

GENERALIZED f -CONTRACTIONS AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS

BY SEHIE PARK AND KISOO PARK

1. Introduction. The Banach contraction principle has had numerous generalizations. The following is due to C. S. Wong [8].

THEOREM A. *Let T be a selfmap of a complete metric space (X, d) . Then T has a fixed point if there exist selfmaps $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that*

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i(t) < t$ for $t > 0$,
 - (2) each α_i is upper-semicontinuous from the right,
 - (3) $d(Tx, Ty) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Tx)$
- for all pairs of distinct x, y in X , where $a_i = \alpha_i(d(x, y)) / d(x, y)$.

This theorem also generalizes some results of D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong [1] and of F. E. Browder [2].

We note that a fixed point of a map $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a common fixed point of g and the identity map 1_X of X . Motivated by this fact, G. Jungck [5] and S. Park [6], [7] obtained some results on fixed points by replacing 1_X by a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$. In fact, in [5], G. Jungck obtained the following useful extension of the Banach contraction principle.

THEOREM B. *A continuous selfmap f of a complete metric space (X, d) has a fixed point iff there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and a map $g : X \rightarrow X$ which commutes with f and satisfies $gX \subset fX$ and $d(gx, gy) \leq \alpha d(fx, fy)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Indeed, f and g have a unique common fixed point ζ .*

In the present paper, we obtain a combined form of Theorems A and B and related results. Consequently, our results extend those in [1], [2], [5] and [8]. Actually our results will be stated for metric spaces more general than complete ones.

2. Generalized f -contractions. Let f be a continuous selfmap of a metric space (X, d) , and C_f denote the family of maps $g : X \rightarrow X$ such that $gX \subset fX$ and $gf = fg$. Note that C_f is not empty since f itself belongs to C_f .

DEFINITION. Given $x \in X$ and a map $g \in C_f$, an f -iteration of x under g is a sequence $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ given inductively by the rule $fx_n = gx_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $x_0 = x$.

Note that given $x \in X$, its f -iteration is not unique, however, in case $f = 1_X$, an f -iteration of x under g is reduced to the (Picard) sequence of iterates for g .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $x \in X$ has an f -iteration under $g \in C_f$ whose limit is $\eta \in X$, then each $fx_i \in X$ ($i \geq 1$) has an f -iteration whose limit is $f\eta$.*

Proof. Since $ffx_n = fgx_{n-1} = gffx_{n-1}$, $\{ffx_n\}_{n \geq i}$ is an f -iteration of fx_i under g . From $fx_n \rightarrow \eta$ and the continuity of f , we have $ffx_n \rightarrow f\eta$.

DEFINITION. A map g in C_f is called a *generalized f -contraction* in the sense of C. S. Wong if there exist functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ of $(0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that

(a) each α_i is upper-semicontinuous from the right,

(b) $\sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i(t) < t$, $t > 0$,

(c) for any $x, y \in X$, $fx \neq fy$, we have

$$d(gx, gy) \leq a_1 d(fx, fy) + a_2 d(fx, gx) + a_3 d(fy, gy) + a_4 d(fx, gy) + a_5 d(fy, gx)$$

where $a_i = \alpha_i(d(fx, fy)) / d(fx, fy)$.

Note that if $f = 1_X$, the identity map of X , then we get a generalized contraction of C. S. Wong [8].

For a generalized f -contraction, the limit of an f -iteration of x under g depends only on $x \in X$ if exists.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $g \in C_f$ be a generalized f -contraction. For any $x \in X$, if an f -iteration of x under g has the limit η , so does every convergent f -iteration of x under g .*

Proof. Suppose an f -iteration $\{fx_n\} = \{gx_{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $x = x_0 \in X$ has limit $\eta \in X$. Let $\{fy_n\} = \{gy_{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be another convergent f -iteration of $x = y_0$ under g . Then there exists an $i \geq 1$ such that $fx_i \neq fy_i$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\eta, gy_i) &\leq d(\eta, gx_i) + d(gx_i, gy_i) \\ &\leq d(\eta, gx_i) + a_1 d(fx_i, fy_i) + a_2 d(fx_i, gx_i) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(fy_i, gy_i) + a_4 d(fx_i, gy_i) + a_5 d(fy_i, gx_i) \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$d(\eta, gy_i) \leq a_1 d(fx_i, gy_{i-1}) + a_4 d(fx_i, gy_i) + a_5 d(fx_{i+1}, gy_{i-1}) + o(i)$$

where $\{o(i)\}$ converges to zero. Since $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$, by letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $gy_i \rightarrow \eta$.

THEOREM 2.3. *For any generalized f -contraction $g \in C_f$ and for any $x \in X$, there is a Cauchy f -iteration of x under g .*

Proof. Given $x \in X$, we choose an f -iteration $\{fx_n\} = \{gx_{n-1}\}$ of $x = x_0$ under g as follows: If we have $fx_{i+1} = fx_i$ for some i , then we can choose fx_{i+j} by fx_{i+1} for all $j \geq 1$ and obtain a Cauchy f -iteration. So we may assume that $d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) > 0$ for each n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) &= d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) + a_2 d(fx_n, gx_n) + a_3 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(fx_n, gx_{n-1}) + a_5 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n) \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5) d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) + (a_2 + a_5) d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) \leq ((a_1 + a_3 + a_5) / (1 - a_2 - a_5)) d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}).$$

By symmetry of x, y in (c), we may assume that $\alpha_5 = \alpha_4$. So if we define a function

$$\alpha(t) = [(\alpha_1(t) + \alpha_3(t) + \alpha_5(t)) / (t - \alpha_2(t) - \alpha_4(t))]t, \quad t > 0,$$

then

$$d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \leq \alpha(d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}))$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\alpha(t) < t$ for $t > 0$ by (b), $\{d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)\}$ is decreasing and converges to some $s \in [0, \infty)$. If $s > 0$, then

$$s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(d(fx_n, fx_{n-1})).$$

Since α is upper-semicontinuous from the right by (a), we have $s \leq \alpha(s)$, a contradiction. So $s = 0$. Now we prove that $\{fx_n\}$ is Cauchy. Suppose not. Then there exist $r > 0$ and sequences $\{p(n)\}, \{q(n)\}$ such that for each n ,

$$p(n) > q(n) > n, \quad d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)}) \geq r$$

and by the well-ordering principle

$$d(fx_{p(n)-1}, fx_{q(n)}) < r.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r &\leq d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)}) \\ &\leq d(fx_{p(n)-1}, fx_{q(n)}) + d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{p(n)-1}) \\ &\leq r + o(n) \end{aligned}$$

where $o(n)$ converges to 0, hence, $\{d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)})\}$ converges to r from the right. By (c),

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)})d(gx_{p(n)}, gx_{q(n)}) \\
& \leq d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)})[a_1d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)}) \\
& \quad + a_2d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{p(n)+1}) + a_3d(fx_{q(n)}, fx_{q(n)+1}) \\
& \quad + a_4d(fx_{p(n)}, fx_{q(n)+1}) + a_5d(fx_{q(n)}, fx_{p(n)+1})].
\end{aligned}$$

So by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$r^2 \leq r(a_1r + a_4r + a_5r),$$

a contradiction to (b). Hence $\{fx_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Given a continuous selfmap f of (X, d) , we consider a condition on X somewhat more general than completeness.

DEFINITION. Given g in C_f , X is said to be *g -orbitally complete* w. r. t. f if, for any $x \in X$, every Cauchy subsequence of an arbitrary f -iteration $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of x under g converges in X . If X is g -orbitally complete for any $g \in C_f$, then X is said to be *f -complete*.

The g -orbital completeness w. r. t. 1_X is just the g -orbital completeness of Ciric [3], [4].

Clearly, every complete metric space X is f -complete for any f . However, the converse is not true. For example, it may happen that X is not complete, but fX is complete. Then X is f -complete. Note also that every metric space X is g -orbitally complete w. r. t. f if gX is complete.

Combining Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we have

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let f be a continuous selfmap of X . If $g \in C_f$ is a generalized f -contraction such that X is g -orbitally complete w. r. t. f , then any $x \in X$ has a convergent f -iteration under g and its limit depends only on x .*

3. Fixed Point Theorems. Now we have the following main theorem:

THEOREM 3.1. *A continuous selfmap f of a metric space X has a fixed point iff there is a generalized f -contraction g in C_f such that X is g -orbitally complete w. r. t. f . Indeed,*

- (1) f and g have a unique common fixed point $\eta \in X$, and
- (2) for any $x_0 \in X$ and any f -iteration $\{fx_n\}$ of x_0 under g , we have $\lim_n gfx_n = \eta$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that $f\eta = \eta$ for some $\eta \in X$. Define $g : X \rightarrow X$ by $gx = \eta$ for all $x \in X$. Then clearly $g \in C_f$. Define $\alpha_1 = (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\alpha_1(t) = \lambda t$ for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$. Then (a), (b), and (c) clearly follow.

Sufficiency. Let g be a generalized f -contraction in C_f such that X is g -

orbitally complete w. r. t. f . For any $x = x_0 \in X$, we have a convergent f -iteration $\{f x_n\} = \{g x_{n-1}\}$, $n \geq 1$, of x , by Corollary 2.4. Let ζ be its limit. Now we show that $f\zeta = g\zeta$. If $f x_{i+1} = f x_i$ for some i , then we could have chosen $f x_{i+1} = f x_{i+2} = \dots = \zeta$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Hence, $g f x_{i+1} = g f x_{i+2} = \dots = g\zeta$. Since $g f x_{i+1} = f g x_{i+1} = f f x_{i+2}$, we know that $\{g f x_n\}$ is a tail of an f -iteration of $f x_i$ and, hence, has the limit $f\zeta$, by Proposition 2.1. Therefore, we have $f\zeta = g\zeta$. Suppose that $f x_{n+1} \neq f x_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $f f x_i \neq f\zeta$ for some $i \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f\zeta, g\zeta) &\leq d(f\zeta, f g x_i) + d(g f x_i, g\zeta) \\ &\leq d(f\zeta, f g x_i) + a_1 d(f f x_i, f\zeta) + a_2 d(f f x_i, g f x_i) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(f\zeta, g\zeta) + a_4 d(f f x_i, g\zeta) + a_5 d(f\zeta, g f x_i) \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i = \alpha_i (d(f f x_i, f\zeta)) / d(f f x_i, f\zeta)$. Since $f f x_i = f g x_{i-1} = g f x_{i-1}$, letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$d(f\zeta, g\zeta) \leq (a_3 + a_4) d(f\zeta, g\zeta),$$

whence we have $f\zeta = g\zeta$. If $f f x_n = f\zeta$ for all $n \geq 1$, we have also $f\zeta = g\zeta$. Suppose now $f\zeta \neq f g\zeta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f\zeta, f g\zeta) &= d(g\zeta, g^2\zeta) \\ &\leq a_1 d(f\zeta, f g\zeta) + a_2 d(f\zeta, g\zeta) + a_3 d(f g\zeta, g^2\zeta) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(f\zeta, g^2\zeta) + a_4 d(f\zeta, g^2\zeta) + a_5 d(f g\zeta, f\zeta) \\ &= (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(f\zeta, f g\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$, this leads a contradiction. Hence, we must have $f\zeta = f g\zeta$, which shows that $f\zeta = g\zeta$ is a common fixed point of f and g . If f and g have two common fixed point α, β in X , $\alpha \neq \beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\alpha, \beta) &= d(g\alpha, g\beta) \\ &\leq a_1 d(\alpha, \beta) + a_4 d(\alpha, \beta) + a_5 d(\alpha, \beta) < d(\alpha, \beta), \end{aligned}$$

which leads a contradiction. Hence f and g have a unique common fixed point.

In case $f = 1_X$, a generalized contraction g has a unique fixed point by Theorem 3.1. This is just Theorem 1 of C. S. Wong [8], which is reduced to a result of Boyd-Wong [1]. If $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$ and α_1 is a constant in $[0, 1)$, Theorem 3.1 is reduced to a result of Jungck [5]. In fact, a number of known fixed point theorems are consequences of Theorem 3.1.

In Theorem 3.1, it can be proved that if X is compact and if “ $<$ ” in (b) is interchanged with “ \leq ” in (c), then it is still true.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let f be a continuous selfmap of a complete metric space*

(X, d) . If $g \in C_f$ and if there is a positive integer k such that g^k is a generalized f -contraction, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Clearly $g^k \in C_f$ and by Theorem 3.1, there is a unique $\eta \in X$ such that $\eta = f\eta = g^k\eta$. But then, since f and g commute, we can write $g\eta = f(g\eta) = g^k(g\eta)$, which says that $g\eta$ is also a common fixed point of f and g^k . The uniqueness implies $\eta = g\eta = f\eta$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let f be a continuous selfmap of a metric space X and g be a generalized f -contraction in C_f such that X is g -orbitally complete w. r. t. f as in Theorem 3.1. Suppose further that each α_i is increasing. Then

(i) $d(gfx_n, \eta) \leq \alpha^n(d(gfx, \eta))$ for all $n \geq 0$ where η is the common fixed point of f and g , $\alpha(0) = 0$, and for $t > 0$,

$$\alpha(t) = [(2\alpha_1(t) + \sum_{i=2}^5 \alpha_i(t)) / (2t - \sum_{i=2}^5 \alpha_i(t))]t;$$

(ii) α is increasing, continuous from the right and for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\{\alpha^n(t)\}$ converges to 0.

Hence, $\{gfx_n\}$ converges uniformly to the common fixed point of f and g on any bounded subset of X .

Proof. (i) Let $x \in X$ be such that $gfx \neq \eta$ and $b_n = d(gfx_n, \eta)$ for $n \geq 0$. By (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 b_1 &= b_0 d(gfx_1, \eta) = b_0 d(g^2x, g\eta) \\ &\leq \alpha_1(b_0) d(fgx, \eta) + \alpha_2(b_0) d(fgx, g^2x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_4(b_0) d(fgx, \eta) + \alpha_5(b_0) d(\eta, g^2x) \\ &\leq \alpha_1(b_0) b_0 + \alpha_2(b_0) (b_0 + b_1) + \alpha_4(b_0) b_0 + \alpha_5(b_0) b_1 \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$b_1 \leq [(\alpha_1(b_0) + \alpha_2(b_0) + \alpha_4(b_0)) / (b_0 - \alpha_2(b_0) - \alpha_5(b_0))] b_0.$$

Similarly

$$b_2 \leq [(\alpha_1(b_1) + \alpha_2(b_1) + \alpha_4(b_1)) / (b_1 - \alpha_2(b_1) - \alpha_5(b_1))] b_1.$$

Because of the symmetry of x, y , (c) still holds if we replace $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ respectively by

$$\alpha_1, (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/2, (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/2, (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)/2, (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)/2.$$

Thus $b_1 \leq \alpha(b_0)$, $b_2 \leq \alpha(b_1)$, and by induction $b_{n+1} \leq \alpha(b_n)$, $n \geq 0$. Since α is increasing, by induction we have

$$d(gfx_n, \eta) = b_n \leq \alpha^n(b_0) = \alpha^n(d(gfx, x)), \quad n \geq 0.$$

(ii) Each α_i is increasing and continuous from the right, so is α . Let $t > 0$. By (b), $\alpha(t) < t$. So $\{\alpha^n(t)\}$ is decreasing and converges to some $t_0 \in$

$[0, \infty)$. Suppose $t_0 > 0$. Then by the right continuity of α ,

$$t_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^n(t)) = \alpha(t_0),$$

which is a contradiction to $\alpha(t) < t$ for $t > 0$. Hence, $t_0 = 0$.

In case $f = 1_X$, Theorem 3.3 is due to C. S. Wong [8]. Note that our proof is a slight modification of his. When $f = 1_X$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$ and X is bounded, Theorem 3.3 is reduced to a result of F. E. Browder [2, Theorem 1].

References

- [1] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, *On nonlinear contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 458-464. MR **39** #916.
- [2] F. E. Browder, *On the convergence of successive approximation for nonlinear functional equations*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **71**=Indag. Math. **30** (1968), 27-35. MR **37** #5743.
- [3] Lj. B. Ćirić, *Generalized contractions and fixed-point theorems*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.) **12(26)** (1971), 19-26. MR **46** #8203.
- [4] _____, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 267-273. MR **50** #8484.
- [5] G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **83** (1976), 261-263.
- [6] Sehie Park, *A generalization of a theorem of Janos and Edelstein*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear)
- [7] _____, *Fixed points of f -contractive maps*, Rocky Mountain J. of Math. (to appear)
- [8] C. S. Wong, *Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1974), 409-417. MR **48** #9692.

Seoul National University

and

The Korea Military Academy