

THE RIESZ DECOMPOSITION OF VECTOR-VALUED UNIFORM
 AMART FOR CONTINUOUS PARAMETER

By Bong Dae Choi

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space. For each $t \in R^+ = [0, \infty)$, let \mathcal{F}_t be a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} which includes all of null sets. The collection $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in R^+}$ of σ -algebras is assumed to be increasing (i.e., if $t \leq s$, then $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_s$) and right continuous (i.e. $\mathcal{F}_t = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s$ for all $t \in R^+$). A simple stopping time of $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is a function $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ taking only finitely many values, such that $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for all t . Let T be the set of all simple stopping times; under the natural order T is a directed set filtering to the right. σ, τ and ρ denote elements of T .

Let E be a Banach space and $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ be a family of random variables adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in R^+}$, i.e., $X_t: \Omega \rightarrow E$ is \mathcal{F}_t -strongly measurable for each $t \in R^+$. $E(X)$ (expectation of X) is the Bochner integral of X and $E(X|\mathcal{B})$ is a conditional expectation of X relative to a subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$. For $\tau \in T$ define the random variable X_τ by $X_\tau = X_t$ on $\{\tau = t\}$ and define the σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_τ by $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid A \cap \{\tau = t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \text{ for all } t \in R^+\}$. The family $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is called an amart [4] for $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in R^+}$ iff $E\|X_t\| < \infty$ for all $t \in R^+$ and the net $(E(X_\tau))_{\tau \in T}$ converges to a finite limit.

A. Bellow introduced uniform amarts [3] for discrete parameter. One of the characterizations of uniform amarts is the following: (X_n) is a uniform amart.

$$\text{iff } \lim_{\tau_n \geq n} (E(X_{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_n) - X_n) = 0 \text{ in } L^1_E.$$

Now we introduce uniform amart for continuous parameter.

DEFINITION $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is *E-valued uniform amart* if whenever $\tau_n \geq s_n \uparrow \infty$ we have $\lim (E(X_{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_{s_n}) - X_{s_n}) = 0$ in L^1_E , where $\tau_n \in T, s_n \in R^+$.

Edgar and Sucheston [5] have given a Riesz decomposition of vector-valued amarts for discrete parameter, and A. Bellow [3] has given a Riesz decomposition of vector-valued uniform amarts for discrete parameter. The continuous parameter version of this theorem is the main result of the present note.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is vector-valued quasi-martingale, then $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is vector-valued uniform amart.*

PROOF. Let $\tau_n \geq s_n \uparrow \infty$, where $\tau_n \in T$, $s_n \in R^+ = [0, \infty)$. Let (t_R) be the "union" of (s_n) and the set of values of all the τ_n arranged in increasing order. Then (X_t) is quasi-martingale for discrete parameter. By [3] (X_t) is uniform amart for discrete parameter. Since (τ_n) are stopping times for (\mathcal{F}_t) , by one of the characterizations of uniform amarts we have

$$\int \|E(X_{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_{s_n}) - X_{s_n}\| dp \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is vector-valued uniform amart.

THEOREM 2. (Riesz decomposition) *Let $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ be vector-valued uniform amart. Then $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ admits a unique decomposition $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$ where $(Y_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is a martingale and $\|Z_t\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In addition $\|Z_\tau\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \uparrow \infty$.*

PROOF. First we will prove that this decomposition is unique. If $Y_t + Z_t = Y'_t + Z'_t$, where Y_t, Z_t and Y'_t, Z'_t are two Riesz decomposition for X_t , then $\|Y_t - Y'_t\|_1 \leq \|Z_t\|_1 + \|Z'_t\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. But $(Y_t), (Y'_t)$ being martingales implies that $(\|Y_t - Y'_t\|_1)$ is a real-valued submartingale. This implies that $\|Y_t - Y'_t\|_1$ is a non-decreasing function on t . Therefore $\|Y_t - Y'_t\|_1 = 0$ which gives

$$Y_t = Y'_t \text{ a.s. and } Z_t = Z'_t \text{ a.s.}$$

Next we will show the existence of the decomposition. Let $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < \dots$ be any strictly increasing sequence with $\lim s_n = \infty$. Let t be any fixed real number.

For $s_j \geq t$, $E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_t)$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^1_E , because

$$\|E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_t) - E(X_{s_i} | \mathcal{F}_t)\|_1 = \|E(E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_{s_i}) - X_{s_i}) | \mathcal{F}_t)\|_1 \leq \|E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_{s_i}) - X_{s_i}\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $W_t = \lim E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_t)$ exists almost surely and in L^1_E . The L^1 -convergence of $E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_t)$ obviously implies

$$E(W_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = \lim E(E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = \lim E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_s) = W_s \text{ for } s < t,$$

that is, $(W_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is a martingale. Now we show that $\|X_{s_j} - W_{s_j}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\|E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_{s_i}) - X_{s_i}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, $E(X_{s_j} | \mathcal{F}_{s_i}) \rightarrow W_{s_i}$ in L^1 . Therefore $X_{s_i} \rightarrow W_{s_i}$ in L^1 .

Consider the sequence 1, 2, 3, ... Let Y_t denote the corresponding martingale such that $\|X_n - Y_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. We claim that $\|X_t - Y_t\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise there would exist a strictly increasing sequence $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \dots$ increasing to ∞

such that $\lim \|X_{t_n} - Y_{t_n}\|_1 > \varepsilon$. Let (s_n) be the union of (t_n) and (n) in increasing order. Let (R_t) be a martingale such that $\|X_{s_i} - Y_{s_i}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Now $(Y_t - R_t)$ is a martingale and so $\|Y_t - R_t\|_1$ is a submartingale, therefore $\|Y_t - R_t\|_1$ is non-decreasing function of t . However we have

$$\|Y_n - R_n\|_1 \leq \|X_n - Y_n\|_1 + \|X_n - R_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

by the choice of the martingales (Y_t) and (R_t) . Therefore $P(Y_t = R_t) = 1$ for every t . This implies that $\|X_{s_i} - Y_{s_i}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ and this is against the choice of the sequence (t_i) . Therefore $\|X_t - Y_t\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Put $Z_t = X_t - Y_t$.

In addition, let $\tau_n \in T$, $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$. The set of values of all the τ_n is an increasing sequence $s_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $U_i = Z_{s_i}$, define stopping times σ_n for (\mathcal{F}_{s_i}) by letting $\sigma_n = i$ on $\{\tau_n = s_i\}$. (U_i) is discrete parameter uniform amart, and (U_i) is L^1 -bounded. By [3] $(\|U_i\|)$ is real-valued L^1 -bounded amart. By [1] $\|Z_{\tau_n}\|_1 = \|U_{\sigma_n}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Metivier and Pallaumail [6] proved Riesz decomposition for quasi-martingale under the assumption that E has the Radon-Nikodym property. But combining theorem 2 with proposition 1, we obtain Riesz decomposition for quasi-martingale without Radon-Nikodym property.

COROLLARY 3. *Vector-valued quasi-martingale for continuous parameter has Riesz decomposition.*

Let now \mathcal{B} be an algebra of subsets of Ω . If $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E$ is a finitely additive set function, we denote by $\|\mu\|$ total variation of μ , that is, $\|\mu\| = \sup \sum_i \|\mu(A_i)\|$ (the supremum being taken over all finite sequences (A_i) of disjoint sets in \mathcal{B}) whenever the supremum is finite.

LEMMA 4. [4] *Let E be a Banach space. Let $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ be an E -valued amart. For each $\tau \in T$*

$$\text{set } \mu_\tau(A) = \int_A X_\tau dp \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$$

Then the family $(\mu_\tau(A))_{\tau \in T}$ converges to a limit $\mu(A)$ in E for each $A \in \bigcup_{t \in R^+} \mathcal{F}_t = \bigcup_{\tau \in T} \mathcal{F}_\tau$, and the convergence is "uniform" in the sense that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $t_0 \in R^+$ such that

$$\sigma \in T, \sigma \geq t_0 \Rightarrow \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma} \|\mu_\sigma(A) - \mu(A)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 5. *Let $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ be vector-valued amart. The following are equivalent under the notations in Lemma 4.*

- (1) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_0 \in R^+$ such that $\|\mu_\sigma - \mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\| < \varepsilon$ for any $\sigma \geq t_0$.
 (2) $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is vector-valued uniform amart.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) First we observe that $\|\mu_\tau\| = \int \|X_\tau\| dp$. Let $\tau_n \geq s_n \uparrow \infty$.

$$\|E(X_{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_{s_n}) - X_{s_n}\|_1 \leq \|X_{\tau_n} - X_{s_n}\|_1 = \|\mu_{\tau_n} - \mu_{s_n}\| \leq \|\mu_{\tau_n} - \mu\| + \|\mu - \mu_{s_n}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By Theorem 1 we have Riesz decomposition $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$ where (Y_t) is a martingale and $\|Z_\tau\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \uparrow \infty$. Since $\mu_\tau(A) = \int_A X_\tau dp = \int_A Y_\tau dp + \int_A Z_\tau dp$ and $\int_A Z_\tau dp \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\mu(A) = \int_A Y_\tau dp$ is independent on τ . Hence:

$$\|\mu_\tau - \mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}\| = \int \|X_\tau - Y_\tau\| dp = \|Z_\tau\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

COROLLARY 6. Let $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ be a vector-valued uniform amart which is L^1 -bounded, that is, $\sup \int \|X_t\| dp = A < \infty$.

Then $(\|X_t\|)_{t \in R^+}$ is a real-valued L^1 -bounded amart at ∞ .

PROOF. By theorem 2 there exists $t_0 \in R^+$ such that $\|\mu_\sigma - \mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\| < \varepsilon$ for any $\sigma \geq t_0$. In particular for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\|\mu_t - \mu|_{\mathcal{F}_t}\| < \varepsilon. \text{ Therefore } \|\mu|_{\mathcal{F}_t}\| \leq \|\mu_t\| + \varepsilon \leq A + \varepsilon.$$

Since the total variation of a measure increases with the σ -field, we have:

$$\|\mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\| \leq A + \varepsilon \text{ for all } \sigma \in T.$$

Hence $\|\mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\|$ converges in R . On the other hand

$$\left| \int \|X_\sigma\| dp - \|\mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\| \right| = \left| \|\mu_\sigma\| - \|\mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\| \right| \leq \|\mu_\sigma - \mu|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore $(\int \|X_\sigma\| dp)_{\sigma \in T}$ converges.

COROLLARY 7. Let E has Randon-Nikodym property, and $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ be L^1 -bounded uniform amart.

(1) If $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is terminally uniformly integrable, that is, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there are t_0, δ_0 such that $t \geq t_0$ and $P(A) < \delta$ implies $\int_A \|X_t\| dp < \varepsilon$, then $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ converges in L^1 -norm.

(2) if $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ is separable, then $(X_t)_{t \in R^+}$ converges a.s. as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. (1) By theorem 1 we have Riesz decomposition $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$. Since (Z_t) is terminally uniformly integrable, $Y_t = X_t - Z_t$ is also terminally uniformly integrable. By [9] (Y_t) converges in L^1 . Hence (X_t) converges in L^1 .

(2) Let $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$ be the Riesz decomposition. Now $\int \|Z_t\| d\mathbb{P} \rightarrow 0$, so $(Z_t)_{t > t_0}$ is L^1 -bounded for some t_0 . Thus $(Y_t)_{t > t_0}$ is an L^1 -bounded separable martingale. Hence (Y_t) converges a.s. as $t \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand $(\|Z_t\|)_{t > t_0}$ is a real-valued L^1 -bounded amart at ∞ by Cor 2. So $(\|Z_t\|)$ converges a.s. as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence (Z_t) converges a.s. Therefore (X_t) converges a.s.

Ohio State Univ.
Columbus, Ohio 43210
U.S.A.
Kyungpook Univ.
635 Taegu, Korea.

REFERENCES

- [1] Astbury, K., *On Amarts and Other Topics*, Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio State University, (1976). Also *amarts indexed by directed sets*, Ann. prob. 1977, to appear.
- [2] Austin, D.G., Edgar, G.A., and Ionescu Tulcea, A., *Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations*, Zeit. Wahrs. verw. Gebiete 30, pp. 17–26 (1974).
- [3] Bellow, A., *Les Amarts uniformes*, C. R. Acad. Sci, Paris, 284, Serie A pp. 1295–1298 (1977).
- [4] Edgar, G. A., and Sucheston, L., *Amarts: A class of asymptotic martingales*, J. Multivariate Anal. 6. pp. 193–221 ; 572–591 (1976)
- [5] Edgar, C. A., and Sucheston, L., *The Riesz decomposition for vector-valued amarts*, Zeit. Wahrs. verw. Gebiete 36. pp. 85–92 (1976).
- [6] Metivier, M., and Pellaumail, J., *On doleans-Follmer's measure for quasi-martingales*, Illinois J. Math 19 (1975) No. 4 pp. 491–504.
- [7] Neveu, J., *Martingales a temps discrete*. Masson, Parris, 1972.
- [8] Rao, K. M., *Quasi-martingales*, Math. Scand. 24, pp. 79–92 (1969)
- [9] Uhl, J. J., *Applications of Radon-Nikodym Theorems to martingales of vector-valued functions*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 75, 1969, pp. 139–144.