

ON A GENERALIZED DOUBLE INTEGRAL TRANSFORM

By R. R. Mahajan and Rajendra K. Saxena

1. Introduction

The generalized M -function of two variables, occurring in this paper is defined by Mourya. D.P. [7] and is represented as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad M(x, y) \equiv M \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{cc} m_1, & n_1 \\ p_1 - m_1, & q_1 - n_1 \end{array} \right) \{ (a_{p_1}; \alpha_{p_1}, \alpha_{p_1}) \}; \{ (b_{q_1}; \beta_{q_1}, \beta_{q_1}) \} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} m_2, & n_2 \\ p_2 - m_2, & q_2 - n_2 \end{array} \right) \{ (c_{p_2}, \gamma_{p_2}) \} \quad ; \quad \{ (d_{q_2}, \delta_{q_2}) \} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} m_3, & n_3 \\ p_3 - m_3, & q_3 - n_3 \end{array} \right) \{ (e_{p_3}, \epsilon_{p_3}) \} \quad ; \quad \{ (f_{q_3}, \rho_{q_3}) \} \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \\ y \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{L_1} \int_{L_2} m(\xi, \eta) x^\xi y^\eta d\xi d\eta.$$

where $\{(a_{p_1}; \alpha_{p_1}, \alpha_{p_1})\}$ and $\{(c_p, \gamma_p)\}$ stand for the set of parameters $(a_1; \alpha_1, \alpha_1)$, $(a_2; \alpha_2, \alpha_2)$, \dots , $(a_{p_1}, \alpha_{p_1}, \alpha_{p_1})$, and (c_1, γ_1) , (c_2, γ_2) , \dots , (c_p, γ_p) respectively and

$$(1.2) \quad m(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1} \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \xi + \alpha_j \eta) \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j \xi - \beta_j \eta)}{\prod_{j=m_1+1}^{p_1} \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j \xi - \alpha_j \eta) \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{q_1} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j \xi + \beta_j \eta)}$$

$$\times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma(1 - c_j + \gamma_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma(d_j - \delta_j \xi)}{\prod_{j=m_2+1}^{p_2} \Gamma(c_j - \gamma_j \xi) \prod_{j=n_2+1}^{q_2} \Gamma(1 - d_j + \delta_j \xi)}$$

$$\times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_3} \Gamma(1 - e_j + \epsilon_j \eta) \prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \Gamma(f_j - \rho_j \eta)}{\prod_{j=m_3+1}^{p_3} \Gamma(e_j - \epsilon_j \eta) \prod_{j=n_3+1}^{q_3} \Gamma(1 - f_j + \rho_j \eta)}.$$

where p_i, q_i, m_i and n_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) are non-negative integers such that $0 \leq m_i \leq p_i$,

$0 \leq n_i \leq q_i$. a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, e_j and f_j are complex numbers and $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \delta_j, \epsilon_j$ and ρ_j are positive real numbers.

No pole of $\Gamma(1-a_j+\alpha_j\xi+\alpha_j\eta)$, $\Gamma(1-c_j+\gamma_j\xi)$ and $\Gamma(1-e_j+\epsilon_j\eta)$ coincide with any pole of $\Gamma(b_j-\beta_j\xi-\beta_j\eta)$, $\Gamma(d_j-\delta_j\xi)$ and $\Gamma(f_j-\rho_j\eta)$ respectively.

L_1 and L_2 are suitable contours. x and y are not equal to zero and $x_\xi = \exp\{\xi(\log|x| + i\arg x)\}$;

$y^\eta = \exp\{\eta(\log|y| + i\arg y)\}$ in which $\log|x|$ and $\log|y|$ denote the natural logarithms of $|x|$ and $|y|$.

The integral on the right hand side of (1.1) is convergent under the following set of conditions.

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \mu_1 &\equiv \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \beta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \gamma_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \delta_j - \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{p_1} \alpha_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{q_1} \beta_j - \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{p_2} \gamma_j - \sum_{j=n_2+1}^{q_2} \delta_j \right] > 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \mu_2 &\equiv \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \beta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m_3} \epsilon_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} \rho_j - \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{p_1} \alpha_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{q_1} \beta_j - \sum_{j=m_3+1}^{p_3} \epsilon_j - \sum_{j=n_3+1}^{q_3} \rho_j \right] > 0 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \mu_3 &\equiv \left[\sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \beta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} \delta_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} \gamma_j \right] > 0 \\ \text{(iv)} \quad \mu_4 &\equiv \left[\sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \beta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{q_3} \rho_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p_3} \epsilon_j \right] > 0. \\ \text{(v)} \quad |\arg x| &< \frac{1}{2} \mu_1 \pi, \quad |\arg y| < \frac{1}{2} \mu_2 \pi. \end{aligned}$$

we use the notation $||$ for 'is replaced by'.

2. Definition

If $k(\lambda, x)$ is a function of variable x and parameter λ , defined on the interval (a, b) , then the relation

$$(2.1) \quad T[f; \lambda] = \int_a^b k(\lambda, x) f(x) dx, \quad b > a$$

is called an integral transform of $f(x)$ with respect to the kernel $k(\lambda, x)$ over the interval (a, b) . The domain of λ and the class of functions, to which $f(x)$ belongs are so prescribed that the integral (2.1) exists.

We introduce a double integral transform in the form

$$(2.2) \quad \phi[f : \lambda, \mu] \equiv \phi_{\substack{(m_1, n_1), (m_2, n_2), (m_3, n_3) \\ [p_1, q_1], [p_2, q_2], [p_3, q_3]}} \left[f(x, y) : \lambda, \mu \left| \begin{array}{l} \{(a_j; \alpha_j, d_j)\}; \{(b_j; \beta_j, \beta_j)\} \\ \{(c_j, \gamma_j)\} \quad ; \{(d_j, \delta_j)\} \\ \{(e_j, \epsilon_j)\} \quad ; \{(f_j, \rho_j)\} \end{array} \right. \right]$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\lambda x}{2} - \frac{\mu y}{2}} M\left(\frac{\lambda^{2p} x^{2p}}{m^{2p}}, \frac{\mu^{2q} y^{2q}}{n^{2q}}\right) f(x, y) dx dy$$

where the M -function is defined in section 1. The transform defined above exists if conditions in (1.3) with x, y replaced by λ, μ respectively are satisfied and

$$x^{\frac{2pd_i}{\delta_i}} y^{2qf_j/\rho_j} f(x, y) \in L(0, \delta), \quad \delta > 0$$

for $i=1, 2, \dots, n_2$. $j=1, 2, \dots, n_3$.

3. The Inversion Formula

We now establish the following theorem which provides us with a solution of the integral equation (2.2), solved for the unknown function $f(x, y)$ in terms of its image $\phi[f : \lambda, \mu]$

THEOREM If $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \int_{\sigma'-i\infty}^{\sigma'+i\infty} \frac{x^{-\xi} y^{-\eta}}{\phi(1-\xi, 1-\eta)} d\xi d\eta$

then

$$f(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K(\lambda x, \mu y) \phi[f : \lambda, \mu] d\lambda d\mu$$

where

$$\phi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\lambda x}{2} - \frac{\mu y}{2}} M\left(\frac{\lambda^{2p} x^{2p}}{m^{2p}}, \frac{\mu^{2q} y^{2q}}{n^{2q}}\right) \left[\begin{array}{l} \left[\begin{array}{l} m_1, n_1 \\ p_1 - m_1, q_1 - n_1 \end{array} \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{(a_{p_1}; \alpha_{p_1}, \alpha_{p_1})\}; \{(b_{q_1}; \beta_{q_1}, \beta_{q_1})\} \\ \left(\frac{2-\xi}{2}, p\right), \\ \left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}, p\right), \{(d_{q_2}, \delta_{q_2})\} \\ \{(c_{p_2}, \gamma_{p_2})\}; \\ \left(\frac{2-\eta}{2}, q\right), \\ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{2}, q\right), \{(f_{q_3}, \rho_{q_3})\} \\ \{(e_{p_3}, \epsilon_{p_3})\}; \end{array} \right\} \left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{4^{2p}}{m^{2p}} \\ \\ \\ \frac{4^{2q}}{n^{2q}} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right]$$

Provided $|K(x, y)|$ exists, $f(x, y)$ is convergent and

$$\lambda^{-\sigma} \mu^{-\sigma'} \phi[f: \lambda, \mu] \in L(0, \infty),$$

$$x^{\sigma-1} y^{\sigma'-1} f(x, y) \in L(0, \delta), \delta > 0$$

$$2p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d_i}{\delta_i}\right) + 1 > \sigma \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, n_2$$

$$2q \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f_j}{\rho_j}\right) + 1 > \sigma' \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, n_3.$$

PROOF we have from (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\xi} \mu^{-\eta} \phi[f: \lambda, \mu] d\lambda d\mu \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\xi} \mu^{-\eta} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\lambda x}{2} - \frac{\mu y}{2}} M\left(\frac{\lambda^{2p} x^{2p}}{m^{2p}}, \frac{\mu^{2q} y^{2q}}{n^{2q}}\right) f(x, y) dx dy \right\} d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now interchanging the order of integrations which is easily justified by De la Vallee Poussin's theorem [3] under the conditions stated earlier, and making the substitution $\lambda x = u$, $\mu y = v$ in the inner integrals, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\xi} \mu^{-\eta} \phi[f: \lambda, \mu] d\lambda d\mu = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} f(x, y) I dx dy$$

$$\text{where } I = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{u}{2} - \frac{v}{2}} M\left(\frac{u^{2p}}{m^{2p}}, \frac{v^{2q}}{n^{2q}}\right) u^{-\xi} v^{-\eta} du dv$$

Substituting for M -function in terms of double Mellin-Barne's type contour integral, interchanging the order of integrations, evaluating the inner integral and again interpreting the result in terms of M -function we get $I = \phi(1-\xi, 1-\eta)$. Hence we obtain the result:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\xi} \mu^{-\eta} \phi[f: \lambda, \mu] d\lambda d\mu = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} f(x, y) dx dy \phi(1-\xi, 1-\eta)$$

i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{-\xi} \mu^{-\eta}}{\phi(1-\xi, 1-\eta)} \phi[f: \lambda, \mu] d\lambda d\mu = F(\xi, \eta) \text{ say}$$

Now by using Reed's theorem [12] we get

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{L_1} \int_{L_2} x^{-\xi} y^{-\eta} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\xi} \mu^{-\eta} \frac{\phi[f: \lambda, \mu]}{\phi(1-\xi, 1-\eta)} d\lambda d\mu \right\} d\xi d\eta.$$

Interchanging the order of integrations the required result is obtained.

4. Particular Cases

On specialising the parameters suitably M -function can be reduced to H -function due to Munot and Kalla [8], P -function due to Pathak [10], Miejer's G -function of two variables due to Agrawal R.P. [1], S -function due to Sharma B.L. [13], hence from (2.2) we get double integral transforms involving these functions.

(i) If in (2.2) $m_1=n_1=0, p_1=A, q_1=B, m_2=q, n_2=r, p_2=C, q_2=D, m_3=k, n_3=1, p_3=E, q_3=F, c_j || 1-c_j, e_j=||1-e_j, p=q=1$, then (2.2) reduces to a double integral transform defined by Shrivastava H.M. [15]. Hence the transforms given by Bose S.K. [2], Sharma K.C. [14], Nigam H.N. [9], Verma R.U. [16] etc which are particular cases of the transform given by Shrivastava H.M. [15] will also be the particular cases of our transform (2.2)

(ii) Similarly on putting in (2.2) $p_1=m_1=n_1=q_1=0, p=q=1, m=n=4, \lambda=2p, \mu=2q, m_2=p_2=m_3=p_3=2, n_2=q_2=n_3=q_3=4, c_1=\frac{1}{2}, c_2=1, d_1=\frac{m-k}{2}, d_2=\frac{1+m-k}{2}, d_3=\frac{-m-k}{2}, d_4=\frac{1-m-k}{2}, \gamma_1=\gamma_2=\delta_1=\delta_2=\delta_3=\delta_4=\epsilon_1=\epsilon_2=\rho_1=\rho_2=\rho_3=\rho_4=1, e_1=\frac{1}{2}, e_2=1, f_1=\frac{m_1-k_1}{2}, f_2=\frac{1+m_1-k_1}{2}, f_3=\frac{-m_1-k_1}{2}, f_4=\frac{1-m_1-k_1}{2}$ and simplifying as in the previous case (2.2) gets reduced to the transform given by Mehra [6]

(iii) Also on putting in (2.2) $p=q=1, \lambda=2p, \mu=2q, m=2=n, p_1=m_1=q_1=n_1=0, m_2=p_2=m_3=p_3=2, n_2=q_2=n_3=q_3=4, c_1=\frac{1}{2}, c_2=1, d_1=\frac{2m+1}{4}, d_2=\frac{2m+3}{4}, d_3=\frac{-2m+1}{4}, d_4=\frac{1-m}{2}+\frac{1}{4}, e_2=\frac{1}{2}, e_2=1, f_1=\frac{m_1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}, f_2=\frac{m_1}{2}+\frac{3}{4}, f_3=\frac{-m_1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}, f_4=\frac{1-m_1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}$

and other parameters unity and simplifying as in the previous case, (2.2) reduces to the transform due to Rathie [11]. Here in addition we have to use the result $W_{0,\mu}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} K_\mu(x/2)$ for simplification [5, p.432].

Certain interesting properties of this transform (2.2) have also been studied

by the authors and have been communicated for publication.

Visvesvaraya Regional College of Engineering,
Nagpur, India

REFERENCES

- [1] Agrawal. R.P., "An extension of Meijer's G-function" *Proc. of Nat Inst of Sciences India. P. A. (6) Vol. 30 pp. 536—546(1965).*
- [2] Bose. S. K., 'Generalised Laplace integral of two variables' *Ganita, No.1. (1952) pp. 23—35.*
- [3] Bromwich. T.J., *Theory of infinite series London Macmillan 1926.*
- [4] Erdelyi, A, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi., *Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. I, MacGraw-Hill, New York (1953).*
- [5] _____, *Tables of Integral Transforms Vol. II, MacGraw-Hill, New York (1954)*
- [6] Mehra. A.N., 'On Meijer transform of two Variables' *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc, 48: (1956) pp. 83—94.*
- [7] Mourya. D.P., Ph. D. thesis approved for Ph. D, degree. Uni. of Indore, Indore-1970.
- [8] Munot. P.C. & Kalla. S.L. *On an extension of generalized function of two variables Separata De La Revista, Mathematics Y. Fisica Theorica Vol. XXI 1971 Nos 1, 2 Faculted. De. C. Exacts Y Technologia U.N.T.*
- [9] Nigam, H.N., *On generalized Laplace transform of two variables. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci Hungar 14, 331—342(1963).*
- [10] Pathak. R.S.. 'Some results involving G and H-functions. *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 62(1970) pp. 97—106.*
- [11] Rathie P.N., 'Meijer-Bessel transform of two variables. *Annales de La Societe Scientifique de Bruxeless, 'T. 79, I (1965) pp. 41—46.*
- [12] Reed I.S., *The Mellin type of double integral. Duke math J. 11, 565—572 (1944).*
- [13] Sharma. B.L., 'On generalised function of two variables I' *Anna de la. Soc. Sc. de Brux. T 791 pp. 26—40 (1965).*
- [14] Sharma K.C., *On an 'integral transform' Maths Zeitschr. 89, 94—97 (1965).*
- [15] Shrivastava H.M., 'On a generalised integral transform' *Math Z. 108, 197—201. (1969).*
- [16] Verma. R. U., *On an integral transform of two variables. Nieuw Arch. voor Wiskunde (3), 15, 64—68 (1967).*