Kyungpook Math. J. Volume 18, Number 2 December, 1978

## ON A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF P. HILL

By M.Z. Khan and A.H. Ansari

The object of this short note is to generalize a well known Theorem of P. Hill which he proves for primary groups [2: Theorem 2.4] for the case of a module over bounded hereditary noetherian prime ring. The technique of the proof is entirely new and much easier than the given one by Paul Hill. We recall that if M is a module over a ring R then a submodule N of M is called pure if  $rN = N \cap rM$  for every  $r \in R$ . The following lemma is seemingly

known.

LEMMA 1. If M is a module over a ring R and  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  are submodules of M<sup>-</sup> then followings hold.

(1) If  $N_1 \cap N_2$  is pure in  $N_2$  then  $N_1$  is pure in  $N_1+N_2$ . (2) If  $N_1+N_2$  is pure in M and  $N_1 \cap N_2$  is pure in  $N_2$  then  $N_1$  is pure in M. (3) If  $N_1+N_2$  is pure in M and  $N_1 \cap N_2$  is pure in M then  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are pure in M.

(4) If  $N_1 \cap N_2$  is pure in  $N_1 + N_2$  then  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are pure in  $N_1 + N_2$ .

PROOF. For any  $r \in R$ ,

 $N_1 \cap r(N_1 + N_2) = N_1 \cap (rN_1 + rN_2)$  $=rN_{1}+(N_{1}\cap rN_{2})$ ;

but as  $N_1 \cap N_2$  is pure in  $N_2$ , it is easy to see that  $N_1 \cap rN_2 \subset rN_1$ . Hence  $N_1 \cup N_2$  $r(N_1+N_2)=rN_1$  and we get  $N_1$  to be pure submodule of  $N_1+N_2$ . Now using (1) and transitive property of purity, (2), (3), (4) trivially follow.

Now if M is a module over a bounded hereditary noetherian prime ring R, then the following has been proved by Singh [3: Corollary 4].

THEOREM 2. If M is a divisible module over a bounded (hnp)-ring R then M is injective.

As defined in [1], a submodule N of an arbitrary module M over a ring Ris called dense if M/N is divisible.

Now the following Theorem generalizes [2: Theorem 2.4.].

## 142 M.Z. Khan and A.H. Ansari

THEOREM 3. If M is a module over a bounded (hnp)-ring R. If N is submodule of M and U is a pure and dense submodule of N, then there exists a pure and dense submodule V of M such that  $U=V \cap N$ .

PROOF. Appealing to Theorem 2,  $M/U = N/U \oplus V/U$  for some submodule V/U of M/U. Trivially  $U = N \cap V$  and V is dense in M and M = N + V. Now appeal-

ing to lemma 1 we get V to be pure submodule of M. Hence the Theorem.

Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202001

India.

REFERENCES

•

[1] Head, T.J., Dense submodules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13(1962)
[2] Hill, Paul, On quasi isomorphic invariants of primary groups, Pac. J.Math. 22 (1967), 257-265.

[3] Singh, S., Modules over hereditary Noetherian prime rings, Canad. J. Math. 27 (1975), 867-883.

· ·