

A RESULT ON AN EXTENSION OF FOX'S H -FUNCTION

By Manilal Shah

1. Introduction

Recently, Agarwal and Mathur [(1), p.536] has given an extension of Fox's H -function [(4), p.408] in two variables by means of a double Mellin-Barnes contour integral in the form

$$(1.1) \quad H \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix} \right] \equiv H_{p, [t: t'], s, [q: q']}^{n, \nu_1, \nu_2, m_1, m_2} \left[\begin{matrix} \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\}; \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\} \\ \{(\delta_s, d_s)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}; \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \phi(\xi + \eta) \phi(\xi, \eta) x^\xi y^\eta d\xi d\eta$$

where (i) $\{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\}$ stands for $(\varepsilon_1, e_1), \dots, (\varepsilon_p, e_p)$ and similarly for $\{(\gamma_t, c_t)\}, \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\}$ etc.,

$$(ii) \quad \phi(\xi + \eta) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - \varepsilon_j + e_j \xi + e_j \eta)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(\varepsilon_j - e_j \xi - e_j \eta) \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(\delta_j + d_j \xi + d_j \eta)}$$

(iii) $\phi(\xi, \eta)$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\nu_1} \Gamma(\gamma_j + c_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^{m_1} \Gamma(\beta_j - b_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^{\nu_2} \Gamma(\gamma'_j + c'_j \eta) \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma(\beta'_j - b'_j \eta)}{\prod_{j=\nu_1+1}^t \Gamma(1 - \gamma_j - c_j \xi) \prod_{j=m_1+1}^q \Gamma(1 - \beta_j + b_j \xi) \prod_{j=\nu_2+1}^{t'} \Gamma(1 - \gamma'_j - c'_j \eta) \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{q'} \Gamma(1 - \beta'_j + b'_j \eta)}$$

and

$$(iv) \quad 0 \leq n \leq p, \quad 0 \leq \nu_1 \leq t, \quad 0 \leq \nu_2 \leq t', \quad 0 \leq m_1 \leq q, \quad 0 \leq m_2 \leq q'.$$

The sequences of parameters $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_p; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{\nu_1}; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{m_1}; \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_{\nu_2};$ and $\beta'_1, \beta'_2, \dots, \beta'_{m_2}$ are such that none of the poles of the integrand coincide, the paths of integration are indented, if necessary, in such a manner that all the poles of $\Gamma(\beta_j - b_j \xi), j=1, 2, \dots, m_1$ and $\Gamma(\beta'_j - b'_j \eta), j=1, 2, \dots, m_2$ lie to the right and those of $\Gamma(\gamma_j + c_j \xi), j=1, 2, \dots, \nu_1, \Gamma(\gamma'_j + c'_j \eta), j=1, 2, \dots, \nu_2$ and $\Gamma(1 - \varepsilon_j + e_j \xi + e_j \eta), j=1, 2, \dots, n$ lie to the left and the double integral converges

if

$$(1.2) \begin{cases} 2(n+\nu_1+m_1) > p+s+t+q, & |\arg(x)| < [n+\nu_1+m_1 - \frac{1}{2}(p+s+t+q)]\pi, \\ 2(n+\nu_2+m_2) > p+s+t'+q', & |\arg(y)| < [n+\nu_2+m_2 - \frac{1}{2}(p+s+t'+q')]\pi. \end{cases}$$

In this note a finite integral involving the extended H -function in two arguments has been evaluated by the method based on interchanging the order of integration, and term-by-term integration with the help of known integral and also obtained its double-integral analogues as well as some interesting particular cases which generalize several recent results of MacRobert [(5)], Shah [(6)-(7)&(8)] and many others in the theory of special functions.

2. The main integral

We begin by considering the integral

$$I = \int_0^u x^{\rho-1} (u-x)^{\sigma-1} H_{p, [t:t'], s, [q:q']}^{n, \nu_1, \nu_2, m_1, m_2} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda x^k (u-x)^l \\ \mu x^k (u-x)^l \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\}; \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\} \\ \{(\delta_s, d_s)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}; \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

where k and l are to be non-negative integers both not zero.

On substituting $x=uz$ and then the value of $H \left[\begin{matrix} u^{(k+l)} z^k (1-z)^l \\ u^{(k+l)} z^k (1-z)^l \end{matrix} \right]$ in the integrand

from (1.1), if we invert the order of integration, which can easily be justified by De La Vallée Poussin's theorem [(2), p.504] in view of conditions stated in (1.2) earlier, and evaluate the inner z -integral by making use of the known β -integral [(3), p.425] and then by virtue of (1.1), we shall obtain

$$(2.1) \quad I = u^{\rho+\sigma-1} H_{p+2, [t:t'], s, [q:q']}^{n+2, \nu_1, \nu_2, m_1, m_2} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda u^{(k+l)} \\ \mu u^{(k+l)} \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, k), (1-\sigma, l), \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\}; \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\} \\ (\rho+\sigma, k+l), \{(\delta_s, d_s)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}; \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

provided

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\rho + (k+l) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\sigma + (k+l) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0,$$

$$j=1, 2, \dots, m_1; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m_2$$

and either (1.2) [with x and y replaced by λ and μ] holds, or

$$p+t < s+q, \quad p+t' < s+q',$$

or else $p+t=s+q, p+t'=s+q'$ with $|\lambda| < 1$ and $|\mu| < 1$.

3. Particular cases

(1) For $p=s=n=0$, the double integral in (1.1) breaks up into the product of two Fox's H -functions

$$H_{t, q}^{m_1, \nu_1} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(1-\gamma_t, c_t)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] H_{t', q'}^{m_2, \nu_2} \left[y \left| \begin{matrix} \{(1-\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\} \\ \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

where $q \geq t, q' \geq t'$ and from (2.1), we thus obtain

$$(3.1) \int_0^u x^{\rho-1} (u-x)^{\sigma-1} H_{t, q}^{m_1, \nu_1} \left[\lambda x^k (u-x)^l \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] H_{t', q'}^{m_2, \nu_2} \left[\mu x^k (u-x)^l \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\} \\ \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= u^{\rho+\sigma-1} H_{2, [t:t'], 1, [q:q']}^{2, \nu_1, \nu_2, m_1, m_2} \left[\begin{matrix} (1-\rho, k), (1-\sigma, l) \\ \lambda u^{(k+l)} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(1-\gamma_t, c_t)\}; \{(1-\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\} \\ (\rho+\sigma, k+l) \\ \mu u^{(k+l)} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}; \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \right. \end{matrix} \right]$$

which holds under the same conditions as stated in (2.1) with $p=s=n=0$.

Further on substituting $k=0$ or $l=0$ in (3.1), we can obtain the result in the simplest modified form.

(2) On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} H_{p, [t:0], s, [q:1]}^{p, \nu_1, 0, m_1, 1} \left[\begin{matrix} x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\}; - \\ \{(\delta_s, d_s)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}; 0 \end{matrix} \right. \\ y \end{matrix} \right] = H_{p+t, s+q}^{m_1, p+\nu_1} \left[\begin{matrix} x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\}, \{(1-\gamma_t, c_t)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}, \{(1-\delta_s, d_s)\} \end{matrix} \right. \end{matrix} \right]$$

the special case $p=t'=n=\nu_2=m_2-1=q'-1=0$ and then replacing $p+\nu_1, p+t$ and $s+q$ by ν_1, t and q respectively of (2.1) yields the elegant formula

$$(3.2) \int_0^u x^{\rho-1} (u-x)^{\sigma-1} H_{t, q}^{m_1, \nu_1} \left[\lambda x^k (u-x)^l \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= u^{\rho+\sigma-1} H_{t+2, q+1}^{m_1, \nu_1+2} \left[\begin{matrix} (1-\rho, k), (1-\sigma, l), \{(\gamma_t, c_t)\} \\ \lambda u^{(k+l)} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}, (1-\rho-\sigma, k+l) \end{matrix} \right. \end{matrix} \right]$$

where, for convergence, $2(m_1+\nu_1) > t+q, |\arg(\lambda)| < [m_1+\nu_1 - \frac{1}{2}(t+q)]\pi,$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\rho + (k+l) \frac{\beta_j}{b_j} \right] > 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m_1 \text{ and } k \text{ and } l \text{ are positive integers.}$$

Possible deductions are:

- (a) Setting $l=0$, all c 's and b 's and k equal to unity, a known result [(3), p.417, (1)] can be obtained.
- (b) Substituting $l=0$, all c 's and b 's equal to unity, $m_1=t, \nu_1=1, t=q+1, q=t, \gamma_1=1$ and using the known relation [(3), p.444]:

$$G_{q+1,t}^{l,1} \left(x \left| \begin{matrix} 1, \gamma_q \\ \beta_t \end{matrix} \right. \right) = E(t; \beta_p; q; \gamma_r; x),$$

we can obtain a well-known interesting result due to MacRobert [(5), p.235].

(c) With $l=0$, $m_1=q=4$, $\nu_1=0$, $t=2$, $\gamma_1=\frac{1}{2}+a$, $\gamma_2=\frac{1}{2}-a$, $\beta_1=0$, $\beta_2=\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_3=b$, $\beta_4=-b$, $c_1=c_2=b_1=b_2=b_3=b_4=1$, and by virtue of the known relation [(3), p.438], we get

$$(3.3) \int_0^u x^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}k-1} (u-x)^{\sigma-1} W_{a,b}(2\sqrt{\lambda x^k}) W_{-a,b}(2\sqrt{\lambda x^k}) dx \\ = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\pi}} u^{\rho+\sigma-1} \Gamma(\sigma) H_{3,5}^{4,1} \left[\lambda u^k \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, k), \left(\frac{1}{2}+a, 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}-a, 1\right) \\ (0, 1), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), (b, 1), (-b, 1), (1-\rho-\sigma, k) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

where $|\arg(x)| < \pi$, $\operatorname{Re}[\rho+k(\pm b)] > 0$, k is a positive integer > 0 , and $W_{\pm a,b}(x)$ are Whittaker functions.

(d) Taking $l=0$, $m_1=2$, $q=3$, $\nu_1=t=1$, $\gamma_1=\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_1=a$, $\beta_2=0$, $\beta_3=-a$, $c_1=b_1=b_2=b_3=1$ and with the application of the relation [(3), p.437], we have

$$(3.4) \int_0^u x^{\rho-1} (u-x)^{\sigma-1} I_a(\sqrt{\lambda x^k}) K_a(\sqrt{\lambda x^k}) dx \\ = \frac{u^{\rho+\sigma-1} \Gamma(\sigma)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} H_{2,4}^{2,2} \left[\lambda u^k \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, k), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \\ (a, 1), (0, 1), (-a, 1), (1-\rho-\sigma, k) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

where k is a positive integer > 0 , $|\arg(\lambda)| < \pi$, $\operatorname{Re}[\rho+k(\pm a)] > 0$, $I_a(x)$ and $K_a(x)$ are modified-functions.

4. The double-integral analogues

Adopting the same procedure as above, we can derive in a rather straight forward manner the double-integral analogues for the extended H -function in two arguments as

$$(4.1) \int_0^u \int_0^v x^{\rho-1} (u-x)^{\sigma-1} y^{\alpha-1} (v-y)^{\delta-1} \\ \times H_{p, [t:t'], s, [q:q']}^{n, \nu_1, \nu_2, m_1, m_2} \left[\lambda x^k (u-x)^l \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\}; \{(\gamma_{t''}, c_{t''})\} \\ \{(\delta_s, d_s)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}; \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx dy \\ = u^{\rho+\sigma-1} v^{\alpha+\delta-1} H_{p, [t+2:t'+2], s, [q+1:q'+1]}^{n, \nu_1+2, \nu_2+2, m_1, m_2} \\ \times \left[\lambda u^{(k+l)} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\varepsilon_p, e_p)\} \\ (\rho, k), (\sigma, l), \{(\gamma_{t'}, c_{t'})\}; (\alpha, h), (\delta, r), \{(\gamma_{t''}, c_{t''})\} \\ \{(\delta_s, d_s)\} \\ \{(\beta_q, b_q)\}, (1-\rho-\sigma, k+l); \{(\beta_{q'}, b_{q'})\}, (1-\alpha-\delta, h+r) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

where k, l, h and r are to be non-negative integers and set of the conditions of the validity:

$$(i) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2(n+\nu_1+m_1) > p+s+t+q, \quad |\arg(\lambda)| < \left[n+\nu_1+m_1 - \frac{1}{2}(p+s+t+q) \right] \pi \\ 2(n+\nu_2+m_2) > p+s+t'+q', \quad |\arg(\mu)| < \left[n+\nu_2+m_2 - \frac{1}{2}(p+s+t'+q') \right] \pi \\ \operatorname{Re} \left[\rho + (k+l) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\sigma + (k+l) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \\ \operatorname{Re} \left[\alpha + (h+r) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta + (h+r) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \\ j=1, 2, \dots, m_1 \text{ and } i=1, 2, \dots, m_2, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(ii) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p+t < s+q, \quad p+t' < s+q' \text{ or else } p+t=s+q, \quad p+t'=s+q' \text{ with } |\lambda| < 1, \quad |\mu| < 1, \\ \operatorname{Re} \left[\rho + (k+l) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\sigma + (k+l) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \\ \operatorname{Re} \left[\alpha + (h+r) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta + (h+r) \left(\frac{\beta_j}{b_j} + \frac{\beta'_i}{b'_i} \right) \right] > 0, \\ j=1, 2, \dots, m_1; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m_2. \end{array} \right.$$

P. M. B. G. Science College,
Sanyogitaganj, Indore (M. P.), India

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R. D. and Mathur, A. B., *On H-function of two variables*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India (Abstract), 1969, p. 30.
- [2] Bromwich, T. J. P. A., *Theory of infinite series*, Macmillan, London, 1926.
- [3] Erdélyi et al., *Tables of Integral transforms*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
- [4] Fox, C., *G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 98, 1962, 395—429.
- [5] MacRobert, T. M., *Integrals involving E-functions*, Maths., Z., 69, 234—236.
- [6] Shah Manilal, *A note on generalized Meijer functions of two variables*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, Vol. 11, No. 2, Dec. 1971, 199—207.
- [7] Shah Manilal, *Some infinite integrals involving generalized Meijer functions*. La Ricerca, Napoli, Italy (In press).
- [8] Shah Manilal, *Certain integrals involving the product of two generalized hypergeometric polynomials*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India. Sect. A 37, 1967, 79—96, MR 39#4454.