

## A NOTE ON PERIPHERALLY $\mathfrak{M}$ -PARACOMPACT SPACES

By M.K. Singal and Shashi Prabha Arya

In [1] E.E. Grace introduced the concept of peripherally paracompact spaces. In the present paper we introduce and study peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact spaces. Also, by making use of some other concepts introduced by E.E. Grace [1], we obtain some characterisations of  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact spaces. A result due to D.R. Traylor [4] for paracompactness in regular spaces, has also been extended to  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompactness in normal spaces.

DEFINITION 1. A family  $\mathcal{A}$  of open subsets of a space  $X$  is said to have property  $\mathcal{P}$  in the strong sense (resp. in the weak sense) if  $\mathcal{A}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  as a collection of open sets in  $X$  (resp. in the subspace  $\bigcup\{A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of  $X$ ).

DEFINITION 2. A space  $X$  is said to be *peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact* in the strong sense (resp. in the weak sense) if for each frontier set (that is, each nowhere dense, closed set)  $F$  in  $X$  and each open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$ , there is an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , covering  $F$ , which is locally finite in the strong sense (resp. in the weak sense).

THEOREM 1. *A space  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact if and only if it is peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in the strong sense.*

PROOF. Only the if part need be proved. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any open covering of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of mutually disjoint open sets refining  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $H^* = \bigcup\{H : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is dense in  $X$ . Then,  $X \sim H^*$  is a nowhere, closed set. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally finite, open refinement of  $\mathcal{C}$  covering the frontier set  $X \sim H^*$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally finite, open refinement of  $\mathcal{E}$  covering the boundary of  $E^* = \bigcup\{E : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$ . Consider now, the family  $\mathcal{H}' = \{H \cap (X \sim \bar{E}^*) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{H}'$  is a discrete family of open sets and that  $\mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{A}$  is a locally finite open refinement of  $\mathcal{C}$  which covers  $X$  and hence  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact.

THEOREM 2. *A normal space  $X$  is peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in the strong sense iff it is peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in the weak sense.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any open covering of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  and let  $F$  be any frontier subset of  $X$ . If  $X$  is peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in the weak sense, then there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  covering  $F$  which is locally finite at each point of  $H^* = \bigcup \{H : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Since  $X$  is normal, and  $F$  and  $X \sim H^*$  are disjoint closed sets, therefore exists an open set  $W : F \subset W \subset \bar{W} \subset X \sim H^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{H \cap W : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a locally finite open refinement of  $\mathcal{C}$  which covers  $F$  and hence  $X$  is peripherally  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in the strong sense.

DEFINITION 3. A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of continuous functions on a space  $X$  into the non-negative real numbers is called a *partition of unity* on  $X$  if for each point  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum f(x) = 1$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *subordinated* to a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  if for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(X \sim U) = \{0\}$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

THEOREM 3. A normal space  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact iff for every open covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  and for every frontier set  $F$ , there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , covering  $F$  and which has a partition of unity subordinated to it in the weak sense.

PROOF. To prove the 'if' part, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any open covering of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of disjoint open sets refining  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $H^* = \bigcup \{H : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is dense in  $X$ . Then  $X \sim H^*$  is a frontier set. By hypothesis, there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  which covers  $X \sim H^*$  and which has a partition of unity  $\Phi$  subordinated to it in the weak sense. Since  $X$  is normal, and  $X \sim H^*$  and  $X \sim \bigcup \{W : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$  are disjoint closed sets, therefore, there exists a continuous function  $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g(X \sim H^*) = \{1\}$  and  $g(X \sim \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}} W) = \{0\}$ . For each  $f \in \Phi$ , let  $f'(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$  for  $x \in \bigcup \{W : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$  and let  $f'(x) = 0$  for  $x \in X \sim \bigcup \{W : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ . For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a continuous function:  $g_H : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g_H(X \sim H) = \{0\}$  and  $g_H(H - g^{-1}(0)) = \{1\}$ . Let  $h$  be defined as

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{f \in \Phi} f'(x), & \text{if } x \in X \sim H^* \\ \sum_{f \in \Phi} f'(x) + g_H(x), & \text{if } x \in H^*. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  has the partition of unity  $\Phi = \{f'/h : f \in \Phi\} \cup \{g_H/h : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  subordinated to it. Thus, every open covering of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  has a partition of unity subordinated to it and hence  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact [2, theorem 2]. Converse is obviously true, [2, theorem 2].

THEOREM 4. For a normal space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact.
- (b) For every covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  and for each frontier set  $F$  in  $X$ , there is an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , covering  $F$ , such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is cushioned in  $\mathcal{U}$  in the strong sense.
- (c) For every open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  and for each frontier set  $F$  in  $X$ , there is an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  covering  $F$ , such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is cushioned in  $\mathcal{U}$  in the weak sense.
- (d) For every open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  and for each frontier set  $F$  in  $X$ , there is an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  covering  $F$ , such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\sigma$ -cushioned in  $\mathcal{U}$  in the weak sense.
- (e) For each every open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  and for each frontier set  $F$  in  $X$ , there is an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  covering  $F$ , such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\sigma$ -cushioned in  $\mathcal{U}$  in the strong sense.

PROOF. (a)  $\implies$  (b). Every open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  will have an open, cushioned refinement in view of Theorem 1 and hence (b) is true.

(b)  $\implies$  (c) Obvious

(c)  $\implies$  (d) Obvious

(d)  $\implies$  (e). Since  $X$  is normal, a proof similar to theorem 2 applies.

(e)  $\implies$  (a). This follows in a manner similar to the proof of theorem 1

DEFINITION 4. A space  $X$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in a discrete peripheral sense if for every open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that if  $\mathcal{F}$  be any discrete family of closed set refining  $\mathcal{V}$ , then the boundary of  $\bigcup \{F: F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact with respect to the space  $X$ .

DEFINITION 5. A space  $X$  is said to be subparacompact if for every open covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_i: i=1, \dots\}$  of discrete families of closed sets such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

THEOREM 4. If  $X$  is a normal, subparacompact space which is countably paracompact in a discrete peripheral sense, then  $X$  is countably paracompact.

PROOF. Essentially the same as that of ([4], theorem 5) Traylor states the theorem with 'semi-method' instead of 'subparacompact'. However, while

proving the theorem, only subparacompactness is being used. It should be noted that every normal, semi-metric space is perfectly normal and a perfectly normal space is always countably paracompact. So the theorem becomes obvious with subparacompact replaced by semi-metric.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $X$  is a normal, subparacompact space which is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in a discrete peripheral sense, then  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact.*

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in a discrete peripheral sense, therefore,  $X$  is countably paracompact in a discrete peripheral sense. Then  $X$  is countably paracompact by theorem 4. Now, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be any open covering of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $A$  be well ordered by  $<$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}'$  be an open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  covering  $X$  such that the boundary of the union of each discrete family of closed sets refining  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact with respect to  $X$ . Since  $X$  is subparacompact, there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in N\}$  of discrete families of closed sets. For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_{1\alpha}$  denote the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  consisting of all sets  $G \in \mathcal{F}_1$  for which  $\alpha$  is the first index such that  $G \subset U_\alpha$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{1\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ , denote by  $V_G$  an open set which contains boundary of  $G$  such that  $V_G \supset U_\alpha$  and  $V_G$  does not intersect  $[(\cup\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}) \sim G]$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{V}_{1\alpha}$  the family consisting of all sets  $V$  such that there exists  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{1\alpha}$  such that  $V = V_G$ . Since boundary of  $\cup\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact and  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \cup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{V}_{1\alpha}$  is a covering of the boundary of  $\cup\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ ; therefore, there exists a locally finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_1'$  of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1'$  covers boundary of  $\cup\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ . Now, denote by  $\mathcal{V}_1''$  the family consisting of all sets  $V$  for which there is a  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in V$  iff either  $x \in G$  or  $x$  is a point of a member of  $\mathcal{V}_1'$  which intersects  $G$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{V}_1''$  is an open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}'$  which covers  $\cup\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ . Now consider  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_2'$  the family consisting of all sets  $G$  such that there exists  $H \in \mathcal{F}_2$  such that  $G = H \sim [H \cap (\cup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}_1''\})]$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}_2'$  is discrete family of closed sets refining  $\mathcal{U}'$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{2\alpha}$  the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}_2'$  consisting of only those sets each of which is a subset of  $U_\alpha$  but none is a subset of  $U_\beta$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{2\alpha}$ , denote by  $V_G$  an open set containing the boundary of  $G$  such that  $H_\alpha \subset V_G$ ,  $V_G$  does not intersect  $[\cup\{F : F \in \mathcal{F}_2\} \sim G]$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_{2\alpha}$  denote the family consisting of all sets  $V$  for which there is a  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{2\alpha}$  such that  $V = V_G$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_2 = \cup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{V}_{2\alpha}$ . As before, there exists a locally finite, open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_2'$

of  $\mathcal{V}_2$  which covers the boundary of  $\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$  and thus there is a locally finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_2''$  of  $\mathcal{U}'$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_2''$  covers  $\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}_2'\}$ . This process may be continued indefinitely as follows: for each positive integer  $n > 2$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n'$  the collection which consists of all sets  $G$  for which there is a  $H \in \mathcal{F}_n$  such that  $G = H \sim (H \cap [\cup\{V: V \in \mathcal{V}_i'', i=1, \dots, n-1\}])$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}_n'$  is a discrete family of closed sets such that  $\mathcal{F}_n'$  refines  $\mathcal{U}'$ . As before, denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{2\alpha}$  the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}_n'$  consisting of just those sets each of which is a subset of  $U_\alpha$ , but none is a subset of  $U_\beta$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . For  $G \in \mathcal{F}_n^\alpha$ , let  $V_G$  denote an open set containing the boundary of  $G$  such that  $V_G \supset U_\alpha$ ,  $V_\alpha$  does not intersect  $(\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}_i, i=1, \dots, n-1\})$  and also does not intersect  $(\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}_i\}) \sim G$ . If  $\mathcal{V}_{nk}$  denotes the family consisting of those sets  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n\alpha}$  such that  $V = V_G$  and if  $\mathcal{V}_n = \cup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{V}_{n\alpha}$ , then there exists a locally finite, open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_n'$  of  $\mathcal{V}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_n'$  covers the boundary of  $\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}_n'\}$  and thus there is a locally finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_n''$  of  $\mathcal{U}'$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_n''$  covers  $\cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{F}_n'\}$ . Now,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n''$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite, open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}'$  and hence of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus every open covering of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{M}$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open refinement. Also,  $X$  is a countably paracompact. Therefore  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact ([3], theorem 5).

**COROLLARY** *Every normal space which is either semi-metric or developable or Moore, and is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact in a discrete peripheral sense, is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact.*

**PROOF.** Every semi-metric, or developable, or Moore space is subparacompact and hence the result follows from theorem 5.

Institute of Advanced Studies,  
Meerut University, Meerut  
and  
Maitreyi College, University of Delhi  
India

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. E. Grace, *Peripheral covering properties imply covering properties*. Canad. J. Math. 20, (1968) 257—263.
- [2] T. Ishii, *Some characterisations of  $\mathfrak{M}$ -paracompact spaces I*. Proc. Japan Acad. 38 (1962) 480—483.
- [3] J. Mack, *Directed covers and paracompact spaces*. Canad J. Math. 19, (1957) 649—654.
- [4] D. R. Traylor, *Weak peripheral properties imply covering properties*. J. London Math. Soc. 44, (1969) 509—514.