

J. Korean Math. Soc.  
Vol. 13, No. 2, 1976.

## A NOTE ON $L^2$ -CONTINUITY OF PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

BY Y. K. LEE

**1. Introduction.** A pseudodifferential operator  $A \in L_{\rho, \delta}^m : S \rightarrow S'$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad Au(x_1) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(x_1-x_2) \cdot \xi} a(x_1, x_2, \xi) u(x_2) dx_2 d\xi$$

where the symbol  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  belongs to the space  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  (see [5], [6], [7]), namely

$$(1.2) \quad |\partial_{x_j}^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x_1, x_2, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m + \delta_j |\alpha| - \rho |\beta|}, \quad j=1, 2$$

In particular if  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi) = P(\xi)$ , a polynomial in  $\xi$ , then  $A$  coincides with the differential operator  $P(D)$ ,  $D = (-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})$ .

Hörmander ([6]) showed that (1.1) is  $L^2$ -continuous if  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  has a compact support in  $(x_1, x_2)$ -variables, satisfies (1.2) for all multiindices  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $m < \{\rho - (1/2)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\}$  where  $0 \leq \rho \leq \delta_j < 1$ . He also proved that (1.1) fails to be  $L^2$ -continuous if  $m > n\{\rho - (1/2)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\}$ . Later on Calderón and Vaillancourt ([2]) relaxed the order of differentiability to a finite number, that is  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m_j$ ,  $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 2[n/2] + 2$ ,  $m_j$  is the smallest integer  $\geq (5n)/(4(1-\delta_j))$ ,  $j=1, 2$ , and removed the assumption of compact supportness in  $(x_1, x_2)$ -variables while assuming compact supportness in  $\xi$ -variable. They also settled down the critical case of  $m = n\{\rho - (1/2)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\}$ . Cordes ([4]) proved similar results with  $0 \leq |\alpha|$ ,  $|\beta| \leq [n/2] + 1$ , for a symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  of  $2n$ -variables using Banach algebra techniques. Childs ([3]) improved Cordes' results by assuming instead multiple Hölder continuity of order greater than  $1/2$ . Kato ([8]) also proved similar theorems to Cordes employing Banach algebra techniques and partition of unity. It is known that  $L^2$ -continuity of (1.1) fails for the spaces  $S_{\rho, 1}^0$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$  (Kumano-Go ([8])) and  $S_{1, 1}^0$  (Chin-Hung Ching, Ph. D. Thesis, New York University, 1971).

In this paper we further improve Calderón and Vaillancourt's result on the order of differentiability with respect to the  $x_j$ -variables ( $j=1, 2$ ), and drop the assumption of compact supportness in  $\xi$ -variable. In the end we list two open problems related to this paper.

**2. Main Theorem.** THEOREM. *Let  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  be compactly supported in  $\xi$ -variable, and satisfy (1.2) for  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m_j$ ,  $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 2[n/2] + 2$ ,  $m_j$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) is the smallest integer  $\geq n\{1 - (1/4)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\} / (1 - \delta_j)$ . If  $m \leq n\{\rho - (1/2)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\}$ , then the pseudodifferential operator  $A$  defined by (1.1) is  $L^2$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* We essentially follow Calderón and Vaillancourt's proof ([2]). It suffices to prove the theorem for  $m = n(\rho - (1/2)(\delta_1 + \delta_2))$  because the right hand side of (1.2) is decreasing as  $m$  decreases. Let  $N = [n/2] + 1$ . Notice first the identity

$$\{1 + (1 + |\xi|)^{2N\rho} |x_1 - x_2|^{2N}\}^{-1} \{1 + (1 + |\xi|)^{2N\rho} (-\Delta_\xi)^N\} e^{i(x_1-x_2) \cdot \xi} = e^{i(x_1-x_2) \cdot \xi}$$

where  $\Delta_\xi = \sum_1^n \partial^2 / \partial \xi_j^2$  is the Laplacian.

Integration by parts of (1.1) yields

$$Au(x_1) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(x_1-x_2) \cdot \xi} b(x_1, x_2, \xi) u(x_2) dx_2 d\xi$$

where  $b(x_1, x_2, \xi) = [1 + (-\Delta_\xi)^N (1 + |\xi|)^{2N\rho}] \{a(x_1, x_2, \xi) [1 + (1 + |\xi|)^{2N\rho} |x_1 - x_2|^{2N}]^{-1}\}$ .

According to [2: pp. 1185-1186],  $b(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  is majorized by

$$(2.1) \quad |\partial_{x_j}^\alpha b(x_1, x_2, \xi)| \leq C \|a\| (1 + |\xi|)^{N+\delta_j|\alpha|} G((1 + |\xi|)^\rho (x_1 - x_2))$$

for  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m_j$ ,  $m_j$  is to be determined later,  $\|a\| = \inf C_{\alpha\beta}$  in the right hand side of (1.2), and  $G$  is an integrable function such that

$$\int G(x) dx \leq 1$$

The following lemma was verified by Calderón and Vaillancourt ([1: pp. 374-378], [2: p. 1185]):

LEMMA (Calderón and Vaillancourt). *Let  $A$  be a bounded operator on a Hilbert space and let  $A(x)$  be a weakly-measurable, uniformly bounded operator valued function on a measure space  $X$  with measure  $dx$ . If*

$$\|A^*(x_1)A(x_2)\| \leq h_1^2(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\|A(x_1)A^*(x_2)\| \leq h_2^2(x_1, x_2)$$

and if

$$\int h_1(x_1, x) h_2(x, x_2) dx$$

is the kernel of a bounded operator on  $L^2(X)$  with norm  $M^2$ , then

$$\left\| \int_B A(x) dx \right\| \leq M$$

where  $B$  is any Borel subset of finite measure of  $X$ .

To apply the lemma, first observe that the kernel of  $A$  is given by

$$A(\xi)u = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(x_1-x_2) \cdot \xi} b(x_1, x_2, \xi) u(x_2) dx_2$$

It follows that the kernel of  $A^*(\xi_1)A(\xi_2)$  is given by

$$(2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-i(\xi_1-\xi_2) \cdot y + i\xi_1 \cdot x_1 - i\xi_2 \cdot x_2} \bar{b}(y, x_1, \xi_1) b(y, x_2, \xi_2) dy$$

Noting the identity  $|\xi_2 - \xi_1|^{-2m_1} (-\Delta_y)^{m_1} e^{i(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \cdot y} = e^{i(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \cdot y}$ , integration by parts yields

$$(2.2) \quad (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-i(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \cdot y + i\xi_1 \cdot x_1 - i\xi_2 \cdot x_2} |\xi_2 - \xi_1|^{2m_1} (-\Delta_y)^{m_1} (\bar{b}(y, x_1, \xi_1) b(y, x_2, \xi_2)) dy$$

In view of (2.1) and Leibniz rule, (2.2) is majorized by

$$C\|a\|^2(1+|\xi_1|^N(1+|\xi_2|)^N(1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{2m_1\delta_1}|\xi_1-\xi_2|^{-2m_1} \\ \int G((1+|\xi_1|)^\rho(y-x_1))G((1+|\xi_2|)^\rho(y-x_2))dy$$

Since  $\int G(y)dy \leq 1$ , change of variables and Schwarz inequality reveal

$$\|A^*(\xi_1) A(\xi_2)\| \leq h_1^2(\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

where

$$h_1^2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = C\|a\|^2(1+|\xi_1|)^{N+n\rho}(1+|\xi_2|)^{N+n\rho} \left[1 + \frac{|\xi_1-\xi_2|^{2m_1}}{(1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{2m_1\delta_1}}\right]^{-1}$$

Likewise,

$$\|A(\xi_1)A^*(\xi_2)\| \leq h_2^2(\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

where  $h_2$  is obtained from  $h_1$  by replacing  $\delta_1$  and  $m_1$  by  $\delta_2$  and  $m_2$  respectively. Set

$$h_j^{(1)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (1+|\xi_1|)^{-\frac{n}{4}(\delta_1+\delta_2)}(1+|\xi_2|)^{-\frac{n}{4}(\delta_1+\delta_2)-m_j(1-\delta_j)} \cdot \chi_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ h_j^{(2)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (1+|\xi_1|)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\delta_1+\delta_2)} \left[1 + \frac{|\xi_1-\xi_2|^{2m_j}}{(1+|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|)^{2m_j\delta_j}}\right]^{-1/2} \cdot \chi_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ h_j^{(3)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (1+|\xi_1|)^{-\frac{n}{4}(\delta_1+\delta_2)-m_j(1-\delta_j)}(1+|\xi_2|)^{-\frac{n}{4}(\delta_1+\delta_2)} \cdot \chi_3(\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  and  $\chi_3$  are the characteristic functions of the sets  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : |\xi_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\xi_2|\}$ ,  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : \frac{1}{2}|\xi_2| \leq |\xi_1| \leq 2|\xi_2|\}$  and  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : 2|\xi_2| \leq |\xi_1|\}$  respectively. It is readily seen that

$$h_j \leq C\|a\| (h_j^{(1)} + h_j^{(2)} + h_j^{(3)})$$

A simple computation shows for  $j=1, 2, 3$

$$(2.3) \quad \int h_1^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_1 \leq C(1+|\xi_2|)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\delta_1+\delta_2)-m_1(1-\delta_1)+n}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int h_2^{(j)}(\xi_1, \xi_2) (1+|\xi_1|)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\delta_1+\delta_2)-m_1(1-\delta_1)+n} d\xi_1 \\ \leq C(1+|\xi_2|)^{-n(\delta_1+\delta_2)-m_1(1-\delta_1)-m_2(1-\delta_2)+2n} \leq C$$

Similar inequalities are obtained when we integrate against the second variable. In this case we have to replace  $m_1$  and  $\delta_1$  by  $m_2$  and  $\delta_2$  respectively. Notice that the integrals (2.3) and (2.4) converge if and only if  $m_j \geq \frac{n\{1-(1/4)(\delta_1+\delta_2)\}}{1-\delta_j}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ), which subsequently imply  $n(\delta_1+\delta_2)+m_1(1-\delta_1)+m_2(1-\delta_2)-2n > 0$ , and hence the right hand side of (2.4) is bounded by a constant. Consequently we have from (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\int h_1(\xi_1, \xi) h_2(\xi, \xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi \leq C\|a\|^2 \\ \int h_1(\xi_1, \xi) h_2(\xi, \xi_2) d\xi d\xi_2 \leq C\|a\|^2$$

Observe that the cross product terms vanish in the above integrals. It follows from the lemma that  $A = \int A(\xi) d\xi$  is  $L^2$ -continuous whose norm is bounded by  $C\|a\|$ . This completes the proof.

REMARK. Our estimate is better than that of Calderón and Vaillancourt's by  $\frac{n(1+\delta_1+\delta_2)}{4(1-\delta_j)}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ).

COROLLARY. *The theorem still holds without the assumption that  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  has a compact support in  $\xi$ -variable.*

*Proof.* Following [6] and [7], we do a partition of unity as follows: Let  $e \in C_0^\infty(R^1)$  be a nonnegative radial function such that  $e(r) > 0$  for  $r < 1/2$ ,  $e(r) = 0$  for  $r > 3/4$ . Let  $f(\xi) = e(|\xi|)$  and define  $\varphi_k(\xi) = f(\xi - k) [\sum_0^\infty f(\xi - k)]^{-1}$ . Then  $\{\varphi_k\}$  is a partition of unity.

Furthermore,

$$(2.5) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \varphi_k(\xi)| \leq C_{\beta}$$

Let  $\Psi_k(\xi) = \varphi_k(\xi|\xi|^{-\rho})$ . Then  $\{\Psi_k\}$  is also a partition of unity and (2.5) implies

$$(2.6) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \Psi_k(\xi)| \leq C_{\beta}(1 + |\xi|)^{-\rho|\beta|}$$

Choose a sequence  $\{\xi_k\}$ ,  $\xi_k \in \text{supp } \Psi_k$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad |\xi_k| \geq C_1 k$$

$$(2.8) \quad |\xi - \xi_k| \leq C_2 |\xi_k|^{\rho}, \quad \xi \in \text{supp } \Psi_k.$$

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are independent of  $k$ . Now set  $a_k(x_1, x_2, \xi) = a(x_1, x_2, \xi)\Psi_k(\xi)$ . Then each  $a_k(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  has a compact support in  $\xi$ . Moreover, (1.2), (2.6) and Leibniz rule show that  $a_k(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  belongs to the space  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . Let  $A_k$  be the corresponding operator associated with  $a_k(x_1, x_2, \xi)$ , namely

$$A_k u(x_1) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(x_1 - x_2) \cdot \xi} a_k(x_1, x_2, \xi) u(x_2) dx_2 d\xi$$

The kernel of  $A_k$  is given by

$$A_k(x_1, x_2) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(x_1 - x_2) \cdot \xi} a_k(x_1, x_2, \xi) d\xi$$

Integration by parts  $s$  times we have in view of (1.2), (2.6) and (2.8)

$$|A_k(x_1, x_2)| \leq C_s (1 + |x_1 - x_2|)^{-s} (1 + |\xi_k|)^{m - \rho s + \rho n}$$

By taking  $s = n + M$  where  $M$  is a positive integer such that  $\rho M > 1$  for  $0 < \rho < 1$ , we obtain

$$\int A_k(x_1, x_2) dx_1 \leq C(1 + |\xi_k|)^{m - \rho M}$$

$$\int A_k(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \leq C(1 + |\xi_k|)^{m - \rho M}$$

It follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \|A_k\| \leq C(1 + |\xi_k|)^{m-\rho M}$$

Recalling  $m = n\{\rho - (1/2)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\} \leq 0$ , and  $|\xi_k|^{-1} \leq Ck^{-1}$  from (2.7), we finally have from (2.9)

$$\|A\| \leq \sum_0^\infty \|A_k\| < \infty,$$

thereby completing the proof.

In [10], Fefferman introduced wave packet transform whose kernel is in fact a symbol of  $3n$ -variables in  $S_{1/2, 1/2}^0$ .

**3. Some open problems.** In [8] Kato verified  $L^2$ -continuity of pseudodifferential operators associated with symbols  $a(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^0$  of  $2n$ -variables using Banach algebra techniques and partition of unity. His argument heavily depends on the fact that the convolution  $a * g$  defined by

$$(a * g)(x, D) = \int a(x, \xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} e^{-ix \cdot D} g(x, D) e^{ix \cdot D} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx d\xi$$

exists as a strong integral for  $a(x, \xi) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  and  $g(x, \xi) = \mathcal{F}_{n, t}(x) \mathcal{F}_{n, s}(\xi)$ ,  $s, t > n/2$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{n, t}$  is the fundamental solution of the operator  $(1 - \Delta)^{t/2}$ ,  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian. A natural question arises: Is it possible to extend Kato's results to a symbol  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  of  $3n$ -variables? Essentially the question is equivalent to finding a suitable conditions under which a symbol  $a(x_1, x_2, \xi)$  of  $3n$ -variables reduces to a symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  of  $2n$ -variables.

In [3], Childs proved similar [theorems using multiple Hölder continuity of order greater than  $1/2$ . It is not known that his results still hold for the order of  $1/2$ .

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank Professor A. G. Childs for many illuminating discussions.

## References

- [1] A. P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt, *On the Boundedness of Pseudodifferential Operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 23, pp. 374-378, 1971.
- [2] ———, *A Class of Bounded Pseudodifferential Operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., Vol. 69, pp. 1185-1187, 1972.
- [3] A. G. Childs, *Ph. D. Thesis*, University of California, Berkeley, Cal., 1975.
- [4] H. O. Cordes, *On Compactness of Commutators of Multiplications and Convolutions, and Boundedness of Pseudodifferential Operators*, J. Func. Anal., Vol. 18, pp. 115-131, 1975.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Hypoelliptic Equations*, Proc. Symp. on Singular Integrals, Vol. 10, A. M. S., pp. 138-183, 1967.
- [6] ———, *On the  $L^2$  Continuity of Pseudodifferential Operators*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. XXIV, pp. 529-535, 1971.
- [7] ———, *Fourier Integral Operators I*, Acta Math., Vol. 127, pp. 79-183, 1971.
- [8] T. Kato, to appear.

- [9] H. Kumano-Go, *A Problem of Nirenberg on Pseudodifferential Operators*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. XXIII, pp. 115-121, 1970.
- [10] C. Fefferman, *Lecture Note*, Princeton University, 1976.

Rutgers University