

RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS ADMITTING AN INFINITESIMAL CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION II

By H. B. Pandey

1. Introduction

In the present paper we have obtained some conditions for a Riemannian manifold M to be isometric to a sphere. For, we have applied several results of well known authors.

Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n with metric tensor g_{ji} . We denote by $\nabla_i K_{kji}^h$, K_{ji} and K the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to g_{ji} , the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of M respectively. We put

$$(1.1) \quad G_{ji} = K_{ji} - \frac{1}{n} K g_{ji}$$

$$(1.2) \quad Z_{kjih} = K_{kjih} - \frac{K}{n(n-1)} \{g_{hk} g_{ji} - g_{jh} g_{ki}\}.$$

Then we have

$$(1.3) \quad G_{ji} g^{ji} = 0, \quad Z_{aji}^a = G_{ji}$$

$$(1.4) \quad G_{ji} G^{ji} = K_{ji} K^{ji} - \frac{K^2}{n}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad Z_{hkji} Z^{hkji} = K_{hkji} K^{hkji} - \frac{2K^2}{n(n-1)}$$

When M admits an infinitesimal transformation v^h , we denote by \mathcal{L} the operator of Lie derivation with respect to v^h . Thus, if M admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation v^h , we have

$$(1.6) \quad (a) \quad \mathcal{L} g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$$

$$(b) \quad \mathcal{L} g^{ih} = -2\rho g^{ih},$$

for a certain scalar field ρ . Let $\rho_i = \nabla_i \rho$.

For an infinitesimal conformal transformation v^h in M , we have

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{L} K_{kji}^h = -\delta_k^h \nabla_j \rho_i + \delta_j^h \nabla_k \rho_i - \nabla_k \rho^h g_{ji} + \nabla_j \rho^h g_{ki}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{L}K_{ji} = -(n-2) \nabla_j \rho_i - \Delta\rho g_{ji},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{L}K = -2(n-1)\Delta\rho - 2\rho K,$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad \Delta\rho = g^{ji} \nabla_j \nabla_i \rho.$$

Thus in M with $K = \text{const.}$, we have

$$\Delta\rho = -\frac{K}{n-1}\rho.$$

We have

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}G_{ji} &= -(n-2) \left(\nabla_j \rho_i - \frac{1}{n} \Delta\rho g_{ji} \right), \\ \mathcal{L}Z_{kji}^h &= -\delta_k^h \nabla_j \rho_i + \delta_j^h \nabla_k \rho - \nabla_k \rho^h g_{ji} \\ &\quad + \nabla_j \rho^h g_{ki} + \frac{2}{n} \Delta\rho (\delta_k^h g_{ji} - \delta_j^h g_{ki}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now state some wellknown results:

THEOREM A (Yano, [4]). *If M is compact orientable and of dimension $n > 2$, with $K = \text{const.}$, and admits an infinitesimal non-isometric conformal transformation v^h : $\mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, $\rho \neq \text{const.}$; such that*

$$\int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv \geq 0$$

dv being the volume element of M , then M is isometric to a sphere.

THEOREM B 2. *If a compact orientable M of dimension $n > 2$ with $K = \text{const.}$ admits an infinitesimal non-homothetic conformal transformation v^h : $\mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, $\rho \neq \text{const.}$; such that*

$$\mathcal{L}(G^{ji} \mathcal{L}G_{ji}) \leq 0,$$

then M is isometric to a sphere.

THEOREM C. *If a compact orientable M admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation v^h : $\mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$ then we have*

$$(1.12) \quad \int_M \rho F dv = -\frac{1}{n} \int_M \mathcal{L}F dv$$

for any function F .

We also need following integral formulas proved in (Yano, K. [3]):

If a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M of dimension $n > 2$ with $K =$

const. admits an infinitesimal nonhomothetic conformal transformation $v^h: \mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, $\rho \neq \text{const.}$, then we have

$$(1.13) \quad \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = \frac{1}{n-2} \int_M \left[2\rho^2 G_{ji} G^{ji} + \frac{1}{2} \rho \mathcal{L}(G_{ji} G^{ji}) \right] dv$$

$$(1.14) \quad \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} + \frac{1}{3} \rho \mathcal{L}(Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih}) \right] dv$$

2. Some Theorems

Let us prove the following lemma first.

LEMMA 2.1. *If a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M of dimension $n > 2$ with $K = \text{const.}$ admits an infinitesimal non-homothetic conformal transformation $v^h: \mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, $\rho \neq \text{const.}$, then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 W_{kjih} W^{kjih} + \frac{1}{8} \rho \mathcal{L}(W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) \right] dv$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{n}{n-1}$$

and

$$W_{kjih} = K_{kjih} - \frac{1}{n-1} [K_{kh} g_{ji} - K_{jh} g_{ki}]$$

is projective curvature tensor, representing the derivation of the manifold from projective flatness.

PROOF. A relation between Z_{kjih} and W_{kjih} is given

$$W_{hkji} = Z_{hkji} + \frac{1}{n-1} [g_{hj} G_{ki} - g_{kj} G_{hi}].$$

Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad W_{hkji} W^{hkji} = Z_{hkji} Z^{hkji} - \frac{2}{n-1} G_{ki} G^{ki}$$

From (1.14) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \left\{ W_{hkji} W^{hkji} + \frac{2}{n-1} G_{ki} G^{ki} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \rho \left\{ \mathcal{L}W_{hkji} W^{hkji} + \frac{2}{n-1} \mathcal{L}G_{ki} G^{ki} \right\} \right] dv \\ \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 W_{hkji} W^{hkji} + \frac{1}{8} \rho \mathcal{L}(W_{hkji} W^{hkji}) \right] dv \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-1} \int_M \left[\rho^2 G_{ki} G^{ki} + \frac{1}{4} \rho \mathcal{L}G_{ki} G^{ki} \right] dv \end{aligned}$$

From (1.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 W_{hkji} W^{hkji} + \frac{1}{8} \rho \mathcal{L}(W_{hkji} W^{hkji}) \right] dv \\ &+ \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{n-2}{2} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv \\ \left(1 - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}\right) \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 W_{hkji} W^{hkji} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \rho \mathcal{L}(W_{hkji} W^{hkji}) \right] dv \\ \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \frac{(n-1)}{n} \int_M \left[\rho^2 W_{hkji} W^{hkji} + \frac{1}{4} \rho \mathcal{L}(W_{hkji} W^{hkji}) \right] dv \end{aligned}$$

hence proved.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that a compact Riemannian manifold M of dimension $n > 2$ with $K = \text{const.}$ admits an infinitesimal nonhomothetic conformal transformation v^h .*

$$\text{If } \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}(W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) \leq 0$$

then M is isometric to a sphere.

PROOF. Using Lemma (2.1) and Theorem C we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_M \rho^2 W_{kjih} W^{kjih} dv \\ &+ \frac{n-1}{4n} \int_M \rho \mathcal{L}(W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_M \rho^2 W_{kjih} W^{kjih} dv - \frac{n-1}{4n} \frac{1}{n} \int_M \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}(W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) dv \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\alpha > 0$, as $n > 2$, we can easily see in the light of theorem A, that if

$$\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}(W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) \leq 0,$$

then M is isometric to a sphere.

THEOREM 2.2. (for instance see Hiramatu [1], 74). *If a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M with constant scalar curvature field K and of dimension n admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation v^h : $\mathcal{L} g_{ji} = 2 g_{ji} \neq \text{const.}$ such that*

$$\int_M \rho (\nabla^j \rho^i) G_{ji} dv \leq 0$$

then M is isometric to a sphere.

PROOF. By using Green's theorem, we have

$$\int_M \nabla^j (G_{ji} \rho^i \rho) dv = \int_M (\nabla^j G_{ji}) \rho^i \rho dv + \int_M G_{ji} (\nabla^j \rho^i) \rho dv + \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = 0$$

Since $\nabla^j G_{ji} = 0$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_M \rho (\nabla^j \rho^i) G_{ji} dv + \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = 0.$$

Thus the result follows from Theorem A.

THEOREM 2.3. *If M is compact orientable and of dimension $n > 2$ ($n > 4$) with $K = \text{const.}$ and admits an infinitesimal nonhomothetic conformal transformation $v^h: \mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_M \rho^2 G^{ji} G_{ji} dv \leq 0, \quad \left(\int_M \rho^2 G_{ji} G^{ji} dv \geq 0 \right)$$

then M is isometric to a sphere.

PROOF. In order to prove it, let us take the following tensor.

$$(2.5) \quad W'_{kjih} = aZ_{kjih} + \frac{b}{n-2} (g_{kh} G_{ji} - g_{jh} G_{ki} + G_{kh} g_{ji} - g_{jh} g_{ki}),$$

a and b being constants (Yano & Sawaki [3]). In general Yano and Sawaki have proved the following

$$(2.6) \quad W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih} = a^2 Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} + \frac{4(2a+b)b}{n-2} G_{ji} G^{ji},$$

$$(2.7) \quad (\mathcal{L}W'_{kjih}) W'^{kjih} = 2\rho W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih} - 4(a+b)^2 G_{ji} \nabla^j \rho^i,$$

$$(2.8) \quad (\mathcal{L}W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) = -4\rho W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih} - 8(a+b)^2 G_{ji} \nabla^j \rho^i$$

Using (2.6)–(2.8) in (1.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv &= \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{a^2} W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih} - \frac{4(2a+b)b}{a^2(n-2)} G_{ji} G^{ji} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \rho \left\{ \frac{1}{a^2} \mathcal{L}(W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) - \frac{4(2a+b)b}{(n-2)a^2} \mathcal{L}(G_{ji} G^{ji}) \right\} \right] dv \\ &= \int_M \frac{2b(2a+b)\rho^2}{n-2} \left(\frac{n-4}{4a^2} \right) G_{ji} G^{ji} dv \\ &\quad + \frac{-(a+b)^2}{a^2} \int_M \rho G_{ji} \nabla^j \rho^i dv \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3), we get

$$\frac{a^2 - (a+b)^2}{a^2} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv$$

$$= \frac{b(2a+b)(n-4)}{2a^2(n-2)} \int_M \rho^2 G_{ji} G^{ji} dv$$

$$\int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = \frac{4-n}{n-2} \int_M \rho^2 G_{ji} G^{ji} dv$$

hence we get the result for $n > 2$.

THEOREM 2.4. *If a compact orientable M with $K = \text{const.}$ of dimension $n > 2$ admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation $v^h: \mathcal{L} g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$ such that ρ does not vanish on any n -dimensional domain and*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} h < 0, \quad h = W_{kjih} W^{kjih}$$

then M is projectively flat if and only if M is isometric to a sphere.

PROOF. We have

$$W_{kjih} W^{kjih} = Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} - \frac{2}{n-1} G_{ki} G^{ki}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}(W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) = -4\rho W_{kjih} W^{kjih} - \frac{4n}{n-1} (\nabla^j \rho^i) G_{ji}$$

Multiplying both sides by ρ and integrating the resulting equation over M , we find

$$4 \int_M \rho^2 h dv + \int_M \rho \mathcal{L} h dv = -\frac{4n}{n-1} \int_M \rho (\nabla^j \rho^i) G_{ji} dv$$

In the light of (2.3) we get

$$4 \int_M \rho^2 h dv + \int_M \rho \mathcal{L} h dv = \frac{4n}{n-1} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv$$

Now let us use the Lemma (3.5) of H. Hiramatu [1]:

According to it for the Riemannian manifold M which is isometric to a sphere, we have

$$4 \int_M \rho^2 h dv + \int_M \rho \mathcal{L} h dv = 0$$

Using theorem C we have

$$4 \int_M \rho^2 h dv - \frac{1}{n} \int_M \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} h dv = 0$$

In the light of (2.9), We have

$$\int_M \rho^2 h dv \leq 0,$$

from which $\rho^2 h = 0$, or $h = 0 \Rightarrow M$ is projectively flat.

Conversely, let M be projectively flat then $h = 0$ and $\int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = 0$ from

Lemma (3.5) of Haramatu [1] M is isometric to a sphere.

THEOREM 2.5. Under the same assumption as in Theorem (2.4), if $K = \text{const.}$ and (2.9) is replaced by

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{L} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^l \alpha_n \left(-\frac{n-1}{K} \right)^n \Delta^n (\mathcal{L}h) \right\} \leq 0,$$

l being a non-negative integer and α_k constants such that $\sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k > 0$, then M is projectively flat if and only if M is isometric to a sphere.

PROOF. Let M be isometric to a sphere then as in the previous theorem we did, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 4 \int_M (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l) \rho^2 h \, dv \\ & + \int_M \rho \left\{ \alpha_0 \mathcal{L}h + \alpha_1 \left(-\frac{n-1}{k} \right) \Delta(\mathcal{L}h) + \dots \right. \\ & \left. \dots + \alpha_l \left(-\frac{n-1}{k} \right)^l \Delta^l(\mathcal{L}h) \right\} \, dv \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \int_M (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l) \rho^2 h \, dv - \frac{1}{n} \int_M \mathcal{L} \left\{ \alpha_0 \mathcal{L}h + \alpha_1 \left(-\frac{n-1}{k} \right) \Delta(\mathcal{L}h) + \dots \right. \\ \left. \dots + \alpha_l \left(-\frac{n-1}{k} \right)^l \Delta^l(\mathcal{L}h) \right\} \, dv = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ being constants such that $\sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k > 0$. Thus by virtue of (2.10) we have $\int_M \rho^2 h \, dv = 0 \Rightarrow h = 0 \Rightarrow W_{kjih} = 0$.

Conversely, let M be projectively flat $\Rightarrow h = 0 \Rightarrow \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i \, dv \Rightarrow$ (from Lemma (3.5) of Hiramatu [1] M is isometric to a sphere.

THEOREM 2.6. If a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M with constant scalar curvature K and of dimension $n > 2$ admits an infinitesimal non-isometric conformal transformation v^h : $\mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, $\rho \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \Delta (\alpha Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} + \beta W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) \, dv \\ \leq 4n \int_M \rho^2 \Delta (\alpha Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} + \beta W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) \, dv \end{aligned}$$

for any non-negative constants α and β not both zero, equality holding if and only if M is isometric to a sphere.

Before proving the above theorem, let us use the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. (Yano and Sawaki, [3]). If a compact orientable Riemannian man-

ifold M with constant scalar curvature K and of dimension n admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation $v^h: \mathcal{L}g_{ji} = 2\rho g_{ji}$, then

$$\int_M \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} (W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) dv = -8n(a+b)^2 \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv \\ + 4n \int_M \rho^2 W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih} dv.$$

PROOF of Theorem.

$$\int_M \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \Delta (\alpha Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} + \beta W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) dv \\ - 4n \int_M \rho^2 \Delta (\alpha Z_{kjih} Z^{kjih} + \beta W'_{kjih} W'^{kjih}) dv \\ = \{\alpha + \beta(a+b)^2\} \frac{8nK}{n-1} \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv.$$

The result now follows from Lemma (3.5) of Hiramatu [1].

REMARK. In 1967 Hsuing introduced a tensor $(a Z_{kjih} + b g_{kh} G_{ji})$ and obtained a condition for M to be isometric to a sphere (see [2]). After one year in 1968, Yano and Sawaki used a new tensor given below

$$W'_{kjih} = a Z_{kjih} + \frac{b}{n-2} (g_{kh} G_{ji} - g_{jh} G_{ki} + G_{kh} g_{ji} - G_{jh} g_{ki})$$

(vide see Yano and Sawaki [3]). a and b used above are constants. Using W'_{kjih} Yano and Sawaki proved a theorem similar to Hsuing. If we introduce a third tensor:

$$T_{kjih} = a Z_{kjih} + \frac{b}{n-1} [g_{kj} G_{hi} - g_{hj} G_{ki}],$$

then T_{kjih} will possess the properties similar to W'_{kjih} defined by Yano and Sawaki. In short we can easily check that

$$T_{kjih} g^{kh} = (a+b) G_{ji}$$

and that, when $a+b=0$

$$T_{kjih} = a W_{kjih}$$

Where W_{kjih} is projective curvature tensor. We can prove in this case a Lemma similar to lemma (2.1). Thus under the conditions given in Lemma (2.1) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \int_M G_{ji} \rho^j \rho^i dv = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int_M \left[\rho^2 T_{hkji} T^{hkji} + \frac{1}{4} \rho \mathcal{L} T_{hkji} T^{hkji} \right] dv$$

where

$$\alpha' = \left(2a^2 + \frac{b(b+2a)(n-2)}{(n-1)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{(n-2)(a+b)^2 + na^2}{n-1}$$

Here we can see that for $a=1, b=-1$, (2.11) is same as (2.1).

Since for $n>2, \alpha'>0$, we can find under the conditions of Theorem (2.1) that if

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}T_{kjh} T^{kjh} \leq 0$$

Then M is isometric to a sphere. We can check that Theorem (2.1) is a particular case on taking $a=1, b=-1$.

R. B. S College, Agra
India.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Hiramatu, *On integral inequalities in Riemannian manifolds admitting a one parameter conformal transformation group*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. vol. 26, No.1, 1974.
- [2] Hsuingsh, C.C.P., *On the group of conformal transformations of a compact Riemannian manifold II* Duke Math. J. 34 (1967) 337—41.
- [3] K. Yano and S. Sawaki, *Riemannian manifold admitting a conformal transformation group*, J. of Diff. Geom. vol. 2, No.2, June 1963, pp. 161—84.
- [4] K. Yano, *On Riemannian manifolds with constant scalar curvature admitting a conformal transformation group*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 55(1966) pp. 472—76.