

ON AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM INVOLVING A KERNEL OF  
 MELLIN-BARNES TYPE INTEGRAL

By M. E. F. de Anguio

1. Introduction

Recently Kalla [3] has considered an integral transform over the interval  $(0, \infty)$  as,

$$g(x) = \int_0^{\infty} S_{p,q,r}(xu)h(u)du \quad (1)$$

where

$$S_{p,q,r}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(s)x^{-s}ds \quad (2)$$

and

$$P(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma\left(\frac{a_j + As}{p}\right) \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma\left(\frac{b_j + B_j s}{m_j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + d_j - D_j s}{n_j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma\left(\frac{c_j + B_j s}{m_j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + c_j - D_j s}{n_j}\right)}. \quad (3)$$

The following are the conditions of validity of (1):

- (i)  $h(x) \in L_2(0, \infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $x > 0$ ;
- (iii)  $p$  and  $r$  are positive integers and  $q$  is a non negative integer;
- (iv)  $m_j > 0$  for  $j=1, \dots, q$
- (v)  $n_j > 0$  for  $j=1, \dots, r$
- (vi) the contour  $C$  is a straight line parallel to the imaginary axis in the complex  $s$ -plane given by  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ ,  $t$  being real and  $-\infty < t < \infty$  and all the poles of  $\Gamma\left(\frac{a_j + As}{p}\right)$  for  $j=1, \dots, p$  and  $\Gamma\left(\frac{b_j + B_j s}{m_j}\right)$  for  $j=1, \dots, q$  must lie to the left of  $C$  while those of  $\Gamma\left(\frac{1 + d_j - D_j s}{n_j}\right)$  for  $j=1, \dots, r$  to the right of it;
- (vii)  $a_i \neq a_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i=1, \dots, p$ . Similar conditions hold for all  $b_j$  and  $c_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, q$  and  $d_j$  and  $c_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, r$ .

(viii)  $|\arg x| < \frac{1}{2} \frac{A}{p} \pi$

$h(t) = o(t^\xi)$  for small  $t$ , and  $h(t) = o(t^\eta \cdot e^{-\beta t})$  for large  $t$ .

$$R\left(\xi + \frac{a_j}{A} + \frac{b_i}{B_i} + 1\right) > 0, \quad j=1, \dots, p; \quad i=1, \dots, q, \quad R(\beta) > 0.$$

He has represented the function  $S_{p,q,r}$  as:

$$S_{p,q,r}\left(x \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_1, B_1), \dots, (c_q, B_q); (e_1, D_1), \dots, (e_r, D_r) \\ (a_1, A), \dots, (a_p, A); (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q, B_q); (d_1, D_1), \dots, (d_r, D_r) \end{array} \right. \right). \quad (4)$$

In what follows for the sake of brevity  $(a_p, A_p)$  represent the set of parameters  $(a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_p, A_p)$ .

We shall denote symbolically (1) as  $S[h(u)] = g(x)$ .

The object of the present paper is two fold, firstly to consider some simple properties of the function  $S_{p,q,r}(x)$  and secondly to establish certain theorems involving the transform (1).

**2. Some properties of  $S_{p,q,r}(x)$**

(i)  $S_{p,q,r}(x) = o\left[x^{\min\left(\frac{a_j}{A} + \frac{b_i}{B_i}\right)}\right]$  for small  $x$ ,  $j=1, \dots, p$ ;  $i=1, \dots, q$  and

$$S_{p,q,r}(x) = o\left(x^{\frac{n_j+d_j}{D_j}}\right) \text{ for large } x, \quad j=1, \dots, r.$$

(ii) The function  $S_{p,q,r}(x)$  is symmetric in the parameters  $b_1, \dots, b_q$ ;  $c_1, \dots, c_q$ ;  $d_1, \dots, d_r$ ; and  $e_1, \dots, e_r$ . Thus, if one of the  $b_j$ 's,  $j=1, \dots, q$  is equal to one of the  $c_i$ 's,  $i=1, \dots, q$ , the function reduces to one of lower order.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{p,q,r}\left(x \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_1, B_1), \dots, (c_q, B_q); (e_1, D_1), \dots, (e_r, D_r) \\ (a_1, A), \dots, (a_p, A); (c_1, B_1), (c_2, B_2), \dots, (b_q, B_q); (d_1, D_1), \dots, (d_r, D_r) \end{array} \right. \right) \\ &= S_{p,q-1,r}\left(x \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_2, B_2), \dots, (c_q, B_q); (e_1, D_1), \dots, (e_r, D_r) \\ (a_1, A), \dots, (a_p, A); (b_2, B_2), \dots, (b_q, B_q); (d_1, D_1), \dots, (d_r, D_r) \end{array} \right. \right). \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if one of the  $d_j$ 's,  $j=1, \dots, r$  is equal to one of the  $e_i$ 's,  $i=1, \dots, r$ , the function reduces to one of lower order, to obtain  $S_{p,q,r-1}(x)$ .

(iii) The following identities can be established easily from the definition:

$$S_{p,q,r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = H_{r+p+q, q+r}^{r, p+q} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \frac{a_1}{p}, \frac{A}{p}\right), \dots, \left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}, \frac{A}{p}\right), \left(1 - \frac{b_1}{m_1}, \frac{B_1}{m_1}\right), \dots, \\ \left(\frac{1+d_1}{n_1}, \frac{D_1}{n_1}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{1+d_r}{n_r}, \frac{D_r}{n_r}\right); \left(1 - \frac{c_1}{m_1}, \frac{B_1}{m_1}\right), \dots, \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \frac{b_q}{m_q}, \frac{B_q}{m_q}\right); \left(\frac{1+e_1}{n_1}, \frac{D_1}{n_1}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{1+e_r}{n_r}, \frac{D_r}{n_r}\right) \\ \left(1 - \frac{c_q}{m_q}, \frac{B_q}{m_q}\right) \end{array} \right] \quad (6)$$

where on the right appears Fox's  $H$ -function [2].

$$\begin{aligned} & x^\sigma \cdot S_{p,q,r}(x) \\ &= S_{p,q,r} \left( x \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_1 + \sigma B_1, B_1), \dots, (c_q + \sigma B_q, B_q); (e_1 - \sigma D_1, D_1), \dots, \\ (a_1 + \sigma A, A), \dots, (a_p + \sigma A, A); (b_1 + \sigma B_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q + \sigma B_q, B_q); \\ (e_r - \sigma D_r, D_r) \\ (d_1 - \sigma D_1, D_1), \dots, (d_r - \sigma D_r, D_r) \end{array} \right. \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and for  $\sigma > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{p,q,r} \left( x^\sigma \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_1, \sigma B_1), \dots, (c_q, \sigma B_q); (e_1, \sigma D_1), \dots, (e_r, \sigma D_r) \\ (a_1, \sigma A), \dots, (a_p, \sigma A), (b_1, \sigma B_1), \dots, (b_q, \sigma B_q); (d_1, \sigma D_1), \dots, (d_r, \sigma D_r) \end{array} \right. \right) \\ &= S_{p,q,r}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

(iv) The Laplace transform of the function  $S_{p,q,r}(x)$ . shall be denoted symbolically as  $L\{f(t); p\}$  the classical Laplace transform:

$$\phi(p) = p \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} h(t) dt \quad (9)$$

**THEOREM.**

$$L\{S_{p,q,r}(t); p\} = S_{p,q,r+1} \left( \frac{1}{p} \left| \begin{array}{l} \{(c_q, B_q)\}; \{(e_r, D_r)\} \\ \{(a_p, A)\}; \{(b_q, B_q)\}; \{(d_r, D_r)\}; (0, 1) \end{array} \right. \right) \quad (10)$$

where  $R\left(\frac{a_j}{A} + \frac{b_i}{B_i} + 1\right) > 0, j=1, \dots, p; i=1, \dots, q; R(p) > 0$ .

**PROOF.** By (9) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p) &= p \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} S_{p,q,r}(t) dt \\ &= p \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(s) t^{-1} ds \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

then changing the order of integration and a little simplification, we obtain the result (10).

### 3. Theorems

In this section we shall establish certain on S-transform (1).

THEOREM 1.

If

$$S[f(u)] = g(x)$$

then

$$S[f(ax)] = \frac{1}{a} g\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \quad (11)$$

provided that the S-transform of  $|f(u)|$  exist and  $a > 0$ .

The proof of the above theorem is obvious.

THEOREM 2.

If

$$S[h(u)] = \varphi(x)$$

and

$$S[f(u)] = \phi(x)$$

then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \phi(u)f(u)du = \int_0^{\infty} h(u)\phi(u)du \quad (12)$$

provided that the S-transform of  $|h(u)|$  and  $|f(u)|$  exist and either of the integrals (12) is absolutely convergent.

PROOF. We have

$$\int_0^{\infty} \phi(x)f(x)dx = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \left[ \int_0^{\infty} S_{p,q,r}(xu)h(u)du \right] dx$$

then changing the order of integration, which is permissible due to the absolute convergence of the integrals involved [1], we obtain the desired result.

The following theorem establish a relation between the integral transform (1) and the Varma transform [5]:

$$V_{k,m}\{f(t); p\} = p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}pt} (pt)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} W_{k,m}(pt) f(t) dt.$$

THEOREM 3.

If

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^{\infty} S_{p,q,r}(xu)h(u)du$$

then

$$V_{k,m}\{g(x)\phi(x);p\} = \int_0^\infty h(u)V_{k,m}\{g(x)S_{p,q,r}(xu);p\}du \quad (13)$$

provided that the S-transform of  $|h(u)|$  exist,  $g(x)=O(x^{\xi'})$  for small  $x$  and  $g(x)=O(x^{\eta'}e^{-\beta'x})$  for large  $x$ ,

$$R\left\{m \pm m + \xi' + \frac{a_j}{A} + \frac{b_i}{B_i} + 1\right\} > 0, \quad j=1, \dots, p; \quad i=1, \dots, q; \quad R(p+\beta') > 0.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{k,m}\{g(x)\phi(x);p\} \\ &= p \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}px} (px)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} W_{k,m}(px) \left[ g(x) \int_0^\infty S_{p,q,r}(xu)h(u)du \right] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty h(u) \left[ p \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}px} (px)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} W_{k,m}(px) g(x) S_{p,q,r}(xu) dx \right] du. \end{aligned}$$

The change of order of integration is justified by de la Vallée Poussin's theorem [1] under the conditions stated with the theorem.

Relationship with the Mellin transform.

We prove a relationship between the Mellin transform of  $f(x)$  defined by [4]

$$\bar{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx \quad (14)$$

and the Mellin transform of its image  $\phi[f;p]$  in the S-transform (1), that is

$$\bar{\phi}(s) = \int_0^\infty p^{s-1} \phi[f;p] dp. \quad (15)$$

If

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^\infty S_{p,q,r}(xu)h(u)du$$

by (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}(s) &= \int_0^\infty p^{s-1} \phi(p) dp \\ &= \int_0^\infty p^{s-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty S_{p,q,r}(px)h(x)dx \right\} dp \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} h(x)x^{-s}dx \int_0^{\infty} u^{s-1} S_{p,q,r}(u)du.$$

on changing the order of integration and a little simplification. Since the  $x$ -integral and  $u$ -integral above are independent of each other, we are lead to the following theorem:

THEOREM 4. *If the Mellin transform of  $|h(x)|$  and  $|\phi(p)|$  exist then*

$$\bar{\phi}(s) = \bar{f}(1-s)P(s) \quad (16)$$

where  $P(s)$  is defined by (3), and provided that the S-transform of  $h(x)$  exist,

$$\Re\left(s + \frac{a_j}{A} + \frac{b_i}{B_i}\right) > 0, \quad j=1, \dots, p; \quad i=1, \dots, q.$$

The following theorem establishes a connection between Laplace and S-transform.

THEOREM 5. *If S-transform of  $|h(u)|$  exist, and  $\Re\left\{\xi + \frac{a_j}{A} + \frac{b_i}{B_i} + 1\right\} > 0, j=1, \dots, p; i=1, \dots, q; \Re(\beta+p) > 0$  then*

$$L\{\phi(t); p\} = \int_0^{\infty} h(u) S_{p,q,r}\left(\frac{u}{p} \left| \begin{array}{l} \{(c_q, B_q)\}; \{(e_r, D_r)\} \\ \{(a_p, A)\}; \{(b_q, B_q)\}; \{(d_r, B_r)\}; (0, 1) \end{array} \right. \right) du. \quad (17)$$

PROOF. By (1) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L\{\phi(t); p\} &= p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} S_{p,q,r}(tu) h(u) du \right] dt \\ &= p \int_0^{\infty} h(u) du \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} S_{p,q,r}(tu) dt \end{aligned}$$

with the help of the result (10) we obtain (17).

The author is grateful to Doctor S.L. Kalla for his help during the preparation of this paper.

Facultad de Bioquímica, Química y Farmacia  
Universidad Nac. de Tucumán (Rep. Argentina)

REFERENCES

- [1] T.J.I'A. Bromwich, *An introduction to the theory of infinite series*, Macmillan, London (1955).
- [2] C. Fox, *The G and H functions as symmetrical Fourier Kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1961), 395—429.
- [3] S.L. Kalla, *On the solution of an integral equation involving a kernel of Mellin-Barnes type integral*, Kyungpook Math. Jour., 12 (ii) (1972), 93—101.
- [4] I.S. Reed, *The Mellin type of double integral*. Duke Math. J. 11, 565—572 (1944).
- [5] R.S. Varma, *On a generalization of Laplace integral*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India 20, 209—216(1951).