

## EXTENSION OF WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS

BY JONGSIK KIM

### 1. Introduction

J. Lindenstrauss conjectured in [2] that only finite dimensional Banach spaces  $X$  have the following "into" extension property of weakly compact operators:

Every weakly compact operator from any Banach space  $Y$  into  $X$  has a weakly compact extension from any Banach space  $Z$  containing  $Y$  into  $X$ .

He pointed out in [2] that

- (a) if a Banach space  $X$  has the "into" extension property, then the second dual  $X^{**}$  of  $X$  is a  $P$  space, and that
- (b) if a Banach space  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$  or to an infinite dimensional reflexive space, then  $X$  has no "into" extension property.

J. Lindenstrauss also conjectured that

*every infinite dimensional Banach space contains an infinite dimensional subspace that is either reflexive or isomorphic to  $c_0$  or  $l_1$ ,*

which turned out (cf. [7]) to be equivalent to the fact that

*every infinite dimensional Banach space contains an infinite dimensional subspace with the property (a).*

In regard of the above conjecture and the result (b), it is natural to ask whether any Banach space containing a subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  has the "into" extension property. In this paper we shall show, as a first step for the question, that any Banach space containing a complemented isomorph of  $l_1$  fails to have the "into" extension property.

### 2. Theorems

**THEOREM 1.** *Any infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$  with an unconditional basis has no "into" extension property.*

*Proof.* It is proved in [5] that any Banach space with an unconditional basis such that  $X^{**}$  is a  $P$  space is isomorphic to  $c_0(\Gamma)$  for a suitable set  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, if  $X$  has the "into" extension property, then  $X^{**}$  is a  $P$  space and hence, since  $X$  is infinite dimensional,  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ , which be contrary to (b).

**COROLLARY.**  *$l_1$  has no "into" extension property.*

---

Received by the editors Aug. 31, 1976.

This work is supported by the grant of S. N. U. scientific fund.

*Proof.* Since  $l_1$  has an unconditional basis, the corollary follows directly from the theorem 1. We shall offer another proof. We recall first that if any conjugate space  $X^*$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ , then  $X$  contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  (cf. [1]). Now if  $l_1$  has the "into" extension property, then  $l_1^{**} = l_\infty^*$  is a  $P$  space and hence contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$  (cf. [6]). From this it follows that  $l_\infty$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  that is complemented in  $l_\infty$ . But this contradicts to the fact that any infinite dimensional complemented subspace of  $l_\infty$  is isomorphic to  $l_\infty$  (cf. [3]).

**THEOREM 2.** *Any Banach space  $X$  containing a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  has no "into" extension property.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a Banach space containing  $l_1$  as a complemented subspace and be isomorphic to  $X$ . Then  $E = l_1 \oplus F$  for some closed subspace  $F$  of  $E$ . Since  $l_1$  has no "into" extension property, there exists a weakly compact operator  $T: Y \rightarrow l_1$  which has no extension from a Banach space  $Z$  ( $Z \supset Y$ ) to  $l_1$ . Note that if  $S$  is the unit ball of  $Y$ , then  $T(S)$  is relatively weakly compact subset of  $E$  since  $l_1$  is weakly closed in  $E$ . Therefore  $T: Y \rightarrow E$  is weakly compact. Assume that  $T$  has an extension  $\tilde{T}$  from the Banach space  $Z$  to  $E$ . Let  $\pi: E \rightarrow l_1$  be the natural projection. Then  $\pi \circ \tilde{T}$  is an extension of  $T$  from  $Z$  to  $l_1$ , which is a contradiction. This shows that  $E$  has no "into" extension property. By transferring  $E$  to  $X$  by an isomorphism,  $X$  has no "into" extension property.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space that can be imbedded in a Banach space with an absolute basis. If  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$ , then  $X$  has no "into" extension property.*

*Proof.* In this case  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  which is complemented in  $X$  (cf. [1] C.7). Therefore the theorem follows from the theorem 2.

**REMARK 1.** The  $P$  space  $C[0,1]$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  but no complemented isomorph of  $l_1$ . In fact,  $C[0,1]$  has the "into" extension property for compact operators but  $l_1$  has not. (cf. Proof of the theorem 2)

**REMARK 2.** If  $X^{**}$  is an infinite dimensional  $P$  space, then  $X^*$  contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many  $l_1$ 's. But in general, a Banach space whose dual contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_1$  fails to contain an isomorph of  $c_0$  (cf. [4]).

## References

- [1] Bessaga C. & Pelczynski A., *On bases and unconditional convergence of series of Banach spaces.* Studia Math. **27**, 151-164 (1958)
- [2] Lindenstrauss J., *Extension of compact operator,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1964)

- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, *On complemented subspace of  $m$* , Israel J. Math. **5**, 153-156 (1967)
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, & Tzafriri L., *Classical Banach space*, Springer Lecture Notes (1972)
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, & Pelczynski A., *Absolutely summing operators in  $L_p$  spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. **29**, 275-326 (1968)
- [6] Pelczynski A., *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **19**, 209-228 (1960)
- [7] Singer I., *Some characterizations of reflexivity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52**, 166-168 (1975)

Seoul National University