

ON CERTAIN HERMITE APPROXIMATIONS

By Jin Bai Kim

1. Introduction

In the classical Hermite approximation, we construct the unique polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $\leq 2n+1$ such that

$$(1) \quad f(x_i) = p(x_i), \quad f'(x_i) = p'(x_i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

where x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct points.

In this paper we are concerned with the Hermite approximation in which we construct a polynomial $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ of degree $\leq 2n+2$ in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k , such that

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \dots \partial x_k^{r_k}} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \dots \partial x_k^{r_k}} p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

for $0 \leq r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \leq n$ at each vertex of the k -dimensional unit cube and

$$(3) \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_k = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_k, \quad (k \geq 1).$$

2. k -dimensional form of an imposed Hermite approximation

We construct the k -dimensional Hermite approximation formula which satisfies (2) and (3). To simplify the notation, we introduce the following. Let S_k be the set of all vertices of the k -dimensional unit cube. Let $\pi \in S_k$ and we write $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(k))$. (Note that $\pi(i) = 0$ or 1 .) For an independent variable x_t , we define $\pi(x_t)$ by

$$\pi(x_t) = \begin{cases} x_t & \text{if } \pi(t) = 0, \\ 1 - x_t & \text{if } \pi(t) = 1. \end{cases}$$

We also define $|\pi(r_t)|$ by $|\pi(r_t)| = \begin{cases} r_t & \text{if } \pi(t) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi(t) = 0, \end{cases}$

and define $|\pi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)| = \sum_{t=1}^k |\pi(r_t)|$ for $0 \leq r_t \leq n$.

We write $f_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ to denote

$$\frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \dots \partial x_k^{r_k}} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \text{ at } x_i = y_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Using the above notations, we can introduce a polynomial $p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ of degree $\leq 2n+1$ in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k by

$$(4) \quad p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k=0}^n [\sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^{|\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)|} f_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k}(\pi) q_{t_1}(\pi x_1) q_{t_2}(\pi x_2) \dots q_{t_k}(\pi x_k)],$$

where $q_j(x)$ is a polynomial defined by (see [2, (8)])

$$(5) \quad q_j(x) = \frac{x^j}{j!} (1-x)^{n+1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{n-j} \binom{n+s}{s} x^s \right).$$

Now we can state the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.

$$(6) \quad p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

$$+ \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^k (1-x_i)x_i \right)^{n+1}}{(2n+3)^k [(2n+2) \binom{n+1}{n+1}]^k} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 (f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_k$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n+2$ in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k , which satisfies (2) and (3).

PROOF. We observe the integral

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_k \text{ of } p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \text{ in (6).}$$

Noting that $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left[\prod_{i=1}^k (1-x_i)x_i \right]^{n+1} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_k = \frac{1}{(2n+3)^k [(2n+2) \binom{n+1}{n+1}]^k}$, we can see

that $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ satisfies (3). Now we consider (2). It is clear that

$$\frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \dots \partial x_k^{r_k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (1-x_i)x_i \right)^{n+1} \text{ at any } \pi \text{ in } S_k \text{ is equal to 0 for } 0 \leq r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$$

$\leq n$. Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \dots \partial x_k^{r_k}} p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = f_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

at each π in S_k for $0 \leq r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \leq n$. By observing (5), it is not difficult to see that

$$(7) \quad \frac{d^{r_i}}{dx_i^{r_i}} q_{t_i}(\pi x_i) = (-1)^{|\pi(r_i)|} \delta_{t_i}^{r_i}, \text{ where } \delta_{t_i}^{r_i} \text{ is the Kronecker delta. Using (7),}$$

we can see the following:

$$\frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \partial x_2^{r_2} \dots \partial x_k^{r_k}} p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) |_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \pi} = \pi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k=0}^n \left(\sum_{\lambda \in S_k} (-1)^{|\lambda(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)|} f_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k}(\lambda) \frac{d^{r_1}}{dx_1} q_{t_1}(\lambda x_1) \cdots \frac{d^{r_k}}{dx_k} q_{t_k}(\lambda x_k) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k=0}^n \sum_{\lambda \in S_k} (-1)^{|\lambda(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)|} f_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k}(\lambda) (-1)^{|\lambda(r_1)| + |\lambda(r_2)| + \dots + |\lambda(r_k)|} \prod_{i=1}^k \delta_{t_i}^{r_i} \\
 &= (-1)^{|\pi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)|} f_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k}(\pi) \cdot (-1)^{|\pi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)|} \\
 &= f_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k}(\pi).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.

3. Error analysis

First we obtain the error of $f-p$ when $k=1$. We suppose that $f^{(2n+2)}(x)$ exists at each point of $(0, 1)$. Following the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1.1 [1, p.56], we set $\frac{f(x)-p(x)}{x^{n+1}(x-1)^{n+1}} = K(x)$, for a fixed x such that $0 \neq x \neq 1$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t) &= f(t) - p(t) - (t(t-1))^{n+1} K(x) \\
 &= f(t) - p_1(t) - \frac{(t(1-t))^{n+1}}{(2n+3) \binom{2n+2}{n+1}} \int_0^1 (f(y) - p_1(y)) dy - (t(t-1))^{n+1} K(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$w(t)$ vanishes at $t=0, 1$ and x . It is not difficult to show that $w^{(n)}(t)$ vanishes at $n+3$ points including $t=0, 1$; and hence applying the generalized Rolle's Theorem 1.6.3 [1], there is a point λ such that $w^{(2n+2)}(\lambda) = 0$. By computation, we obtain $K(x)$ as

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{(2n+2)!} f^{(2n+2)}(\lambda) - \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n+3) \binom{2n+2}{n+1}} \int_0^1 (f(y) - p_1(y)) dy. \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

Thus the error of $f-p$ (which will be denoted by $E(f-p)$) is given by $E(f-p) = (x(x-1))^{n+1} K(x)$. Similarly, we can obtain that $E(f(x, y) - p(x, y)) = E(f(x, y) - p_1(x, y)) - (p(x, y) - p_1(x, y))$, where $E(f(x, y) - p_1(x, y))$ is equal to (13) in [2]. (We assumed that $f_{2n+2, 2n+2}(x, y)$ exists at each point (x, y) in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$). To give an explicit form of the k -dimensional error term of $f-p$, we review (3.1) and (3.2) of Stancu [3, p.138]: $R(f) = R_1(T_2(f)) + R_2(T_1(f)) - R_2(R_1(f))$. We rewrite this as $R(f) = R_1(f) + R_2(f) - R_2 R_1(f)$ or equivalently, $R = R_1 + R_2 - R_2 R_1$, which leads inductively to, for $K = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $R = \sum_{i=1}^k R_i - \sum_{\substack{i>j \\ i, j \in K}} R_i R_j + \sum_{\substack{i>j>m \\ i, j, m \in K}} R_i R_j R_m - \dots$

$+(-1)^{k+1}R_kR_{k-1}\cdots R_3R_2R_1$. We define R_i as $R_i = \frac{(x_i(x_i-1))^{n+1}}{(2n+2)!} \frac{\partial^{2n+2}}{\partial x_i^{2n+2}}$ and $R_i f$ means the value of $R_i f$ at $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, \lambda_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_k)$ for $\lambda_i \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, the error term of $f-p$ is given by (see (4) and (6) for p and p_1)

$E(f-p) = R(f) - (p-p_1) = R(f) - (p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - p_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$, where $R_i R_j f = R_i(R_j(f))$. (In the above, we assumed that $f_{2n+2, 2n+2, \dots, 2n+2}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ exists at each point (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) in $(0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times \cdots \times (0, 1)$.)

4. The uniqueness

PROPOSITION 2. *There is no polynomial $q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ other than $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ of (6) of degree $\leq 2n+2$ in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k , such that $q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ satisfies (2) and (3).*

PROOF. We prove the proposition for $k=1$. Suppose that $q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n+2$ such that $q(x)$ satisfies (2) and (3). Consider $F(x) = p(x) - q(x)$, which takes the form $F(x) = c_{n+1}x^{n+1} + c_{n+2}x^{n+2} + \cdots + c_{2n+2}x^{2n+2}$. From (2) and (3), we obtain a system of linear equations:

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \frac{c_{n+i}}{n+1+i} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} c_{n+i} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} (n+i)c_{n+i} = 0, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{(n+1)!}{1!}c_{n+1} + \frac{(n+2)!}{2!}c_{n+2} + \cdots + \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+2)!}c_{2n+2} = 0.$$

These $n+2$ equations (8) with $n+2$ unknowns $c_i (i=n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n+2)$ have a non-trivial solution iff the determinant $|C|=0$, where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -1/n+2 & 1/n+3 & \cdots & 1/2n+3 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ n+1 & n+2 & \cdots & 2n+2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ -(n+1)! & \frac{(n+2)!}{2!} & \cdots & \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+2)!} \end{bmatrix}.$$

But by computation, we have $|C| = \prod_{m=n+1}^1 (m!) \frac{(n+1)!}{(2n+3)!} \neq 0$. Therefore, we conclude that $c_{n+i} = 0 (i=1, 2, \dots, n+2)$, and hence $F(x) = 0$. The foregoing argument is applicable to the proof in case of $k \geq 2$, and we omit the proof for $k \geq 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Davis, *Interpolation and approximation*, Blaisdell, New York (1963).
- [2] G. M. Phillips, *Explicit forms for certain Hermite approximations*, BIT (1973), 177—180.
- [3] D. D. Stancu, *The remainder of certain linear approximation formulas in two variables*, J. SIAM Series B Numerical Analysis 1 (1964), 137—163.