# NOTE ON HANKEL TRANSFORM 

By W.Y. Lee

Titchmarsh first defined the Hankel transform $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$ for $\mu \geq-\frac{1}{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y)=\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu} \varphi(x)\right)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \sqrt{x y} J_{\mu}(x y) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\mu}(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind and proved the following inversion formula ([7: pp. 240-242]):

THEOREM 1. If $\varphi \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$ is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of the point $x$, then for $\mu \geq-\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\{\varphi(x+0)+\varphi(x-0)\}=\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}^{-1} \Phi(y)\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(y) \sqrt{x y} J_{\mu}(x y) d y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was extended to distributions by Zemanian as follows ([10-12]). Let $H_{\mu}$ be the space of smooth functions defined on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the inequalities

$$
\gamma_{p, q}^{\mu}(\varphi)=\sup _{0<x<\infty}\left|x^{p}\left(x^{-1} D\right)^{q}\left(x^{-\mu-1 / 2} \varphi(x)\right)\right|<\infty, p, q=0,1,2, \cdots \ldots
$$

equipped with the topology generated by the seminorms $\left\{\gamma_{p, q}^{\mu}\right\}_{p, q=0^{\circ}}^{\infty}$. Then the Hankel transform $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$ defined by (1) is an automorphism on $H_{\mu}$. If the generalized Hankel transform $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}{ }^{\prime} f, \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle f, \mathfrak{S}_{\mu} \varphi\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ belongs to the dual space $H_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\varphi \in H_{\mu}$, then $\mathfrak{G}_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ is an automorphism on the dual space $H_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$. Define the operator $N_{\mu}=x^{\mu+1 / 2} D_{x} x^{-(\mu+1 / 2)}$ with the in. verse $N_{\mu}^{-1}$ given by

$$
N_{\mu}^{-1} \varphi(x)=x^{\mu+1 / 2} \int_{\infty}^{x} y^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi(y) d y
$$

Let $m$ be a positive integer greater than $-\mu-1 / 2$ for any given real number $\mu$. Then the Hankel transform of arbitrary order $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu, m}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y)=\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu, m} \varphi(x)\right)(y)=(-1) \stackrel{m}{y}_{y-⿹_{S}}^{\mu+m} N_{\mu+m-} 1 \ldots N_{\mu} \varphi(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with its inverse Hankel transform $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu, m}^{-1}$ given by

$$
\varphi(x)=\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu, m}^{-1} \Phi(y)\right)(x)=(-1)^{m} N_{\mu}^{-1} \cdots N_{\mu+m-1}^{-1} \mathfrak{H}_{\mu+m} y^{m} \Phi(y)
$$

Replacing $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$ in the right hand side of (3) by $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu, m}$ we ob: [11: pp.764765])

THEOREM 2. For any real number $\mu$, the Hankel transform $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu, m}$ defined by (4) is an automorphism on $H_{\mu}$, and hence the generalized Hankel transform $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$, defined by (3) is an automorphism on the dual space $H_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$.

Motivated by Hirschman, Jr and Haimo's work on variation diminishing Hankel transforms ([2], [3]), Schwartz later on defined his Hankel transform $\mathscr{H}_{\mu}$ for $\mu \geq-\frac{1}{2}$ by ( $[6: p .713]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(y)=\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu} \phi(x)\right)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x) \mathscr{J}_{\mu}(x y) d m(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d m(x)=\left[2^{\mu} \Gamma(\mu+1)\right]^{-1} x^{2 \mu+1} d x$ and $\mathscr{J}_{\mu}(x)=2^{\mu} \Gamma(\mu+1) x^{-\mu} J_{\mu}(x)$. Let $L(0, \infty)$ be the space of $L^{1}(0, \infty)$-integrable functions with respect to the Radon measure $d m(x)$. He then proved the following inversion formula ( $6:$ pp. 713-715]):

THEOREM 3. Let $\phi$ belong to $L(0, \infty)$ and let

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \phi(x) x^{\mu+1 / 2} d x<\infty
$$

If $\phi$ is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of the point $x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x+0)+\phi(x-0)\}=\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu}^{-1} \Psi(y)\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi(y) \mathscr{J}_{\mu}(x y) d m(y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [5:p. 432] we raised the question on relations between the two Hankel transforms (1), (5) and their respective inversion formulas (2), (6). In this paper we prove that they are essentially the same. A straightforward computation reveals that (5) and (6) are reduced respectively to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(y)=\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu} \phi(x)\right)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x) \sqrt{x y}(x / y)^{\mu+1 / 2} J_{\mu}(x y) d y  \tag{7}\\
\frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x-0)+\phi(x+0)\}=\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu}^{-1} \Psi(y)\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi(y) \sqrt{x y}(y / x)^{\mu+1 / 2} J_{\mu}(x y) d y \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

To give a refined form of Theorem 3, we need the following definition.

DEFINITION. For any real number $p \geq 0$, the space $E_{p}(\Omega)$ consists of $L^{1}$-integrable functions defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset(0, \infty)$ with respect to the Radon measure $x^{p} d x$ where $d x$ is the Lebesgue measure.
From the definition we have $E_{0}(\Omega)=L^{1}(\Omega), E_{2 \mu+1}(\Omega)=L(\Omega)$ and in particular $x^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \cdot E_{\mu+1 / 2}(0, \infty)=L^{1}(0, \infty)$. We shall call a function $\varphi$ in $L^{1}(0, \infty)$ an $E_{\mu+1 / 2}$-bounded variation if $x^{\mu+1 / 2} \varphi$ is of bounded variation in $L^{1}(0, \infty)$. Then Theorem 3 is refined as follows:

THEOREM 3'. Let $\phi \in E_{\mu+1 / 2}(0, \infty)$ be an $E_{\mu+1 / 2}$-bounded variation in a neighborhood of the point $x$, then (7) and (8) are inverse to each other under the Hankel transform (5).

Now we prove the main thoerem. Hereafter $\mu$ is any real number $\geq-\frac{1}{2}$.
THEOREM 4. (a) Theorem 1 implies Theorem $3^{\prime}$ under the mapping $\varphi \rightarrow$ $(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi$. In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu} \varphi(x)\right)(y)=\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu}(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi(x)\right)(y) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}^{-1} \Phi(y)\right)(x)=\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu}^{-1}(y / x)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \Phi(y)\right)(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Theorem 3' implies Theorem 1 under the mapping $\phi \rightarrow(x / y)^{\mu+1 / 2} \phi$. In other words,

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mu} \phi(x)\right)(y)=\mathfrak{F}_{\mu}\left((x / y)^{\mu+1 / 2} \phi(x)\right)(y)
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathscr{H}_{\mu}^{-1} \Psi(y)\right)(x)=\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}\left((y / x)^{\mu+1 / 2} \Psi(y)\right)(x)
$$

PROOF. Since the proof of (a) and (b) are identical we prove (a) only. Let $\varphi$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 and consider the mapping $\varphi \rightarrow(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi$. Since

$$
\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}}=y^{-(\mu+1 / 2)}\left\|(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi\right\|_{E_{\mu+1 / 2}}
$$

this mapping is injective from $L^{1}(0, \infty)$ into $E_{\mu+1 / 2}(0, \infty)$. Moreover $\varphi$ is of bounded variation in $L^{1}(0, \infty)$ if and only if $(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi$ is of $E_{\mu+1 / 2}$-bounded variation in $E_{\mu+1 / 2}(0, \infty)$. Thus $(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem $3^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.

Since the mapping $\varphi \rightarrow(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi$ is an isomorphism from $H_{\mu}$ onto $x^{\mu+1 / 2} H_{\mu}$, an application of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ on the space $H_{\mu}$ and on its dual space $H_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ respectively allows us to extend Theorem 4 to distributions. Thus we have
THEOREM 5. (a) For $\mu \geq-\frac{1}{2}$, the two Hankel transforms $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\mu}$ are equivalent on the spaces $H_{\mu}$ and $x^{\mu+1 / 2} H_{\mu}$ respectively, that is for all $\varphi \in H_{\mu}$

$$
\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu} \varphi(x)\right)(y)=\mathscr{H}_{\mu}\left((x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} \varphi(x)\right)(y)
$$

(b) For $\mu \geq-\frac{1}{2}$, the two generalized Hankel transforms $5_{\mu}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\mu}^{\prime}$ are equivalent on the dual spaces $H_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\left(x^{\mu+1 / 2} H_{\mu}\right)^{\prime}$ respectively in a sense of (3), namely for each $f \in H_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ and for all $\varphi \in H_{\mu}$

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{S}_{\mu}^{\prime} f, \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathscr{H}_{\mu}^{\prime}(x / y)^{-(\mu+1 / 2)} f, \varphi\right\rangle
$$

Theorem 5 answers our previous questions ( $[5: \mathrm{pp} .431-432]$ ).
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