

E-COMPACT CONVERGENCE SPACES AND *E*-FILTERS

By S. S. Hong and L. D. Nel

Engelking and Mrówka have introduced the concept of *E*-compact spaces for a topological space *E* (see [2], [9], [10]), i.e. spaces which are homeomorphic to closed subspaces of powers of *E*. Moreover, for a Hausdorff space *E*, the full subcategory of *E*-compact spaces is the epi-reflective hull of *E* in the category **Haus** of Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps.

In this paper we study *E*-compact convergence spaces. The natural setting for this is the category **HCon** of Hausdorff convergence spaces and continuous maps. First we identify the epimorphisms of **HCon** (they are not just the dense maps as one might expect at first glance) and the extremal monomorphisms and observe that **HCon** is an (epi, extremal mono) category. Thus, in categorical fashion, several known properties of *E*-compact topological spaces can be extended at once to convergence spaces e.g. results about epi-reflectiveness.

We introduce the concept of *E*-filter and use it to give a characterization of *E*-compact convergence spaces which is new also for the topological case.

Moreover, in the topological case *E*-filters can be used to construct the *E*-compactifications.

When *E* is a regular Hausdorff topological space the *E*-extendability of a dense morphism in **Haus** is characterized in terms of *E*-filters.

All concepts of convergence spaces will be used in the sense of Kent and Richardson [8], i.e. *X* is a convergence space if a relation $x \in \lim \mathcal{F}$ is defined on $X \times$ (the set of filters on *X*) such that

- (1) $x \in \lim \dot{x}$ where \dot{x} is the ultrafilter generated by $\{x\}$.
- (2) $x \in \lim \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ implies $x \in \lim \mathcal{G}$
- (3) $x \in \lim \mathcal{F}$ implies $x \in \lim \mathcal{F} \cap \dot{x}$.

A convergence space is Hausdorff when $\lim \mathcal{F}$ contains at most one point. For categorical background we refer to Herrlich and Strecker [6].

1. Some Properties of **HCon**

It is well known that the category **HCon** is complete and well-powered and that every such category is an (epi, extremal mono) category (see Theorem 34.5 of

[6]).

DEFINITION 1.1. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{HCon} will be called *t-dense* when there is no proper closed subset of Y containing $f(X)$.

By similar arguments to those in Chapter 15 of [5], we have the following:

PROPOSITION 1.2. *In \mathbf{HCon}*

{closed embeddings} = {regular monomorphisms} = {extremal monomorphisms} and {t-dense maps} = {epimorphisms}.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let E be a Hausdorff convergence space. A convergence space is said to be *E-compact* if it is isomorphic to a closed subspace of a power of E .

For any $E \in \mathbf{HCon}$, every epi-reflective subcategory of \mathbf{HCon} contains all *E-compact* spaces whenever it contains E , for \mathbf{HCon} is complete. The following result generalizes the known corresponding fact for Hausdorff topological spaces.

THEOREM 1.4. *For any $E \in \mathbf{Hcon}$, the full subcategory of E -compact spaces is epi-reflective in the category \mathbf{HCon} .*

PROOF. Let $G: \mathbf{HCon}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{HCon}$ be the embedding functor of the full subcategory of *E-compact* spaces. A routine verification shows that G preserves limits. Thus by the special adjoint functor theorem (28.11, [6]) G has a left adjoint i.e. $\mathbf{HCon}(E)$ is reflective. On forming the (epi, extremal mono)-factorization of the reflection map of each object of \mathbf{HCon} , it becomes clear that $\mathbf{HCon}(E)$ is epi-reflective in \mathbf{HCon} .

REMARK. Herrlich has generalized the concept of *E-compact* spaces to that of \mathcal{E} -compact spaces for a class \mathcal{E} of Hausdorff topological spaces. He has shown that the full subcategory of \mathcal{E} -compact spaces is the epi-reflective hull of \mathcal{E} in the category \mathbf{Haus} ([4]). The corresponding conclusion cannot be made for \mathbf{HCon} since this category is not co-(well-powered) (see [11]).

2. *E-compactness and E-filters*

Throughout this section X and E will be Hausdorff convergence spaces and $C(X, E)$ will denote the set of continuous maps on X into E . I will denote the closed unit interval of the real line R .

It is known [1] that a completely regular space X is compact ($=I$ -compact) iff every maximal completely regular filter on X is convergent and that every maximal completely regular filter has a convergent image under any f in $C(X, I)$.

DEFINITION 2.1. A filter \mathcal{F} on X is an *E-filter* if $f(\mathcal{F})$ is convergent for any f in $C(X, E)$.

Obviously convergent filters are *E-filters* and for a compact Hausdorff space E , all ultrafilters are *E-filters*.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose $C(X, E)$ separates the points of X and every *E-filter* on X is convergent. Then X has the initial convergence structure determined by $C(X, E)$.

PROOF. Let g be a map on a convergence space Y into X such that fg is continuous for all $f \in C(X, E)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on X which converges to x . Then for any $f \in C(X, E)$, $fg(\mathcal{F})$ converges to $fg(x)$. Hence $g(\mathcal{F})$ is an *E-filter* and is convergent by the assumption. Since $C(X, E)$ separates the points of X , $g(\mathcal{F})$ converges to $g(x)$. Thus g is continuous as required.

THEOREM 2.3. X is *E-compact* iff $C(X, E)$ separates the points of X and every *E-filter* on X is convergent.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{O} be the class of convergence spaces which satisfy the conditions in the theorem. We claim that \mathcal{O} is productive and closed hereditary. To see this, let F be a closed subspace of a member X of \mathcal{O} . Clearly $C(F, E)$ separates the points of F . Let \mathcal{F} be an *E-filter* on F . Since a continuous image of an *E-filter* is again an *E-filter*, the filter $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ generated by \mathcal{F} on X is an *E-filter*. Let x be the limit of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Since F is closed, x belongs to F and hence \mathcal{F} is convergent.

Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family in \mathcal{O} and $(\prod X_i, p_i)$ its product. Obviously $C(\prod X_i, E)$ separates the points of $\prod X_i$. Let \mathcal{F} be an *E-filter* on $\prod X_i$. For each $i \in I$, $p_i(\mathcal{F})$ is a convergent *E-filter* on X_i . Hence \mathcal{F} is convergent. Since E belongs to \mathcal{O} , every *E-compact* space belongs to \mathcal{O} .

Conversely, let X be a member of \mathcal{O} and $e: X \rightarrow E^{C(X, E)}$ the parametric map into the product space $E^{C(X, E)}$. Since $E^{C(X, E)}$ has the initial structure determined by the projection maps p_f , ($f \in C(X, E)$) the above lemma leads to the conclusion that X has the initial structure determined by e i.e. e is an embedding. To show that e is a closed embedding, let z be in the closure of $e(X)$. Then there is a filter \mathcal{F} on $E^{C(X, E)}$ such that \mathcal{F} converges to z and $e(X) \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence $e^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is a filter base on X . For each $f \in C(X, E)$, $f(e^{-1}(\mathcal{F})) = p_f e(e^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))$ contains $p_f(\mathcal{F})$ so that $f(e^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))$ converges to $p_f(z)$. Hence $e^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is an *E-filter* and $e^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is convergent, say to $x \in X$. Since $p_f e(x) = p_f e(\lim e^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))$

$=\lim p_f e(e^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))=p_f(z)$, $e(x)=z$ belongs to $e(X)$ as required.

3. E -compact topological spaces

Henceforth E will be a Hausdorff topological space. In this special case the preceding results can be somewhat extended and related to known special results.

LEMMA 3.1 *Let E be a Hausdorff topological space. A filter \mathcal{F} on a convergence space X is an E -filter iff \mathcal{F} contains an open E -filter.*

PROOF. Given the E -filter \mathcal{F} , put $x_f = \lim f(\mathcal{F})$ ($f \in C(X, E)$) and note that the open neighborhood filter $O(x_f)$ is contained in $f(\mathcal{F})$. Let \mathcal{G} be the filter generated by the join of the open filter bases $f^{-1}O(x_f)$. Then \mathcal{G} is an open E -filter contained in \mathcal{F} .

\underline{I} -filters and R -filters can be characterized in terms of *completely regular filters* \mathcal{F} on a convergence space X (i.e. \mathcal{F} which have an open base \mathcal{B} such that for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \in C(X, \underline{I})$ such that $C \subset B$, f is 0 on C and 1 on $X - B$).

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on a convergence space X . Then*

- (1) \mathcal{F} is an \underline{I} -filter iff \mathcal{F} contains a maximal completely regular filter.
- (2) \mathcal{F} is an R -filter iff \mathcal{F} contains a maximal completely regular filter with the countable intersection property.

PROOF. (1) The open \underline{I} -filter \mathcal{G} constructed in the proof of 3.1 is a completely regular filter contained in \mathcal{F} . Moreover \mathcal{G} is a maximal such filter. Indeed, suppose there is a completely regular filter \mathcal{G}' which contains \mathcal{G} properly. Let G be an open set belonging to $\mathcal{G}' - \mathcal{G}$. Then there is an open set $F \in \mathcal{G}'$ with $F \subset G$ and a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow \underline{I}$ with $f(F) = 0$ and $f(X - G) = 1$. It is obvious that $f(\mathcal{G}')$ converges to 0. Since every member of \mathcal{G} meets $f^{-1}((z, 1])$ for each $z < 1$ and $f(\mathcal{G})$ is convergent, $f(\mathcal{G})$ converges to 1. Hence $f(\mathcal{G}')$ also converges to 1, which is a contradiction. For the converse, it is easy to show that every maximal completely regular filter is an \underline{I} -filter (also see [1]) and hence a filter containing such a filter is again an \underline{I} -filter.

(2) Using the fact that every filter on R with the countable intersection property has a cluster point, one can easily show that every maximal completely regular filter with the countable intersection property is an R -filter.

Conversely, let \mathcal{F} be an R -filter on a space X . Let $c: X \rightarrow cX$ be the complete

regularization of X . Then c is onto. Since $c(\mathcal{F})$ is again an R -filter on cX , it is a Cauchy filter on the uniform space cX with the initial uniform structure determined by $C(cX, R)$. Hence $c(\mathcal{F})$ contains a maximal completely regular filter \mathcal{G} with the countable intersection property because the minimal Cauchy filters on the space cX are exactly maximal completely regular filters with the countable intersection property ([7]). It is easy to show that $c^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ is a maximal completely regular filter with the countable intersection property and \mathcal{F} contains $c^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$.

In the special case $E = \underline{I}$ 2.3 and 3.2 give the following strengthening of the known characterization of compactness in terms of completely regular filters (see [1]). A convergence space X is compact Hausdorff topological iff $C(X, \underline{I})$ separates points and every maximal completely regular filter on X is convergent. Obviously a similar characterization of realcompact X can be concluded from 2.3 and 3.2.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let \mathcal{F} be an E -filter on a convergence space X . We define a map $[\mathcal{F}]: C(X, E) \rightarrow E$ by $[\mathcal{F}](f) = \lim f(\mathcal{F})$. Then $[\mathcal{F}]$ will be called an *E-filter map* on X .

Let rX be the set of all E -filter maps on X and $r: X \rightarrow rX$ the map defined by $r(x) = [x]$.

For any $f \in C(X, E)$, there is a map $s_f: rX \rightarrow E$ defined by $s_f([\mathcal{F}]) = \lim f(\mathcal{F})$.

The convergence space with the initial convergence structure on rX determined by $(s_f)_{f \in C(X, E)}$ will be denoted again by rX . Since $s_f r(x) = s_f([x]) = \lim f(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$, we have $s_f r = f$ for each $f \in C(X, E)$. Hence r is continuous.

Considering the map $e: rX \rightarrow E^{C(X, E)}$ defined by $e([\mathcal{F}]) = (\lim f(\mathcal{F}))_{f \in C(X, E)}$, one can easily prove that e is a closed embedding and that $r: X \rightarrow rX$ is precisely the *Haus(E)-reflection* of X , where **Haus**(E) denotes the full subcategory of **Haus** determined by all E -compact spaces.

REMARK. For a Hausdorff convergence space E , one might consider the space rX of E -filter maps. However, rX is isomorphic to the closure of $c(X)$, where $c: X \rightarrow E^{C(X, E)}$ denotes the parametric map defined by $p_f c = f$ for each $f \in C(X, E)$ and projection p_f . Since the closure of a subset in a convergence space need not be closed, rX need not be E -compact.

DEFINITION 3.4. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *E-extendable* if for any $h \in$

$C(X, E)$ there is a map $g \in C(Y, E)$ with $gf = h$.

It is known [10] that an E -regular space X , i.e. a space which is homeomorphic with a subspace of a power of E , is E -compact iff X has no proper dense E -extendable extension. Such extensions can also be characterized in terms of E -filters.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let E be a regular Hausdorff space and let $e: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between topological spaces. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) e is dense and E -extendable
- (b) for any open E -filter \mathcal{G} on Y , $e^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ is an open E -filter base on X
- (c) for any E -filter \mathcal{G} on Y , the non-empty members of $e^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ form an E -filter base on X .

PROOF. In view of 3.1 it is enough to show the equivalence of (a) and (b). Suppose e is dense and E -extendable. For any open E -filter \mathcal{F} on Y , $e^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is a filter base. For any $f \in C(X, E)$, there is a map $\bar{f} \in C(Y, E)$ with $\bar{f}e = f$. Since $f(e^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))$ contains $\bar{f}(\mathcal{F})$, $f(e^{-1}(\mathcal{F}))$ is convergent.

Conversely, for any $y \in Y$, the open neighborhood filter $O(y)$ of y is an open E -filter. Hence $e^{-1}(O(y))$ is again an open E -filter so that e is dense. For any $f \in C(X, E)$ and $y \in Y$, define $\bar{f}(y) = \lim f(e^{-1}(O(y)))$. Since $\bar{f}e(x) = \lim f(e^{-1}(O(e(x)))) = \lim f(O(x)) = f(x)$, we have $\bar{f}e = f$. For any $y \in Y$, let U be a closed neighborhood of $\bar{f}(y)$ in E . Then there is an open neighborhood V of y with $f(e^{-1}(V)) \subset U$. Since for any $z \in V$, $\bar{f}(z) = \lim (\{f(e^{-1}(V \cap W)) \mid W \in O(z)\})$, $\bar{f}(z) \in f(e^{-1}(V)) \subset U$, i.e. $\bar{f}(V) \subset U$. Hence \bar{f} is continuous.

COROLLARY 3.6. A dense continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is \underline{I} -extendable (resp. R -extendable) iff the inverse image of every maximal completely regular filter (with the countable intersection property) under f is again such a filter on X .

Sogang University
Seoul, Korea

Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Financial assistance from the National Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [2] E. Engelking and S. Mrówka, *On E-compact spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. et Phys. 6(1958), 429—436.
- [3] H. Fischer, *Limesräume*, Math. Ann. 137(1959), 269—303.
- [4] H. Herrlich, *\mathcal{E} -kompakte Räume*, Math. Z. 96(1967), 228—255.
- [5] H. Herrlich, *Topologische Reflexionen und Coreflexionen*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1968.
- [6] H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, *Category Theory*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1973.
- [7] S.S. Hong, *On k -compactlike spaces and reflective subcategories*, General Topology and Appl. 3(1973), 319—330.
- [8] D.C. Kent and G.D. Richardson, *Minimal convergence spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160(1971), 487—499.
- [9] S. Mrówka, *A property of Hewitt extension νX of topological spaces*. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. et Phys. 6(1958), 95—96.
- [10] S. Mrówka, *Further results on E-compact spaces I*, Acta Math. 120(1968), 161—185.
- [11] O. Wyler, *An unpleasant theorem about limit spaces* (unpublished manuscript).