

## SPACES IN WHICH THE CLOSURE OF A COMPACT SET IS COMPACT

By Norman Levine

### 1. Introduction

Well known conditions for a space to have the property that compact subsets have compact closures are: compactness, regularity, Hausdorff (theorem 2.2). Spaces with this property are called  $C$ -spaces. Example 7.1 shows that  $T_1$  is not a sufficient condition for a space to be a  $C$ -space.

In theorem 2.5, we relax the compactness of  $X$  and show that  $X$  is a  $C$ -space if the derived set of  $X$  is compact. We introduce the concept of weakly Hausdorff and show that it is a sufficient condition for a space to have property  $C$  (theorem 2.7). Normal and metacompactness together imply property  $C$  (theorem 2.8).

Closed subspaces of  $C$ -spaces are shown to be  $C$ -spaces (theorem 3.1) and disjoint sums of  $C$ -spaces are shown to be  $C$ -spaces (corollary 3.4). A sufficient condition is given for the intersection of two  $C$ -sets to be a  $C$ -set (theorem 3.5). Example 7.7 shows that in general, the intersection of two  $C$ -sets need not be a  $C$ -set. A product space is shown to be a  $C$ -space if and only if each factor space is a  $C$ -space (theorem 4.1).

In theorem 5.2, a necessary and sufficient condition is given for a simple extension of a topology to be a  $C$ -topology.

If  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$  is a surjection and  $\mathcal{T}$  is the weak topology, then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $C$ -topology if and only if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $C$ -topology (theorem 6.1).

In §7, examples are given relative to infima and suprema of  $C$ -topologies and intersections of  $C$ -subsets of a space.

### 2. Sufficient conditions

DEFINITION 2.1. A space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  will be called a  $C$ -space and  $\mathcal{T}$  will be called a  $C$ -topology iff for each compact set  $K \subset X$ , then  $c(K)$  is compact,  $c$  denoting the closure operator. A subset  $A \subset X$  is called a  $C$ -set iff  $(A, A \cap \mathcal{T})$  is a  $C$ -space.

We list the well known results of such spaces in

THEOREM 2.2.  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $C$ -space if any one of the following hold:

(i)  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is compact (ii)  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is regular (iii)  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is Hausdorff.

We shall weaken conditions (i) and (iii) to get theorems 2.5 and 2.7. But first we prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. *A space  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is a C-space iff  $F \subset c(K) - K$  implies that  $F$  is compact when  $F$  is closed and  $K$  is compact.*

PROOF. Let  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  be a C-space and  $F \subset c(K) - K$ . Then  $F \subset c(K)$  and  $c(K)$  is compact. Thus  $F$  is compact, being a closed subset of  $c(K)$ .

Conversely, let  $K \subset X$ ,  $K$  compact. Suppose  $c(K) \subset \bigcup \{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,  $O_\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Since  $K$  is compact, there exist  $\alpha_i$  such that  $K \subset O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n}$ . Let  $F = c(K) - (O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n})$ . Then  $F \subset c(K) - K$  and hence  $F$  is compact. There exists then  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $F \subset O_{\beta_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\beta_m}$ . It follows then that  $c(K) \subset O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n} \cup O_{\beta_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\beta_m}$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *If  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is compact, then  $X'$  is compact,  $X'$  denoting the derived set of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \notin X'$ ; then  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $X'$  is closed.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  be a space and suppose that  $X'$  is compact. Then  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is a C-space.*

PROOF. We employ lemma 2.3: let  $F \subset c(K) - K$ ,  $F$  being closed and  $K$  being compact. Then  $F \subset K' \subset X'$  and  $F \subset X'$ . It follows then that  $F$  is compact.

To obtain a generalization of (iii) in theorem 2.2, we introduce

DEFINITION 2.6. We say that a space  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is *weakly Hausdorff* iff  $c(x) = c(y)$  whenever there exists a net  $S : D \rightarrow X$  for which  $\lim S = x$  and  $\lim S = y$ .

THEOREM 2.7. *If  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is weakly Hausdorff, then  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is a C-space.*

PROOF. Let  $c(K) \subset \bigcup \{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,  $K$  compact and  $O_\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $K \subset O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n}$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ . Let  $x \in c(K)$ . There exists then a net  $S : D \rightarrow K$  such that  $\lim S = x$ . Since  $K$  is compact, there exists a subset  $T : E \rightarrow K$  and a point  $y \in K$  such that  $\lim T = y$ . Since  $\lim T = x$ , it follows that  $c(x) = c(y)$ . Now  $y \in O_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$  and hence  $x \in O_{\alpha_i}$ . Thus  $c(K) \subset O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n}$  and  $c(K)$  is compact.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  be normal and metacompact. Then  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is a C-space.*

PROOF. Let  $K \subset X$ ,  $K$  compact and suppose that  $c(K) \subset \bigcup \{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Then  $X = \bigcup \{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{c(K)\}$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is metacompact, there exists an open point-finite refinement  $\{O_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of  $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{c(K)\}$ . But  $X$  is normal and hence there exists an open cover  $\{O_\gamma^* : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of  $X$  such that  $c(O_\gamma^*) \subset O_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Now  $K \subset O_{\gamma_1}^* \cup \dots \cup O_{\gamma_n}^*$  and hence  $c(K) \subset O_{\gamma_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\gamma_n}$ . We may assume that  $O_{\gamma_i} \not\subset c(K)$  for each  $i$ . Hence  $O_{\gamma_i} \subset O_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $\alpha_i$  and  $c(K)$  is compact.

COROLLARY 2.9. *If  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is normal and paracompact, then  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a C-space.*

### 3. Subspaces

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  be a closed subspace of a C-space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Then  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  is a C-space.*

PROOF. Let  $K \subset Y$ ,  $K$  compact; then  $c(K)$  is compact and hence  $Y \cap c(K)$  is compact,  $Y$  being closed. Thus  $c_Y(K)$  is compact and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  is a C-space.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a space and  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  a locally finite closed cover of  $X$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a C-space iff  $(F_\alpha, F_\alpha \cap \mathcal{F})$  is a C-space for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .*

PROOF. The necessity follows from theorem 3.1. To show the sufficiency, let  $K \subset X$ ,  $K$  compact. Since  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is locally finite, and  $K$  is compact, there exists an  $O \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $K \subset O$  and  $O \cap F_{\alpha_i} \neq \emptyset$  for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  only. It follows then that  $K = \bigcup \{K \cap F_{\alpha_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $c(K) = \bigcup \{c(K \cap F_{\alpha_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \bigcup \{F_{\alpha_i} \cap c(K \cap F_{\alpha_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \bigcup \{c_{\alpha_i}(K \cap F_{\alpha_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . But  $K \cap F_{\alpha_i}$  is compact and hence  $c_{\alpha_i}(K \cap F_{\alpha_i})$  is compact since  $F_{\alpha_i}$  is a C-space. It follows then that  $c(K)$  is compact, being a finite union of compact sets.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a space and  $X = \bigcup \{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  where  $O_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $O_\alpha \cap O_\beta = \emptyset$  when  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a C-space iff  $(O_\alpha, O_\alpha \cap \mathcal{F})$  is a C-space for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .*

PROOF.  $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a locally finite family of closed sets.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a disjoint union of spaces  $\{(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a C-space iff  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha)$  is a C-space for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .*

PROOF.  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a disjoint open cover of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a space in which the intersection of two compact sets is compact (see [1]). If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C$ -subsets of  $X$ , then  $A \cap B$  is a  $C$ -subset. (See examples 7.7, 7.8.)*

**PROOF.** Let  $K \subset A \cap B$ ,  $K$  compact. Then  $c_{A \cap B}(K) = A \cap B \cap c(K) = (A \cap c(K)) \cap (B \cap c(K)) = c_A(K) \cap c_B(K)$ . Since  $c_A(K)$  and  $c_B(K)$  are each compact, it follows that  $c_{A \cap B}(K)$  is compact.

#### 4. Product spaces

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}) = \times \{(X_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $C$ -space iff  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  is a  $C$ -space for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  is a  $C$ -space for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and let  $K \subset X$ ,  $K$  compact. Now  $K \subset P_\alpha^{-1} c_\alpha(P_\alpha K)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and hence  $K \subset \times \{c_\alpha(P_\alpha K) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . But  $c_\alpha(P_\alpha K)$  is a closed compact set and by the Tychonoff theorem,  $\times \{c_\alpha(P_\alpha K) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a closed compact set. It follows then that  $c(K)$  is compact.

Conversely, suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $C$ -space and  $K_\beta \subset X_\beta$ ,  $K_\beta$  compact. Take  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  arbitrary for each  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and let  $K = \times \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  where  $A_\alpha = \{x_\alpha\}$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $A_\beta = K_\beta$ . Then  $c(K) = \times \{c_\alpha(A_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $c(K)$  is compact since  $K$  is compact. Again by the Tychonoff theorem,  $c_\beta(K_\beta)$  is compact.

#### 5. Simple extension of a topology

**DEFINITION 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a topology on a set  $X$  and let  $A \subset X$ ,  $A \notin \mathcal{T}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}[A]$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{T} \vee \{\phi, A, X\}$  and is called the *simple extension* of  $\mathcal{T}$  by  $A$  (see [2]).

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a space and suppose that  $F \notin \mathcal{T}$ ,  $F$  closed. Then:  $\mathcal{T}[F]$  is a  $C$ -topology iff  $F \cap \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{C}F \cap \mathcal{T}$  are  $C$ -topologies.*

**PROOF.** In [2], it is proved that  $F \cap \mathcal{T} = F \cap \mathcal{T}[F]$  and  $\mathcal{C}F \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C}F \cap \mathcal{T}[F]$ . Furthermore,  $F$  and  $\mathcal{C}F$  are each open relative to  $\mathcal{T}[F]$  and hence by corollary 3.3,  $(X, \mathcal{T}[F])$  is a  $C$ -space iff  $(F, F \cap \mathcal{T}[F])$  and  $(\mathcal{C}F, \mathcal{C}F \cap \mathcal{T}[F])$  are  $C$ -spaces. The theorem then follows.

#### 6. Transfer topologies

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$  be a surjection with  $\mathcal{T}$  the weak topology. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $C$ -topology iff  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $C$ -topology.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a  $C$ -topology and suppose that  $K \subset X$ ,  $K$  compact. Then  $f[K]$  is compact and hence  $c(f[K])$  is compact in  $Y$ . But  $c(K) \subset f^{-1}c(f[K])$  and  $f^{-1}c(f[K])$  is compact. It follows then that  $c(K)$  is compact.

Conversely, let  $K \subset Y$ ,  $K$  compact. Then  $f^{-1}K$  is compact and hence  $c(f^{-1}K)$  is compact. Then since  $f$  is a closed transformation,  $fc(f^{-1}K) \supset c(K)$  and hence  $c(K)$  is compact.

Property  $C$  is not invariant under continuous open surjections (see example 7.2).

### 7. Examples

EXAMPLE 7.1.  $T_1$  does not imply property  $C$ . Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and  $K = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{O : O = \phi \text{ or } K \cap \mathcal{E}O \text{ is finite}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $T_1$  topology for  $X$ ,  $K$  is compact,  $c(K) = X$  and  $X$  is not compact.

EXAMPLE 7.2. A continuous, open image of a  $C$ -space need not be a  $C$ -space. In particular, quotient spaces of  $C$ -spaces need not be  $C$ -spaces. Let  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  be an arbitrary space which is not a  $C$ -space. There exists a Hausdorff space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and a continuous open surjection  $f : (X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$  (see [3], page 92). By (iii) of theorem 2.2,  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a  $C$ -space.

EXAMPLE 7.3. The intersection of two  $C$ -topologies need not be a  $C$ -topology. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n+1\}, \{2n+1, 2n+2\}, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \dots, \{2n, 2n+1\}, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}_1$  as base and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}_2$  as base. Then  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  are each  $C$ -topologies since compact sets are finite in each topology and the closures of compact sets are finite. But  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2 = \{\{1\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \dots\}$  which is not a  $C$ -topology since  $\{1\}$  is compact, but  $c\{1\} = X$  which is not compact.

EXAMPLE 7.4. An intersection of a chain of  $C$ -topologies need not be a  $C$ -topology. Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1$  be generated by  $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$  as base,  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be generated by  $\{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \dots, \{n\}, \dots\}$  as base,  $\mathcal{F}_3$  be generated by  $\{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \dots, \{n\}, \dots\}$  as base and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be generated by  $\{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \dots, \{1, \dots, n\}, \{n+1\}, \{n+2\}, \dots\}$  as base. Then  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a  $C$ -topology for each  $n \in X$ , but  $\bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in X\} = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \dots, X\}$  and  $\bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in X\}$  is not a  $C$ -topology.

EXAMPLE 7.5. The supremum of two  $C$ -topologies need not be a  $C$ -topology.

Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, X\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{O : O = \emptyset \text{ or } O = X \text{ or } 2 \in O, 1 \notin O\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  are each compact, it follows that each is a  $C$ -topology. In  $\mathcal{T}_1 \vee \mathcal{T}_2$ ,  $\{2\}$  is compact, but its closure is  $\{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$  which is not compact.

EXAMPLE 7.6. The supremum of a chain of compact topologies need not be a  $C$ -topology. Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \dots, \{1, \dots, n\}\}$  for each positive integer  $n$ . Then each  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is a compact topology, but  $\{1\}$  is compact in  $\sup \mathcal{T}_n$ , but the closure of  $\{1\}$  is not compact in  $\sup \mathcal{T}_n$ .

EXAMPLE 7.7. An intersection of two  $C$ -sets need not be a  $C$ -set. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be the space in example 7.1,  $Y = X \cup \{a, b\}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{Y\}$ ; let  $A = X \cup \{a\}$  and  $B = X \cup \{b\}$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compact subsets of  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  and hence  $C$ -spaces, but  $A \cap B = X$  which is not a  $C$ -set.

EXAMPLE 7.8. An intersection of a chain of  $C$ -sets need not be a  $C$ -set. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be the space in example 7.1 and let  $Y = X \cup \{-1, -2, -3, \dots, -n, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{Y\}$ . Let  $A_n = X \cup \{-n, -(n+1), \dots\}$  for each  $n$ . Then  $\{A_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a chain of compact sets, but  $\bigcap \{A_n\} = X$  which is not a  $C$ -set.

The Ohio State Univ.  
231 West 18th Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43210  
U. S. A.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Norman Levine, *On the intersection of two compact sets*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie 11-Tomo III, 1968.
- [2] Norman Levine, *Simple extensions of topologies*, American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 71, No.1, January, 1964.
- [3] Stephan Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1970.