

CONFORMAL KILLING VECTOR FIELDS IN A *P*-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

BY KŌZI MATSUMOTO

0. Introduction.

Recently, I. Satō introduced a notion of manifolds with *P*-Sasakian structure and studied several properties of the manifold which are closely similar to the ones of Sasakian manifolds ([3], [4]).

It is very interesting and important to study some geometrical properties of those vector fields which satisfy certain conditions in a Riemannian manifold.

In this paper, we shall show that a necessary and sufficient condition for a conformal Killing vector field in a *P*-Sasakian manifold to be concircular is to satisfy the condition $\xi^\nu \nabla_\nu \rho_\lambda = \alpha \eta_\lambda$ (c. f. Theorem 3.2), and that certain *P*-Sasakian manifolds satisfy this condition. Furthermore, we shall prove that each of the concircular conformal Killing vector fields in a *P*-Sasakian manifold is necessarily an infinitesimal automorphism (c. f. Theorem 4.2).

1. Preliminaries.

An *n*-dimensional *P*-Sasakian manifold (or normal paracontact Riemannian manifold) is a Riemannian manifold which admits a unit vector field ξ^λ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_\mu \eta_\lambda - \nabla_\lambda \eta_\mu = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu \eta_\lambda = (-g_{\nu\lambda} + \eta_\nu \eta_\lambda) \eta_\mu + (-g_{\nu\mu} + \eta_\nu \eta_\mu) \eta_\lambda,$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ∇_μ mean the Riemannian metric and the Levi-Civita covariant differentiation respectively, and $\eta_\lambda \equiv g_{\lambda\mu} \xi^\mu$ ([3]).

Now, if we put

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi_\mu^\lambda = \nabla_\mu \xi^\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(1.4) \quad \eta_\lambda \xi^\lambda = 1, \quad \varphi_\mu^\lambda \xi^\mu = 0, \quad \eta_\lambda \varphi_\mu^\lambda = 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_\mu^\nu \varphi_\nu^\lambda = \delta_\mu^\lambda - \eta_\mu \xi^\lambda,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi_{\mu\lambda} = \varphi_{\lambda\mu} \quad (\varphi_{\mu\lambda} \equiv g_{\lambda\tau} \varphi_\mu^\tau),$$

$$(1.7) \quad g_{\sigma\tau}\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\tau} = g_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda}.$$

In a P -Sasakian manifold we can prove easily

$$(1.8) \quad R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^{\lambda}\eta_{\lambda} = g_{\omega\mu}\eta_{\nu} - g_{\nu\mu}\eta_{\omega},$$

$$(1.9) \quad R_{\mu\lambda}\xi^{\lambda} = -(n-1)\eta_{\mu},$$

$$(1.10) \quad R_{\mu\sigma}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\sigma} - R_{\mu\sigma\gamma\lambda}\varphi^{\sigma\gamma} = (n-2)\varphi_{\mu\lambda} - \varphi g_{\mu\lambda} + 2\varphi\eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda},$$

$$(1.11) \quad R_{\mu\sigma}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\sigma} = R_{\lambda\sigma}\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma},$$

where $R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu\lambda}$ are respectively the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor with respect to $g_{\mu\lambda}$, and φ is defined as $\varphi_{\mu\lambda}g^{\mu\lambda}$ ([2]).

A vector field v^{λ} is said to be an infinitesimal paracontact transformation if for a certain scalar function α it satisfies

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\eta_{\lambda} = \alpha\eta_{\lambda},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(v)$ denotes the Lie differentiation with respect to v^{λ} . Especially, if α vanishes identically, then v^{λ} is said to be an infinitesimal strict paracontact transformation.

A vector field v^{λ} is said to be an infinitesimal automorphism if it leaves invariant three tensors φ_{μ}^{λ} , η_{λ} and $g_{\mu\lambda}$, that is,

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\varphi_{\mu}^{\lambda} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\eta_{\lambda} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}(v)g_{\mu\lambda} = 0.$$

A P -Sasakian manifold whose Ricci tensor satisfies

$$(1.14) \quad R_{\mu\lambda} = ag_{\mu\lambda} + b\eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda}$$

is called an η -Einstein P -Sasakian manifold with the associated functions a and b . For an η -Einstein P -Sasakian manifold we proved

PROPOSITION 1.1. ([5]) *Let M^n ($n > 3$) be a non-Einstein η -Einstein P -Sasakian manifold. A necessary and sufficient condition for the associated functions to be constant is that the vector field ξ^{λ} be harmonic.*

REMARK 1.1. If M^n is compact orientable, then ξ^{λ} is harmonic. Thus, in this case, the associated functions are always constant.

REMARK 1.2. If ξ^{λ} is harmonic, then n is necessarily odd. Thus, in the case of even dimension, the associated functions are never constant.

We know that the eigenvalues of φ_{μ}^{λ} are 1, -1 , and 0 and that the multiplicity of 0 equals 1. Throughout this paper, we assume that each multiplicity of 1 and -1 does not equal 0, that is, that

$$(1.15) \quad \varphi^2 - (n-1)^2 \neq 0.$$

In [1], the following propositions were proved:

PROPOSITION 1.2. *If M^n is Ricci parallel, then it is Einsteinian.*

PROPOSITION 1.3. *If M^n is symmetric, then it is a manifold of constant curvature -1 .*

2. Lemmas.

In this section, we shall prove some lemmas that shall be used in the following sections.

LEMMA 2.1. *If a scalar function ρ in a P -Sasakian manifold satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_{\mu}\rho_{\lambda} = \sigma g_{\mu\lambda} + \tau \eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda},$$

σ and τ being certain scalar functions and $\rho_{\lambda} = \hat{\partial}_{\lambda}\rho$, then τ is identically zero.

Proof. Applying the Ricci identity to ρ_{λ} we have

$$(2.2) \quad -R_{\nu\mu\lambda}{}^{\epsilon}\rho_{\epsilon} = \sigma_{\nu}g_{\mu\lambda} - \sigma_{\mu}g_{\nu\lambda} + \tau_{\nu}\eta_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda} - \tau_{\mu}\eta_{\nu}\eta_{\lambda} \\ + \tau(\eta_{\mu}\varphi_{\nu\lambda} - \eta_{\nu}\varphi_{\mu\lambda}),$$

where we put $\sigma_{\nu} = \hat{\partial}_{\nu}\sigma$ and $\tau_{\nu} = \hat{\partial}_{\nu}\tau$.

Transvecting (2.2) with $\xi^{\nu}g^{\mu\lambda}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad (n-1)\xi^{\epsilon}\rho_{\epsilon} = (n-1)\sigma_{\epsilon}\xi^{\epsilon} - \varphi\tau.$$

On the other hand, transvecting (2.2) with $\xi^{\nu}\varphi^{\mu\lambda}$ and taking account of (1.10), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi\xi^{\epsilon}\rho_{\epsilon} = \varphi\xi^{\epsilon}\sigma_{\epsilon} + (n-1)\tau.$$

By virtue of (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \{\varphi^2 - (n-1)^2\}\tau = 0.$$

(1.15) and (2.5) complete the proof of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. *If $\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}$ in a P -Sasakian manifold satisfies*

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} = \rho\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} + \sigma(\delta_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\xi^{\lambda})$$

for a vector field v^{λ} and certain scalar functions ρ and σ , then ρ and σ are identically zero.

Proof. From (2.6) and $\mathcal{L}(v)\varphi = 0$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \rho\varphi + (n-1)\sigma = 0.$$

Lie differentiating (1.5) with respect to v^{λ} and using (2.6), we have

$$2\rho(\delta_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\xi^{\lambda}) + 2\sigma\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} = -(\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_{\mu})\xi^{\lambda} - \eta_{\mu}\mathcal{L}(v)\xi^{\lambda},$$

from which

$$(2.8) \quad (n-1)\varphi + \varphi\sigma = 0.$$

By virtue of (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \{\varphi^2 - (n-1)^2\}\rho = 0.$$

(1.15), (2.7) and (2.9) complete the proof of Lemma 2.2.

3. Conformal Killing vector fields in a P -Sasakian manifold.

Let v^λ be a conformal Killing vector field with the associated function ρ in a P -Sasakian manifold M^n . Then, by definition, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)g_{\mu\lambda} = 2\rho g_{\mu\lambda}.$$

Especially, if ρ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_\mu \nabla_\lambda \rho = \alpha g_{\mu\lambda},$$

α being a certain scalar function, then v^λ is said to be concircular. Moreover, if ρ is constant, then v^λ is said to be homothetic.

From (3.1), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\{\nu^\lambda{}_\mu\} = \delta_\nu^\lambda \rho_\mu + \delta_\mu^\lambda \rho_\nu - \rho^\lambda g_{\nu\mu},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(v)R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda &= -\delta_\omega^\lambda \nabla_\nu \rho_\mu + \delta_\nu^\lambda \nabla_\omega \rho_\mu - (\nabla_\omega \rho^\lambda) g_{\nu\mu} \\ &\quad + (\nabla_\nu \rho^\lambda) g_{\omega\mu} \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\nu^\lambda{}_\mu\}$ are the Christoffel symbols with respect to $g_{\mu\lambda}$ and $\rho_\lambda \equiv \partial_\lambda \rho$ ([6]).

First, let us prove the following

LEMMA 3.1 *The associated function ρ of a conformal Killing vector field v^λ in M^n satisfies*

$$(3.5) \quad \xi^\lambda \mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = \rho.$$

Proof. Transvecting (3.1) with $\xi^\mu \xi^\lambda$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \xi^\mu \xi^\lambda \mathcal{L}(v)g_{\mu\lambda} = 2\rho.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\xi^\mu \xi^\lambda \mathcal{L}(v)g_{\mu\lambda} = \xi^\mu (\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\mu - g_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{L}(v)\xi^\lambda) = 2\xi^\mu \mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\mu$$

From (3.6) and the above equation, Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Taking account of Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, we shall prove the following

THEOREM 3.2. *Let v^λ be a conformal Killing vector field with the associated function ρ in M^n . In order for v^λ to be concircular it is necessary and sufficient that ρ satisfy*

$$(3.7) \quad \xi^\tau \nabla_\tau \rho_\lambda = \alpha \eta_\lambda \quad (\alpha = \xi^\varepsilon \xi^\tau \nabla_\varepsilon \rho_\tau).$$

Proof. By virtue of (3.2), it is clear that the associated function ρ of a concircular conformal Killing vector field satisfies (3.7). Thus, it is sufficient that a conformal Killing vector field v^λ whose associated function ρ satisfies (3.7) be concircular.

We assume that the associated function ρ of a conformal Killing vector field v^λ satisfies (3.7).

Transvecting (3.4) with η_λ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad (\mathcal{L}(v)R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda)\eta_\lambda = -\eta_\omega\nabla_\nu\rho_\mu + \eta_\nu\nabla_\omega\rho_\mu - \eta_\lambda(\nabla_\omega\rho^\lambda)g_{\omega\mu} \\ + \eta_\lambda(\nabla_\nu\rho^\lambda)g_{\omega\mu}.$$

By virtue of (1.8) and (3.1), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)(R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda\eta_\lambda) = 2\rho(g_{\omega\mu}\eta_\nu - g_{\nu\mu}\eta_\omega) + g_{\omega\mu}\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\nu \\ - g_{\nu\mu}\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\omega.$$

Substituting (3.8) and (3.9) in the identity

$$\mathcal{L}(v)(R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda\eta_\lambda) = (\mathcal{L}(v)R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda)\eta_\lambda + R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda$$

we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad R_{\omega\nu\mu}{}^\lambda\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = \eta_\omega\nabla_\nu\rho_\mu - \eta_\nu\nabla_\omega\rho_\mu + \xi^\lambda(\nabla_\omega\rho_\lambda)g_{\nu\mu} \\ - \xi^\lambda(\nabla_\nu\rho_\lambda)g_{\omega\mu} + 2\rho(g_{\omega\mu}\eta_\nu - g_{\nu\mu}\eta_\omega) + g_{\omega\mu}\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\nu \\ - g_{\nu\mu}\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\omega.$$

Transvecting (3.10) with ξ^ω and taking account of (3.7) and Lemma 3.1, we find

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_\nu\rho_\mu = (2\rho - \alpha)g_{\nu\mu} - 2(\rho - \alpha)\eta_\nu\eta_\mu.$$

Thus we have from Lemma 2.1 and (3.11)

$$(3.12) \quad \nabla_\nu\rho_\mu = \rho g_{\nu\mu}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Contracting $g^{\nu\mu}$ to (3.10), we have

$$(3.13) \quad R_\omega{}^\lambda\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = (\nabla_\tau\rho^\tau)\eta_\omega - 2(n-1)\rho\eta_\omega + (n-2)\xi^\tau\nabla_\omega\rho_\tau \\ - (n-1)\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\omega.$$

Transvecting (3.10) with $\varphi^{\nu\mu}\varphi_\tau{}^\omega$ and taking account of (1.10), (1.11) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(3.14) \quad R_\tau{}^\lambda\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = (n-1)\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\tau - 2\varphi_\tau{}^\omega\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\omega - 2(n-1)\rho\eta_\tau \\ + \varphi\xi^\lambda\varphi_\tau{}^\omega\nabla_\omega\rho_\lambda - \xi^\lambda\nabla_\tau\rho_\lambda + (\xi^\sigma\xi^\tau\nabla_\sigma\xi_\tau)\eta_\tau.$$

Thus we have from (3.13) and (3.14)

$$(3.15) \quad 2(n-1)\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda - 2\varphi\varphi_\lambda{}^r\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_r + (\xi^e\xi^r\nabla_e\rho_r - \nabla_r\rho^r)\eta_\lambda \\ - (n-1)\xi^r\nabla_\lambda\rho_r + \varphi\xi^r\varphi_\lambda{}^e\nabla_e\rho_r = 0.$$

Comparing (3.15) with the equation that transvects (3.15) with $\varphi_\mu{}^\lambda$, we find

$$\{(n-1)^2 - \varphi^2\}(2\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda - \xi^r\nabla_\lambda\rho_r) + \{(n-1)(\xi^e\xi^r\nabla_e\rho_r \\ - \nabla_r\rho^r) + \varphi^2(2\rho - \xi^e\xi^r\nabla_e\rho_r)\}\eta_\lambda = 0.$$

By virtue of (1.15), Lemma 3.1, and the above equation, we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad 2\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = 2\rho\eta_\lambda + \xi^r\nabla_\lambda\rho_r - (\xi^e\xi^r\nabla_e\rho_r)\eta_\lambda.$$

Next, if we assume that M^n is η -Einsteinian, then we have from (1.14) and (3.13)

$$\{a + (n-1)\}\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = \{\nabla_r\rho^r - 2(n-1)\rho - b\rho\}\eta_\lambda \\ + (n-2)\xi^r\nabla_\lambda\rho_r.$$

Substituting (3.16) in the above equation, we get

$$(3.17) \quad \{a - (n-3)\}\xi^r\nabla_\lambda\rho_r = \{2\nabla_r\rho^r - 4(n-1)\rho - b(\xi^e\xi^r\nabla_e\rho_r)\}\eta_\lambda.$$

Thus we have

COROLLARY 3.3. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in an η -Einstein P -Sasakian manifold satisfying $a \neq n-3$ is concircular.*

From Remark 1.2, we have

COROLLARY 3.4. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in an even dimensional η -Einstein P -Sasakian manifold is concircular.*

Especially, if $a \neq -(n-1)$ in (1.14), then the manifold is Einsteinian. Thus we have from Corollary 3.3

COROLLARY 3.5. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in an Einstein P -Sasakian manifold is concircular.*

If M^n is a manifold of constant curvature, then its curvature is always equal to -1 and M^n is Einsteinian. Thus we have from the above corollary

COROLLARY 3.6. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in a P -Sasakian manifold of constant curvature is concircular.*

Propositions 1.2, 1.3 and Corollaries 3.5, 3.6 denote the following

COROLLARY 3.7. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in a symmetric or a Ricci parallel P -Sasakian manifold is concircular.*

4. An infinitesimal automorphism in a P -Sasakian manifold.

In this section, we shall consider the relation between a conformal Killing vector field and an infinitesimal automorphism in a P -Sasakian manifold M^n .

First of all, we shall prove the following

THEOREM 4.1. *A necessary and sufficient condition for a conformal Killing vector field in M^n to be an infinitesimal paracontact transformation is that the vector field be concircular.*

Proof. If a conformal Killing vector field v^λ with the associated function ρ is an infinitesimal paracontact transformation, then we have

$$\mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = f\eta_\lambda$$

for a certain scalar function f . Substituting the above equation in (3.16) and transvecting this equation with φ_μ^λ , we have

$$\varphi_\mu^\lambda \eta^\nu \nabla_\lambda \rho_\nu = 0,$$

from which

$$(4.1) \quad \xi^\nu \nabla_\mu \rho_\nu = (\xi^\epsilon \xi^\nu \nabla_\epsilon \rho_\nu) \eta_\mu.$$

Hence, by virtue of Theorem 3.2 and (4.1), v^λ is concircular. Conversely, if a vector field v^λ is a concircular conformal Killing vector field with the associated function ρ , then we have from Theorem 3.2 and (3.16)

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\eta_\lambda = \rho\eta_\lambda,$$

which means that v^λ is an infinitesimal paracontact transformation.

Next, we shall prove

THEOREM 4.2. *A concircular conformal Killing vector field in M^n is necessarily an infinitesimal automorphism.*

Proof. For a concircular conformal Killing vector field v^λ with the associated function ρ , we have from (3.1) and (4.2)

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\xi^\lambda = -\rho\xi^\lambda.$$

On the other hand, in a Riemannian manifold, the following identity holds good ([6]):

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{L}(u)\nabla_\mu w^\lambda - \nabla_\mu \mathcal{L}(u)w^\lambda = (\mathcal{L}(u)\{\mu^\lambda\}_\epsilon)w^\epsilon$$

for any vector fields u^λ and w^λ .

By virtue of (1.3), (3.3), (4.3), (4.4), and the equation $\rho^\lambda = (\rho_\epsilon \xi^\epsilon)\xi^\lambda$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(v)\varphi_\mu^\lambda = -\rho\varphi_\mu^\lambda + (\rho_\nu \xi^\nu)(\delta_\mu^\lambda - \eta_\mu^\lambda).$$

Taking account of Lemma 2.2 and (4.5), we get

$$(4.6) \quad \rho = 0.$$

(3.1), (4.2), (4.5), and (4.6) complete the proof of Theorem 4.2.

From the corollaries and the above theorem, we have the followings

COROLLARY 4.3. *Each of the homothetic conformal Killing vector fields in a P-Sasakian manifold is an infinitesimal automorphism.*

COROLLARY 4.4. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in an η -Einstein P-Sasakian manifold satisfying $a \neq n-3$ is an infinitesimal automorphism.*

COROLLARY 4.5. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in an Einstein P-Sasakian manifold is an infinitesimal automorphism.*

COROLLARY 4.6. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in a P-Sasakian manifold of constant curvature is an infinitesimal automorphism.*

COROLLARY 4.7. *Each of the conformal Killing vector fields in a symmetric or a Ricci parallel P-Sasakian manifold is an infinitesimal automorphism.*

References

- [1] T. Adati and T. Miyazawa, *Some properties of P-Sasakian manifolds*, to appear.
- [2] T. Adati and K. Matsumoto, *On conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric P-Sasakian manifolds*, to appear.
- [3] I. Satō, *On a structure similar to the almost contact structure*, Tensor, N.S., **30** (1976), 219-224.
- [4] _____, *On a Riemannian manifold admitting a certain vector field*, to appear.
- [5] I. Satō and K. Matsumoto, *On P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions*, to appear.
- [6] K. Yano, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon Press, 1965.

Yamagata University, Japan