

OPTIMAL STOPPING IN SAMPLING FROM A MULTIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION

Hongsuk Jorn*

Hanyoung Chung*

Abstract

Optimal stopping problem without recall from a multivariate distribution is solved by using the concept of an equilibrium point which was introduced by J. Nash. The solution is derived for the two cases:

1. The case where the observation cost C is positive and the given upper bound K on the number of observations is infinite.
2. The case where the observation cost C is zero and the given upper bound K on the number of observations is finite.

1. Introduction

The optimal stopping problem without recall in which the experimenter observes the sequential random sample from a specified bivariate distribution has been studied by the Sakaguchi [2]. This note is an extension of the Sakaguchi's results for the multivariate random variables.

Let (X_{1i}, \dots, X_{ni}) $i=1, 2, \dots$ be independently and identically distributed multivariate random variables that can be observed sequentially at a cost of c_1, \dots, c_n ($c_i \geq 0$) per observation of X_1, \dots, X_n , respectively. We assume that the experimenter knows the joint distribution function $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and that if the experimenter terminate the sampling process after having observed the values $(X_{1i} = x_{1i}, \dots, X_{ni} = x_{ni})$ for $i=1, \dots, m$, then his gain is a set of values $X_{1m} - mc_1, \dots, X_{nm} - mc_n$. We shall suppose that there is a given upper bound K ($2 \leq K \leq \infty$) on the number of observations that can be taken. We shall introduce the concept of equilibrium neutral values and derive the explicit solution by using it, for the case where $c_i > 0$ and $K = \infty$ in section 2, and $c_i = 0$ and $K < \infty$ in section 3. For terminology and notation, we follow [2]

2. Optimal stopping problem for the case where $c_i > 0$ and $K = \infty$

Let (u_1, \dots, u_n) and $\tau = \tau(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ denote respectively a pair of neutral values such that the

* Dept. of Computer Sciences and Statistics, Seoul National University.

sampling procedure is terminated at the first m such that $X_{1m} \geq u_1, \dots, X_{nm} \geq u_n$ and the random stopping time when the neutral values (u_1, \dots, u_n) are used. Then the expected net gain from the observations of X_i , when using the neutral values (u_1, \dots, u_n) , is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad M_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = E[X_{i\tau} - \tau c_i | u_1, \dots, u_n] \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

An equilibrium point (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) for the functions M_1, \dots, M_n satisfies the following:

$$(2.2) \quad M_i(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) = \max_{u_i} M_i(u_1^*, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_n^*) \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The problem is to find the equilibrium point (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) in which each value u_i^* maximizes the function M_i ($i=1, \dots, n$). J. Nash showed the existence and solvability of such equilibrium point [1].

Theorem 1: Let S denote the event $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_1 \geq u_1, \dots, x_n \geq u_n\}$. Then we have following:

$$(2.3) \quad M_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = E[X_i | S] - \frac{c_i}{Pr\{S\}}, \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

The equilibrium point (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) is the solution of the following simultaneous equations:

$$(2.4) \quad E[X_i - u_i | S] = \frac{c_i}{Pr\{S\}}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

and we have

$$(2.5) \quad M_i(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) = u_i^* \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof: $M_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = E[X_{i\tau} - \tau c_i]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - Pr\{S\})^{m-1} Pr\{S\} E[X_i - mc_i | S] \\ &= Pr\{S\} \left[E[X_i | S] \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - Pr\{S\})^{m-1} - c_i \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m (1 - Pr\{S\})^{m-1} \right] \\ &= Pr\{S\} \left[\frac{E[X_i | S]}{Pr\{S\}} - \frac{c_i}{(Pr\{S\})^2} \right] \\ &= E[X_i | S] - \frac{c_i}{Pr\{S\}} \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the eq. (2.3) is proved.

Let the probability density function of $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then the eq. (2.3) is rewritten by

$$M_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{\int_{u_1}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_n}^{\infty} x_i h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 - c_i}{\int_{u_1}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_n}^{\infty} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1}$$

Since $M_i(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ has its maximum value when $\frac{\partial M_i}{\partial u_i} = 0$, we can easily obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{u_1}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_n}^{\infty} (x_i - u_i) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 = c_i \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Equation (2.6) is equivalent to eq. (2.4), thus we know that eq. (2.4) is hold.

Let $S^* = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_1 \geq u_1^*, \dots, x_n \geq u_n^*\}$,

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_i(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) &= E[X_i | S^*] - \frac{c_i}{Pr\{S^*\}} \\ &= E[X_i | S^*] - E[X_i - u_i^* | S^*] \\ &= u_i^*, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is proved completely.

3. Optimal stopping problem for the case where $c_i=0$ and $K<\infty$

Let (X_{1m}, \dots, X_{nm}) be the m th observed value. We consider a class of stopping rules in which the experimenter has a set of neutral values $\{u_{1j}\}_{j=1}^{k-1}, \dots, \{u_{nj}\}_{j=1}^{k-1}$ (abbreviated by $u_1^{k-1}, \dots, u_n^{k-1}$, respectively) such that the sampling is terminated at the first m th observation satisfying $X_{1m} \geq u_{1m}, \dots, X_{nm} \geq u_{nm}$.

Let $\tau = \tau(u_1^{k-1}, \dots, u_n^{k-1})$ denote the random stopping time when the neutral values $u_1^{k-1}, \dots, u_n^{k-1}$ are used.

Let

$$(3.1) \quad M_k^{(i)}(u_1^{k-1}, \dots, u_n^{k-1}) = E[X_{i\tau} | u_1^{k-1}, \dots, u_n^{k-1}] \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

be the expected net gain from the observations of X_i . We shall determine the equilibrium points $\{(u_{1j}^*, \dots, u_{nj}^*)\}$ as follows:

First set

$$(3.2) \quad u_{i1}^* = \mu_{i1} = E[X_i] \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and we determine the sequence of values $\{u_{1j}^*\}_{j=1}^{m-1}, \dots, \{u_{nj}^*\}_{j=1}^{m-1}$ (abbreviated by $u_1^{*m-1}, \dots, u_n^{*m-1}$, respectively). Then the successive equilibrium point $(u_1^{*m}, \dots, u_n^{*m})$ is determined by the following equations:

$$(3.3) \quad M_{m+1}^{(i)}(u_1^{*m}, \dots, u_n^{*m}) = \max_{u_i^m} M_{m+1}^{(i)}(u_1^{*m}, \dots; u_i^{*m-1}, u_{im}; \dots; u_n^{*m}) \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $M_k^{(i)}$ and $M_k^{(i)*}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots$) denote $M_k^{(i)}(u_1^{k-1}, \dots, u_n^{k-1})$ for any infinite sequences of numbers $\{u_{1j}\}_{j=1}^\infty, \dots, \{u_{nj}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $M_k^{(i)}(u_1^{*k-1}, \dots, u_n^{*k-1})$ respectively.

Then we have following theorem.

Theorem 2: $M_k^{(i)}$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) satisfy

$$(3.4) \quad M_{k+1}^{(i)} = M_k^{(i)} + \int_{u_{1k}}^\infty \dots \int_{u_{nk}}^\infty (x_i - M_k^{(i)}) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1,$$

and

$$M_k^{(i)} = u_{i1} \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n; K=1, 2, \dots$$

Let $\{\mu_{ij}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) be the infinite sequences of numbers defined by the following simultaneous recurrence relations.

$$(3.5) \quad \mu_{i,j+1} = \mu_{ij} + \int_{\mu_{ij}}^\infty \dots \int_{\mu_{nj}}^\infty (x_i - \mu_{ij}) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n; j=1, 2, \dots$$

Then the successive equilibrium points $(u_{1k}^*, \dots, u_{nk}^*)$ satisfying eq. (3.3) are given by

$$(3.6) \quad u_{ik}^* = \mu_{ik} \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n; K=1, 2, \dots$$

And we have

$$(3.7) \quad M_{k+1}^{(i)*} = \mu_{i,k+1}, \dots, M_{k+1}^{(n)*} = \mu_{n,k+1}.$$

Proof: From the eq. (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k+1}^{(i)} &= (1 - Pr\{X_{11} \geq u_{1k}, \dots, X_{n1} \geq u_{nk}\}) M_k^{(i)} + \int_{u_{1k}}^\infty \dots \int_{u_{nk}}^\infty x_i h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 \\ &= M_k^{(i)} + \int_{u_{1k}}^\infty \dots \int_{u_{nk}}^\infty (M_k^{(i)} - x_i) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) by mathematical induction. From eqs. (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_2^{(i)*} &= u_{i1}^* + \int_{u_{11}}^\infty \dots \int_{u_{n1}}^\infty (x_i - u_{i1}) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 \\ &= \mu_{i1} + \int_{\mu_{11}}^\infty \dots \int_{\mu_{n1}}^\infty (x_i - \mu_{i1}) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 \\ &= \mu_{i2}. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.7) are valid for $j=1$. Assume that they are valid up to $k-1$, then by eq. (3.4) we have following equation;

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{k+1}^{(i)}(u_1^{*k}; \dots; u_i^{*k-1}; u_{ik}; \dots; u_n^{*k}) \\ &= M_k^{(i)*} + \int_{u_{1k}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_{ik}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_{nk}}^{\infty} (x_i - M_k^{(i)*}) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1 \\ &= \mu_{ik} + \int_{u_{1k}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_{ik}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_{nk}}^{\infty} (x_i - \mu_{ik}) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \dots dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

$M_{k+1}^{(i)}$ has its maximum when $\frac{\partial M_{k+1}^{(i)}}{\partial u_{ik}} = 0$, which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ik}^* &= \mu_{ik} \text{ and } M_{k+1}^{(i)*} = \mu_{i,k+1} \\ & i=1, 2, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) are valid for all k .

Hence the theorem is proved completely.

References

1. Nash, J., "Non-cooperative games." Ann. of Math. Vol. 54 (1951), pp.286-295.
2. Sakaguchi, M., "Optimal stopping in sampling from a bivariate distribution." J.O.R.S., Vol. 16, No. 3, pp.186-200.