p, \xi_p)$  is the Lipschitz-Killing curvature at  $p$  of  $N$  in  $E^m$  with respect to  $\xi_p$ .

Suppose that  $\bar{R}$  is the curvature tensor of  $\bar{N}$  and that  $U_1, \dots, U_4$  are  $\bar{N}$ -vector fields, then the Gauss equation of  $\bar{N}$  in  $E^m$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_1, \bar{R}(U_2, U_3) U_4 \rangle &= \langle \bar{V}(U_2, U_1), \bar{V}(U_3, U_4) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \bar{V}(U_2, U_4), \bar{V}(U_3, U_1) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

If  $X \in N_p$ , then the Riemann curvature of  $\bar{N}$  at  $p$  in the two-dimensional direction  $(X, \xi_p)$  is given by

$$\bar{K}(X, \xi_p) = \frac{\langle X, \bar{R}(X, \xi_p) \xi_p \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle},$$

and because of (1.11),

$$\langle X, \bar{R}(X, \xi_p) \xi_p \rangle = \langle \bar{V}(X, X), \bar{V}(\xi_p, \xi_p) \rangle - \langle \bar{V}(X, \xi_p), \bar{V}(X, \xi_p) \rangle. \quad (1.12)$$

Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be  $N$ -vector fields, then it follows from (1.11) that  $\langle Y, \bar{R}(Z, \xi) \xi \rangle$  determines a 2-covariant symmetric  $N$ -tensor field. Suppose that the principal directions of this tensor field are locally (i. e. in the domain of the unit normal field  $\xi$ ) given by the orthonormal base field  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $N$ . Then  $\langle (e_i)_p, \bar{R}((e_i)_p, \xi_p) \xi_p \rangle$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are the extremal values of the Riemann curvatures of  $\bar{N}$  at  $p$  in the two-dimensional directions of  $\bar{N}_p$  which contain  $\xi_p$  (or, in other words, of the Riemann curvatures  $\bar{K}(X, \xi_p)$ ,  $\forall X \in N_p$ ).

DEFINITION. The total normal Riemann curvature of  $\bar{N}$  at the point  $p \in N$  is given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \prod_{i=1}^n \langle (e_i)_p, \bar{R}((e_i)_p, \xi_p) \xi_p \rangle.$$

## 2. The Gauss map

Suppose that  $x^1, \dots, x^m$  is the standard coordinate system of  $E^m$ , with coordinate vector fields  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$ .  $S^{m-1}$  is the hypersphere of  $E^m$  with centre the origin  $(0, \dots, 0)$  and with radius 1. For the unit normal vector field  $\xi$ , with domain  $U$ , we have  $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  and  $a^i$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) are  $C^\infty$  functions over  $U$ .

DEFINITION. The Gauss map of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$  is given by

$$\eta : U \rightarrow S^{m-1}; \quad p \rightarrow (a^1(p), \dots, a^m(p)).$$

Let  $X \in N_p$  and consider a curve  $\sigma : ]-a, +a[ \rightarrow N$  such that  $\sigma(o) = p$  and  $T_{\sigma(o)} = X$ . Then  $\eta \circ \sigma$  is a curve on  $S^{m-1}$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_*(X) &= T_{\eta \circ \sigma}(o) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{da_{\sigma}^i \sigma}{dt}(o) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{\eta(o)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m T_{\sigma(o)}(a^i) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{\eta(p)}\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\eta_*(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m X(a^i) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{\eta(p)}. \quad (2.1)$$

We also find  $\bar{D}_X \xi = \bar{D}_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m X(a^i) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p$  and thus, because of (1.7) and (1.8),

$$-(A_{\xi}(X)) + D_X^{\perp} \xi = L(X) + \bar{V}(X, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m X(a^i) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p. \quad (2.2)$$

### 3. a. The vector field $\xi$ is parallel in the normal bundle $N^{\perp}$ .

In this case we have  $D_X^{\perp} \xi = 0$ ,  $\forall X \in N_p$  and  $\forall p \in U$ , or

$$L(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m X(a^i) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p, \quad \forall X \in N_p \text{ and } \forall p \in U. \quad (3.1)$$

The variable point with coordinates  $(a^1(q), \dots, a^m(q))$ ,  $q \in U$  describes a submanifold  $S$  of  $S^{m-1}$  and  $\dim S \leq n$ ;  $S$  is the spherical image of  $N$  in the neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $p$ .

We restrict ourselves to the case  $\det L \neq 0$  at the point  $p$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose the  $\omega$  is a volume element of the spherical image  $S$  at the point  $\eta(p)$  and that  $\omega$  is a volume element of  $N$  at the point  $p$ , then*

$$\eta^*(\bar{\omega}) = \pm (\det L) \omega.$$

*Proof.* Because of (2.1) and (3.1), we know that the vectors  $\eta_*(X)$  and  $L(X)$ ,  $\forall X \in N_p$  have the same components with respect to the coordinate bases  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$  at the points  $\eta(p)$  and  $p$ . But  $\det L \neq 0$  at  $p$  and therefore  $\eta_*$  is a bijection of  $N_p$  on  $S_{\eta(p)}$ . In this case  $S$  is  $n$ -dimensional (moreover there exists a neighborhood of  $p$  in which  $\eta$  is a diffeomorphism). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an orthonormal set of eigenvectors of  $L$  at  $p$  and denote the dual forms by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ . Then  $\eta_*(X_1), \dots, \eta_*(X_n)$  form an orthogonal base of  $S_{\eta(p)}$ . Consider the orthonormal base

$$\eta_*(X_i) / \langle L(X_i), L(X_i) \rangle^{1/2}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

and denote the dual forms by  $\bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_n$ . If  $\rho_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$  are the eigenvalues

of  $L$  at  $p$ , then

$$\langle L(X_i), L(X_i) \rangle^{1/2} = |\rho_i| \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

Thus we find  $\eta^*(\bar{\omega}_i) = |\rho_i| \omega_i \quad i=1, \dots, n$  and we get

$$\eta^*(\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\omega}_n) = \eta^*(\bar{\omega}_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^*(\bar{\omega}_n) = |\rho_1 \dots \rho_n| \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n = |\det L| \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n,$$

which has to be proved.

REMARKS.

1. In a classical way one should formulate the statement of Theorem 1 as follows: if the vector field  $\xi$  is parallel in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$ , then the Gauss curvature at the point  $p$  of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$  or the Lipschitz-Killing curvature  $K(p, \xi_p)$  of  $N$  is equal to the ratio of volume element of the spherical image of  $N$  and the volume element of  $N$  at  $p$ .

2. If  $\det L=0$  at  $p$ , then  $\dim S < n$  in a neighborhood of  $p$ , or  $\dim S = n$ , but in this case the function  $\eta^* : F^1(S_{\eta(p)}) \rightarrow F^1(N_p)$  ( $F^1$  means the vector space of 1-forms) is no more a bijection and then  $\eta^*(\bar{\omega}) = 0$ . Thus we can say that theorem 1 remains true for  $\det L=0$ .

3. Suppose that  $\bar{N}$  is a hypersurface of  $E^m$ , with unit normal vector field  $\tau$  and with Weingarten map  $\bar{L}$ , then we have

$$\bar{V}(X, \xi_p) = -\langle \bar{L}(X), \xi_p \rangle \tau_p.$$

And therefore  $\xi$  is parallel in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$  iff  $\bar{L}(X) \perp \xi_p, \forall X \in N_p$  and  $\forall p \in U$ , i. e.  $\xi$  determines at each point  $p \in U$  a principal direction of the hypersurface  $\bar{N}$ .

EXAMPLES

1. Consider any hypersurface  $N$  of the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^{n+1} = \bar{N} \subset E^m$  ( $m > n+1$ ). Then the (local) normal unit vector field  $\xi$  (or  $-\xi$ ) of  $N$  in  $E^{n+1}$  is parallel in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$  and we find the well-known geometric interpretation for the Gauss curvature of a hypersurface of an Euclidean space.

2. Consider in  $E^m$  ( $m > 4$ ) the sphere  $N$  with parametric representation

$$x^1 = a \cos u \cos v, \quad x^2 = a \cos u \sin v,$$

$$x^3 = a \sin u, \quad x^j = 0 \quad j=4, \dots, m, \quad a > 0.$$

The vector field  $\xi$  with components  $\left( \frac{\cos u \cos v}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos u \sin v}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin u}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right)$  is clearly a  $C^\infty$  normal unit vector field on  $N$  and it is parallel

in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$ . Consider the manifold  $\bar{N}$ , represented by

$$x^1 = a \cos u \cos v + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cos u \cos v,$$

$$x^2 = a \cos u \sin v + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cos u \sin v,$$

$$x^3 = a \sin u + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \sin u,$$

$$x^4 = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$x^s = 0, \quad s=5, \dots, m \text{ and } k \in R.$$

Then  $N$  is a hypersurface of  $\bar{N}$  and it is at once clear that the relative total curvature of  $N$  with respect to  $\xi$  is equal to  $1/2a^2$  at each point of  $N$ , while it is easy to see that we also have  $\eta^*(\omega) = \pm \omega/2a^2$ .

### b. $N$ is totally geodesic in $E^m$

In this case we have  $V(Y, Z) = 0$ , for each two  $N$ -vector fields. From (1.6) it follows that

$L = 0$  (i.e.  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{N}$ ) and  $\bar{V}(Y, Z) = 0$ . Because (1.12) we have

$$\bar{K}(X, \xi_p) = - \frac{\langle \bar{V}(X, \xi_p), \bar{V}(X, \xi_p) \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle}, \quad \forall X \in N_p.$$

These Riemann curvatures of  $\bar{N}$  are thus always negative or zero. From now on we consider only the points  $p \in N$  for which the total normal Riemann curvature of  $\bar{N}$  is not zero (for the case  $\mathcal{K} = 0$ , we can make an analogous remark as in 3a.). Since zero is an extremal value for the Riemann curvatures  $\bar{K}(X, \xi_p)$ ,  $X \in N_p$  and since the function  $\delta: N_p \rightarrow N_p; X \rightarrow \bar{V}(X, \xi_p)$  is linear, we must suppose, if  $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$ , that  $m \geq 2n+1$ , otherwise  $\delta$  can not be injective. Consequently we have: if  $m < 2n+1$ , then  $\mathcal{K} = 0$  at each point of  $N$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $\bar{\omega}$  is a volume element of the spherical image of  $N$  at the point  $\eta(p)$  and that  $\omega$  is a volume element of  $N$  at the point  $p$ , then*

$$(\eta^*(\bar{\omega}))^2 = (-1)^n \mathcal{K}(\omega)^2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $L = 0$ , we see, because of (2.1) and (2.2) that  $\eta_*(X)$  and  $\bar{V}(X, \xi_p)$ ,  $\forall X \in N_p$ , have the same components with respect to the coordinate bases  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$  at the points  $\eta(p)$  and  $p$ .

Whereas  $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$  at the point  $p$ ,  $\eta_*$  will be a bijection of  $N_p$  on  $S_{\eta(p)}$ . Suppose that  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an orthonormal base field of  $N$ , which determines the principal direction of the 2-covariant symmetric tensor field  $\langle Y, \bar{R}(Z, \xi)\xi \rangle = -\langle \bar{V}(Y, \xi), \bar{V}(Z, \xi) \rangle$ . The dual forms of  $(e_1)_p, \dots, (e_n)_p$  are denoted by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ . Since

$$[-\langle \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p), \bar{V}((e_j)_p, \xi_p) \rangle], \quad i, j=1, \dots, n$$

becomes a diagonal matrix, we see that  $\eta_*((e_1)_p), \dots, \eta_*((e_n)_p)$  are pairwise orthogonal. Consider the orthonormal base

$$\eta_*((e_i)_p) / \langle \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p), \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \eta_p) \rangle^{1/2}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

and denote the dual base by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ . Remark that  $-\langle \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p), \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p) \rangle$  are the extremal values of the Riemann curvatures of  $\bar{N}$  at the point  $p$  in the two-dimensional directions of  $\bar{N}_p$  which contain  $\xi_p$ . We have

$$\eta^*(\bar{\omega}_i) = \langle \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p), \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p) \rangle^{1/2} \omega_i, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

and so we find

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^*(\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\omega}_n) &= \eta^*(\bar{\omega}_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^*(\bar{\omega}_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p), \bar{V}((e_i)_p, \xi_p) \rangle^{1/2} \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n \\ &= \sqrt{(-1)^n \mathcal{K}} \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof.

EXAMPLE. A variable  $n$ -dimensional linear space  $N(s)$  which is dependent on one parameter  $s$ , describes a monosystem  $\bar{N}$  in  $E^m$ . If  $r(s)$  is a base curve and if  $a_1(s), \dots, a_n(s)$  constitutes a base of the variable generating space  $N(s)$ , then  $\bar{N}$  can (locally) be represented by

$$X(s, 1_1, \dots, 1_n) = r(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n 1_i a_i(s), \quad 1_i \in R, \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

Each generating space  $N(s)$  is a hypersurface of  $\bar{N}$ , which is totally geodesic in  $E^m$ . If (accents mean derivation to  $s$ )

$$\text{rank} [r'(s) \ a_1(s) \ \dots \ a_n(s) \ a_1'(s) \ \dots \ a_n'(s)] = 2n+1, \quad \forall s,$$

then  $\bar{N}$  is non-developable. In this case it can be proved that at each point of each generating space  $N(s)$  we have  $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$  and so we can apply Theorem 2 (see [4]).

**c.  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{N}$  and  $\bar{V}(\xi_p, \xi_p) = 0, \quad \forall p \in N.$**

In this case the second fundamental form of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$  is identically zero,

*i. e.*  $L=0$  at each point  $p$  of  $N$ . If  $\bar{V}(\xi_p, \xi_p) = 0, \forall p \in N$ , then the vector  $\xi_p$  determines at each point  $p \in N$  an asymptotic direction of  $\bar{N}$ . Because of (1.12), we find

$$K(X, \xi_p) = -\frac{\langle \bar{V}(X, \xi_p), \bar{V}(X, \xi_p) \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle}, \quad \forall X \in N_p.$$

These Riemann curvatures of  $N$  are always negative or zero. We consider only the points  $p \in N$ , for which the total normal Riemann curvature  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\bar{N}$  is not zero and therefore we must suppose, analogously as in 3. b, that  $m \geq 2n+1$  (if  $m < 2n+1$ , then we have again  $\mathcal{K}=0$  at each point of  $N$ ).

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $\bar{\omega}$  is a volume element of the spherical image  $S$  of  $N$  at the point  $\eta(p)$  and that  $\omega$  is a volume element of  $N$  at the point  $p$ , then*

$$(\eta^*(\bar{\omega}))^2 = (-1)^n \mathcal{K}(\omega)^2. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* The proof of this statement is totally analogous to that of Theorem 2.

**EXAMPLE.** Suppose that  $x^1, \dots, x^{2(n+1)}$  are orthonormal coordinates in  $E^{2(n+1)}$  and consider  $E^{n+1}$  as the subspace of  $E^{2(n+1)}$  represented by  $x^{n+2} = \dots = x^{2(n+1)} = 0$ . In  $E^{n+1}$  we take a hypersurface  $N$ , which is locally given by the following parametric representation ( $u_j, j=1, \dots, n$  are the parameters)

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n), \quad i=1, \dots, n+1, \\ x^k &= 0, \quad k=n+2, \dots, 2(n+1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using the unit normal vector field  $\tau(\tau_1(u_1, \dots, u_n), \dots, \tau_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_n), 0, \dots, 0)$  of  $N$  in  $E^{n+1}$ , we construct the following  $(n+1)$ -dimensional submanifold  $N$  of  $E^{2(n+1)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \quad i=1, \dots, n+1, \\ x^j &= 1\tau_{j-n-1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \quad j=n+2, \dots, 2(n+1) \text{ and } 1 \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $N$  (or the part  $N$  given by (3.3), which will henceforth be denoted by  $N$ ) clearly is a hypersurface of  $\bar{N}$ .

Consider in  $E^{2(n+1)}$  the normal unit vector field  $\xi$  on  $N$ , with components  $(0, \dots, 0, \tau_1(u_1, \dots, u_n), \dots, \tau_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_n))$ . If  $\bar{D}$  is the standard Riemann connection of  $E^{2(n+1)}$ ,  $\bar{D}$  the Riemann connection of  $\bar{N}$ ,  $\bar{V}$  the second fundamental form of  $\bar{N}$ ,  $L$  the Weingarten map of  $N$  in  $\bar{N}$  and if  $\bar{L}$  is the Weingarten map of  $N$  in  $E^{n+1}$ , then we have

$$\bar{D}_X \tau = \bar{L}(X), \quad \forall X \in N_p \quad (3.4)$$

and the Gauss curvature  $G$  of  $N$  in  $E^{n+1}$  at the point  $p$  is given by  $\det \bar{L}$ .



Moreover we find

$$\bar{D}_X \xi = \bar{D}_X \xi + \bar{V}(X, \xi) = L(X) + \bar{V}(X, \xi). \quad (3.5)$$

But if we consider the components of the unit normal field  $\xi$ , then it is clear that  $L=0$  at each point of  $N$ , i. e.,  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{N}$ . We also have that  $\xi$  determines at each point of  $N$  an asymptotic direction of  $\bar{N}$ , i. e.,  $\bar{V}(\xi, \xi)=0$ . It is also clear that

$$\langle \bar{D}_X \tau, \bar{D}_X \tau \rangle = \langle \bar{D}_X \xi, \bar{D}_X \xi \rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

Because of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we find for the Riemann curvature of  $\bar{N}$  in the two-dimensional direction  $(X, \xi_p)$  of  $\bar{N}_p$

$$\bar{K}(X, \xi_p) = -\frac{\langle \bar{V}(X, \xi_p), \bar{V}(X, \xi_p) \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle} = -\frac{\langle \bar{L}(X), \bar{L}(X) \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle}.$$

If the principal curvatures of the hypersurface  $N$  (of  $E^{n+1}$ ) at the point  $p$  are denoted by  $1/R_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ , then we have at once for total normal Riemann curvature of  $\bar{N}$  at  $p$

$$\mathcal{K} = \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^n \frac{1}{R_i^2} = (-1)^n G^2,$$

and this is what (3.2) says, because in our example  $\eta^*(\omega) = \pm |G|\omega$ .

#### REMARK

1. If  $n=1$ , then  $N$  is a curve on the surface  $\bar{N}$ . Suppose that  $T$  is a unit tangent vector field of  $N$  and that the unit normal vector field  $\xi$  is parallel in the normal bundle  $N^\perp$ , then we have  $\bar{D}_T \xi = kT = L(T)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and Theorem 1 remains true ( $k = \det L$  and volume element is now arc element). This is also valid for Theorems 2 and 3.

Remark that in the case  $n=1$ , the total normal Riemann curvature  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\bar{N}$  at the point  $p$  of  $N$  is equal to the Riemann curvature (or Gauss curvature)  $G$  of  $\bar{N}$  at  $p$ . We give an example for the third case (3.c): consider a non-developable ruled surface  $\bar{N}$  in  $E^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), which is locally represented by

$$r(s) + 1\xi(s), \quad \xi^2=1, \quad s \in I \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $s$  is the arc length of the base curve  $I \rightarrow \bar{N}$ ;  $s \rightarrow r(s)$ , which is an orthogonal trajectory of the generating lines. Suppose that  $r(s)$  (which is in this example  $N$ ) is a geodesic of  $\bar{N}$ . Then, a theorem of Bonnet says that  $N$  is also the line of striction of  $\bar{N}$  and the conditions for all this are (with classical notations; accents mean derivation to  $s$ )  $r'\xi = r'\xi' = 0$ ,  $\forall s \in I$ . In

this case the parameters of distribution  $d$  are given by  $d^2=1/\xi'^2$ ,  $\forall s \in I$  and for the Riemann curvature  $K$  of  $\bar{N}$  at  $q$  we find  $G=-d^2/(d^2+t^2)^2$ , where  $t$  is the distance between  $q$  and the point of striction on the generating line through  $q$ . At the points  $p$  of  $N$  we have  $t=0$  and thus

$$G = -\frac{1}{d^2} = -\xi'^2 = -\frac{(d\xi)^2}{(ds)^2},$$

and this is what (3.2) says.

2. Suppose that  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $E^m$  or that  $N$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{N}$  and  $\bar{V}(\xi_q, \xi_q) = 0$ ,  $\forall q \in N$ . Take a point  $p \in N$  and a vector  $X \in N_p$ . Consider a curve  $\sigma: ]-a, +a[ \rightarrow N$ ;  $t \rightarrow \sigma(t)$  on  $N$ , such that  $\sigma(0) = p$  and  $T_{\sigma(0)} = X$ . Then we have for the arc length  $s$  of  $\sigma$

$$\left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)_{t=0} = \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2}. \quad (3.7)$$

The spherical image of  $\sigma$  is the curve  $\eta \circ \sigma$  on  $S$ . We find for the arc length  $\bar{s}$  of the curve  $\eta \circ \sigma$ , because of (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\bar{s}}{dt}\right)_{t=0} &= \langle T_{\eta \circ \sigma(0)}, T_{\eta \circ \sigma(0)} \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m X(a^i) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_{\eta(p)}, \sum_{i=1}^m X(a^i) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_{\eta(p)} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{V}(X, \xi_p), \bar{V}(X, \xi_p) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now the expressions of  $\bar{K}(X, \xi_p)$  in the cases 3. b. and 3. c., together with (3.7) and (3.8) give a nice geometrical interpretation of such Riemann curvature of  $\bar{N}$ : suppose that  $t=0$  gives  $s=0$ )

$$\bar{K}(X, \xi_p) = -\left(\frac{d\bar{s}}{ds}\right)_{s=0}^2.$$

## References

1. Chen, B. Y., *Geometry of submanifolds*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
2. Hicks, N. J., *Notes on differential geometry*. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1965.
3. Spivak, M., *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*. Publish or Perish Inc., Boston, 1970.
4. Thas, C., *Een (lokale) studie van de (m+1)-dimensionale variëteiten van de n-di-*

*mensionale euclidische ruimte  $R^n$  ( $n \geq 2m+1$  en  $m \geq 1$ ), beschreven door een één-dimensionale familie van  $m$ -dimensionale lineaire ruimten. Meded. Kon. Acad. Wet., Lett., Sch. K. van België, jaargang XXXVI, 1974, nr. 4, 83 pp.*

University of Ghent, Belgium