

RIGHT GENERALIZED ω - \mathcal{L} -UNIPOTENT BISIMPLE SEMIGROUPS

By Irene Loomis and R. J. Warne

Let S be a regular bisimple semigroup such that the union T of the maximal subgroups of S is an ω -chain of rectangular groups ($T_n: n \in N$, the non-negative integers). Also, suppose that $e, f \in E(T)$, the set of idempotents of T , and $ef = e$ imply $gegfe = ge$ for all $g \in E(T)$ and \mathcal{R} , Green's relation, is a right congruence on T . We term S a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroup. We characterize such S . Let (I, \circ) be an ω -chain of left zero semigroups ($I_n: n \in N$) and let (J, \otimes) be an ω -chain of right groups ($J_n: n \in N$). Suppose $I_n \cap J_n = \{e_n\}$, a single idempotent element. Let $(n, k) \rightarrow \alpha_{(n,k)}$ be a homomorphism of C , the bicyclic semigroup, into $\text{End}(I, \circ)$, the semigroup of endomorphism of (I, \circ) (iteration), and let $(n, k) \rightarrow \beta_{(n,k)}$ be a homomorphism of C into $\text{End}(J, \otimes)$ such that 1. $g\beta_{(s,s)} = g \otimes e_s$ for all $g \in J$; 2. $I_r \alpha_{(n,k)} \subset I_{r+k-\min(r,n)}$ and $J_r \beta_{(n,k)} \subset J_{r+k-\min(r,n)}$. Let (I, J, α, β) denote $I \times J$ under the product: if $i \in I_n, j \in J_k, u \in I_r,$ and $v \in J_s, 3. (i, j)(u, v) = (i \circ u \alpha_{(k,n)}, j \beta_{(r,s)} \otimes v)$. We show (theorem 2.12) that (I, J, α, β) is a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} unipotent bisimple semigroup and, conversely, every such semigroup is isomorphic to some (I, J, α, β) .

We use the definitions of Clifford and Preston [1] and of [3, p.102] unless otherwise specified. Particularly, a semigroup S is termed regular if $a \in aSa$ for all $a \in S$. $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H},$ and \mathcal{D} will denote Green's equivalence relations on a semigroup S , i.e., $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ if $a \cup aS = b \cup bS$; $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ if $a \cup Sa = b \cup Sb$; $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{L}$; and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ ($(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$ if there exists $x \in S$ such that $(a, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(x, b) \in \mathcal{L}$). Let R_a denote the \mathcal{R} -class containing a . A semigroup which contains a single \mathcal{D} class is termed bisimple. A rectangular band is the algebraic direct product of a left zero semigroup $U(x, y \in U; \text{implies } xy = x)$ and a right zero semigroup. A rectangular group is the algebraic direct product of a group and a rectangular band. A semigroup S which is the union of a collection of pairwise disjoint subsemigroups ($S_n: n \in N$) such that $S_n S_m \subset S_{\max(n,m)}$ is called an ω -chain of the semigroups ($S_n: n \in N$). A semigroup X is called a right group if $a, b \in X$

implies there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that $ax=b$. The bicyclic semigroup is $C=N \times N$ under the product $(m, n)(p, q) = (m+p-\min(n, p), n+q-\min(n, p))$. If V is a subset of a semigroup S , $E(V)$ will denote the set of idempotents of V .

In [2], a regular semigroup S was termed generalized \mathcal{L} -unipotent if $e, f \in E(S)$ and $ef=e$ imply $gegfe=ge$ for all $g \in E(S)$.

Let S be a regular bisimple semigroup such that the union T of the maximal subgroups of S is an ω -chain of rectangular groups $(T_n: n \in N)$. Using [2, lemma 1], S is right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent if and only if \mathcal{R} is a right congruence on T , $E(T)$ is an ω -chain of rectangular bands $(E(T_n): n \in N)$ and \mathcal{L} is a left congruence on $E(T)$. We let $E_n = E(T_n)$.

Using results of [3, section 1], our terminology here is in accordance with that of [3].

1. Structure theorem for right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroups (proof of converse)

In this section, S will denote a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroup.

As in [3, notes 1.7 and 1.8], let e_0 be a fixed element of E_0 . Let $f \in E_1$ and $e_1 = e_0 f e_0$. Select and fix $a \in R_{e_0} \cap L_{e_1}$. By the proof of [1, theorem 2.18] there exists a unique inverse a^{-1} (i.e. $aa^{-1}a=a$ and $a^{-1}aa^{-1}=a^{-1}$) of a contained in $R_{e_1} \cap L_{e_0}$ with $aa^{-1}=e_0$ and $a^{-1}a=e_1$. Define $a^{-n} = (a^{-1})^n$ for all positive integers n and define $a^0 = e_0$. Let $e_k = a^{-k}a^k$. By [3, note 1.3 and lemma 1.11], $e_k \in E_k$ for each $k \in N$ and $e_k e_n = e_{\max(n, k)}$.

As in [3, paragraph following lemma 1.11], let $J_k(I_k)$ denote the \mathcal{R} -class (the set of idempotents of the \mathcal{L} -class) of T containing e_k (note, the T 's (and T_k 's) of [3] are identical to those of this paper by using [3, note 1.3 and proposition 1.4]). Let $I = \cup(I_n: n \in N)$ and $J = \cup(J_n: n \in N)$. By [3, lemma 1.13], J_n is a right group for each $n \in N$.

LEMMA 1.1. [3, lemma 1.12] I is an ω -chain of left zero semigroups $(I_n: n \in N)$.

LEMMA 1.2. J is an ω -chain of right groups $(J_n: n \in N)$.

PROOF. Let $x \in J_n$ and $y \in J_m$. Hence, $x \mathcal{R} e_n (\in T)$ and $y \mathcal{R} e_m$. Since \mathcal{R} is a congruence on T , $xy \mathcal{R} e_n e_m = e_{\max(n, m)}$. Hence $xy \in J_{\max(n, m)}$.

REMARK. Lemma 1.2 may also be obtained from [3, lemma 1.21].

If X is a set, T_X will denote the semigroup (iteration) of mappings of X into X .

LEMMA 1.3. [3, lemma 1.15] *There exists a mapping $j \rightarrow A_j$ of J into T_I and a mapping $p \rightarrow B_p$ of I into T_J such that $I_n A_j \subset I_{\max(n,m)}$ for $j \in J_m$ and $J_n B_p \subset J_{\max(n,m)}$ for $p \in I_m$. If $j \in J$ and $p \in I$, $jp = pA_j jB_p$. Furthermore, $jp \mathcal{R} pA_j$ ($\in T$) and $jp \mathcal{L} jB_p$ ($\in T$).*

LEMMA 1.4. $iA_j = e_s i$ for $j \in J_s$ and $i \in I$.

PROOF. First we show that $A_j = A_{e_s}$ for $j \in J_s$. Since \mathcal{R} is a right congruence relation on T , $(j, e_s) \in \mathcal{R}$ implies $(ji, e_s i) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $i \in I$. Hence, using lemma 1.3, $(iA_j, iA_{e_s}) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus, using lemma 1.3, $iA_j = iA_{e_s}$ for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I_r$, say. Then, since $e_s i \in I_{\max(s,r)}$ by lemma 1.1, we utilize lemma 1.3 to obtain $(e_s i) e_{\max(s,r)} = e_s i = iA_{e_s} e_s B_i$. Therefore, by lemma 1.3 and [3, lemma.14], $iA_{e_s} = e_s i$.

DEFINITION. If $u \in T$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $u\nu_{(k,n)} = a^{-n} a^k u a^{-k} a^n$. Let $\nu_{(k,n)}|I = \alpha_{(k,n)}$ and $\nu_{(k,n)}|J = \beta_{(k,n)}$.

LEMMA 1.5. [3, lemma 2.6].

$$\text{a) } I_r \alpha_{(k,n)} \subset I_{r+n-\min(r,k)}, \quad \text{b) } J_r \beta_{(k,n)} \subset J_{r+n-\min(r,k)}$$

LEMMA 1.6. [3, lemma 2.9] $(k,n) \rightarrow \alpha_{(k,n)}$ is a homomorphism of C into $\text{End } I$.

LEMMA 1.7. $\beta_{(k,n)} \in \text{End } J$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. By lemma 1.5(b), $\beta_{(k,n)} \in T_J$. Let $g \in J_r$ and $h \in J_s$. Using lemma 1.2, $e_k h e_k = e_k e_{\max(k,s)} (h e_k) = e_{\max(k,s)} h e_k = h e_k$. Hence, using [3, lemmas 1.1 and 1.9],

$$\begin{aligned} g\beta_{(k,n)} h\beta_{(k,n)} &= (a^{-n} a^k g a^{-k} a^n) (a^{-n} a^k h a^{-k} a^n) \\ &= a^{-n} a^k g a^{-k} a^k h a^{-k} (a^k a^{-k} a^n) \\ &= a^{-n} a^k g a^{-k} a^k (h a^{-k} a^k) a^{-k} a^n \\ &= a^{-n} a^k g h a^{-k} a^n \\ &= (gh)\beta_{(k,n)}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Lemma 1.7 could also be obtained from [3, lemma 2.15].

LEMMA 1.8. $(k,n) \rightarrow \beta_{(k,n)}$ is a homomorphism of C into $\text{End } J$.

PROOF. Combine lemma 1.7 and [3, lemma 2.12].

LEMMA 1.9. *Let $\nu \in \text{End } I$ such that $e_k \nu \in I_n$. Then if $i \in I_n$, $j \in J_k$, and $u \in I$, $i(uA_j \nu) = i(u\nu)$.*

PROOF. Apply lemma 1.4.

If $a, b \in I$, define $a \circ b = ab$. If $a, b \in J$, define $a \otimes b = ab$.

LEMMA 1.10. $S \cong ((i, (n, k), j): i \in I_n, j \in J_k, n, k \in N)$ under the multiplication $(i, (n, k), j)(u, (r, s), v) = (i \circ (u\alpha_{(k, n)}), n+r-\min(k, r), k+s-\min(k, r), j\beta_{(r, s)} \otimes v)$.

PROOF. Use [3, lemma 2.17], definition of " \circ ", lemma 1.5(a), lemma 1.9, lemma 1.5(b), [3, lemma 1.20], and definition of " \otimes ".

LEMMA 1.11. $S \cong I \otimes J$ under the product: if $i \in J_n$, $j \in J_k$, $u \in I_r$, and $v \in J_s$, $(i, j)(u, v) = (i \circ u\alpha_{(k, n)}, j\beta_{(r, s)} \otimes v)$.

PROOF. If $i \in I_n$ and $j \in J_k$, $(i, (n, k), j)\phi = (i, j)$ defines an isomorphism of the groupoid given in the statement of lemma 1.10 onto the groupoid given in the statement of lemma 1.11.

LEMMA 1.12. $g\beta_{(s, s)} = g \otimes e_s$.

PROOF. As in the proof of lemma 1.7, $e_s g e_s = g e_s$. Hence, using the definition of \otimes , $g\beta_{(s, s)} = e_s g e_s = g \otimes e_s$.

REMARK. Lemma 1.12 could also be obtained from [3, lemma 2.19].

THEOREM 1.13. *Let S be a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroup. Then S is isomorphic to some (I, J, α, B) .*

PROOF. The theorem is a direct consequence of lemmas 1.1, 1.2, 1.5, 1.6, 1.8, 1.11, and 1.12.

2. Structure theorem for right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroups (proof of the direct part)

In this section, we show that (I, J, α, β) is a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroup (theorem 2.10).

LEMMA 2.1. (I, J, α, β) is a semigroup.

PROOF. Closure follows from the fact that I and J are ω -chains and (2). Let $a = (i, j)$, $b = (u, v)$, and $c = (w, z)$, where $i \in I_n$, $j \in J_k$, $u \in I_r$, $v \in J_s$, $w \in I_p$, and $z \in J_q$.

Let $a_1=i$ and $a_2=j$. Utilizing the fact that $(n, k) \rightarrow \alpha_{(n, k)}$ is a homomorphism of \mathcal{C} into $\text{End}(I, \circ)$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((ab)c)_1 &= ((i \circ u \alpha_{(k, n)}) \circ w \alpha_{(s, r)(k, n)}) \\ &= (i \circ u \alpha_{(k, n)}) \circ w \alpha_{(s, r)} \alpha_{(k, n)} \\ &= i \circ ((u \circ (w \alpha_{(s, r)})) \alpha_{(k, n)}) \\ &= (a(bc))_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the fact that $(n, k) \rightarrow \beta_{(n, k)}$ is a homomorphism of \mathcal{C} into $\text{End}(J, \otimes)$, $((ab)c)_2 = (a(bc))_2$. Hence, $(ab)c = a(bc)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $(i, j), (u, v) \in (I, J, \alpha, \beta)$. Let $i \in I_n$, $j \in J_k$, $u \in I_r$, and $v \in J_s$. Then (a) $(i, j) \mathcal{R}(u, v)$ if and only if $i = u$; and (b) $(i, j) \mathcal{L}(u, v)$ if and only if $k = s$ and $(j, v) \in \mathcal{H}(\in J_k)$.

PROOF. (a) Let $(i, j) \mathcal{R}(u, v)$. Then, using (3), there exists $x, y \in I$ such that $i = u \circ x$ and $u = i \circ y$. Hence, $u \circ u = u \circ (u \circ x) = u \circ x = i$, and similarly $i \circ u = u$. Therefore, $i = u$. Conversely, let $i = u$. Since $j \beta_{(k, s)} \in J_s$, by (2), and J_s is a right group, there exists $b \in J_s$ such that $j \beta_{(k, s)} \otimes b = v$. Let $a \in I_k$. Therefore, using (2), $(i, j)(a, b) = (i, v)$. Similarly, there exists $c \in I_s$ and $d \in J_k$ such that $(i, v)(c, d) = (i, j)$. Therefore, $(i, j) \mathcal{R}(i, v)$.

(b) Suppose $k = s$ and $(j, v) \in \mathcal{H}(\in J_k)$. Then, there exists $x \in H_k$ (the \mathcal{H} -class of J_k containing e_k) such that $x \otimes j = v$. Let $b = x \beta_{(k, n)} \in J_n$. Hence, using the fact $(n, k) \rightarrow \beta_{(n, k)}$ is a homomorphism and (1), $b \beta_{(n, k)} = x \beta_{(k, n)} \beta_{(n, k)} = x \beta_{(k, k)} = x \otimes e_k = x$. Hence, $(u, b)(i, j) = (u, v)$. Similarly, there exists $c \in I_r$ such that $(i, c)(u, v) = (i, j)$. Conversely, suppose that $(i, j) \mathcal{L}(u, v)$. Thus there exists $x \in J_p$ with $p \geq k$ and $y \in J_q$ with $q \geq s$ such that $x \otimes j = v$ and $y \otimes v = j$. Therefore, $p = s$ and $q = k$. Thus, $s = k$. Thus, $x \otimes j = v$, with $x, j, v \in J_k$. Hence, since J_k is a right group, $(j, v) \in \mathcal{H}(\in J_k)$.

LEMMA 2.3. (I, J, α, β) is a bisimple semigroup.

PROOF. Let $(i, j), (u, v) \in (I, J, \alpha, \beta)$. Then, using lemma 2.2, $(i, j) \mathcal{R}(i, v) \mathcal{L}(u, v)$.

LEMMA 2.4. $E(I, J, \alpha, \beta) = \{(i, j) : i \in I_n, j \in E(J_n), n \in N\}$.

PROOF. Let $(i, j) \in E(I, J, \alpha, \beta)$ and suppose $i \in I_n$ and $j \in J_k$. Using (3) and (2), $n = k$ and $j \beta_{(n, k)} \otimes j = j$. Hence, using (1), $j = j \beta_{(k, k)} \otimes j = j \otimes e_k \otimes j = j \otimes j$, and,

thus, $j \in E(J_n)$. Conversely, let $i \in I_n$ and $j \in E(J_n)$. Thus, using (3), (2) and (1), $(i, j) \in E(I, J, \alpha, \beta)$.

LEMMA 2.5. (I, J, α, β) is a regular bisimple semigroup.

PROOF. Using lemma 2.4, $(i, e_n) \in E(I, J, \alpha, \beta)$. Hence, by a result of Clifford and Miller [1, theorem 2.11], and lemma 2.3, (I, J, α, β) is a regular bisimple semigroup.

LEMMA 2.6. Let $T_n = \{(i, j) : i \in I_n \text{ and } j \in J\}$ and let $T = \cup(T_n : n \in N)$. Then, T is an ω -chain of the rectangular groups $(T_n : n \in N)$.

PROOF. Let $(i, j), (u, v) \in T_n$. Using (1), $(i, j)(u, v) = (i, j \otimes v)$. Hence, using [1, theorem 1.27], T_n is a rectangular group. The last statement of the lemma is a consequence of (3) and (2).

LEMMA 2.7. $E(T_n) = \{(i, j) : i \in I_n, j \in E(I_n)\}$. $E(T)$ is an ω -chain of the rectangular bands $(E(T_n) : n \in N)$.

PROOF. The first statement of the lemma is an immediate consequence of lemmas 2.6 and 2.4. Let $(i, j), (u, v) \in E(T_n)$. Hence, $(i, j)(u, v) = (i, v)$. Thus, $E(T_n)$ is a rectangular band. Let $(i, j) \in E(T_n)$ and $(u, v) \in E(T_k)$. Using (3), (2), (1) and the fact that $E(J)$ is a semigroup, $(i, j)(u, v) \in E(T_{\max(n, k)})$. Therefore, $E(T)$ is an ω -chain of the rectangular bands $(E(T_n) : n \in N)$.

LEMMA 2.8. T is the union of the maximal subgroups of (I, J, α, β) .

PROOF. Let X denote the union of the maximal subgroups of (I, J, α, β) . Let $x \in X$. Hence, using lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $x \mathcal{H}(i, j)$ for some $i \in I_n$ and $j \in E(J_n)$, say. Thus $x \in T_n$ by lemma 2.6. Let $x \in T$. Hence, since any rectangular group is a union of its subgroups, $x \in X$.

LEMMA 2.9. \mathcal{L} is a left congruence on $E(T)$.

PROOF. Let X be any semigroup such that $E(X)$ is a semigroup and let $e, f \in E(X)$. Then, $(e, f) \in \mathcal{L}(\in X)$ if and only if $(e, f) \in \mathcal{L}(\in E(X))$. Let $(i, j), (u, v) \in E(I, J, \alpha, \beta)$. Thus, using lemma 2.4 and 2.2(b), $(i, j) \mathcal{L}(u, v)$ if and only if $j = v$. Hence, using (3), \mathcal{L} is a left congruence on $E(T)$.

LEMMA 2.10. \mathcal{R} is a right congruence on T .

PROOF. Using lemma 2.2 (a) and the multiplication on T_n given in the proof of lemma 2.6, $(i, j)\mathcal{R}(u, v)(\in T)$ if and only if $i=u$. Hence, using (3), \mathcal{R} is a right congruence on T .

REMARK. Lemmas 2.2–2.5, 2.7, and 2.9 may also be obtained from the corresponding results of [3].

THEOREM 2.11. (I, J, α, β) is a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroup.

PROOF. Combine lemmas 2.5–2.10.

THEOREM 2.12. (I, J, α, β) is a right generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroup, and conversely every such semigroup is isomorphic to some (I, J, α, β) .

PROOF. Combine theorems 2.11 and 1.13.

University of Alabama in Birmingham
Birmingham, Alabama 35294

REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Clifford, G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Math. Surveys, No. 7, Vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [2] R. J. Warne, *Generalized \mathcal{L} -unipotent semigroups*, *Bulletino della Unione Matematica Italiana*, 5(1972), 43–47.
- [3] R. J. Warne, *Generalized ω - \mathcal{L} -unipotent bisimple semigroups*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 50 (1974), 102–118.