

THE SEMIGROUP OF ACYCLIC MATRICES

By Kim Ki-Hang Butler

Dedicated to Prof. C.K. Park on his 60th birthday

1. Introduction

Following A. Berman and A. Kotzig [1], we say that $(0,1)$ -matrix is called an *acyclic matrix* if it does not have a permutation matrix of order two as a submatrix. Such matrices may be taken either over the two-element Boolean algebra $\{0,1\}$ or over the field Z_2 . Since a $(0,1)$ -matrix over the Boolean algebra can be represented as a binary relation, it is advantageous to work with $(0,1)$ -matrices over the Boolean algebra. Such matrices are called Boolean matrices.

Although the maximal order of cyclicity of acyclic matrices have been studied from the graph-theoretical point of view by A. Berman and A. Kotzig in [1], no work has been done on the semigroup properties of acyclic matrices. In doing so we obtained a partial solution to B.M. Schein's problem [4].

We use the Boolean matrix notation of [2] and the semigroup notation of [3].

$B_r(A)$ denotes the row basis of A ,

$B_c(A)$ denotes the column basis of A ,

$\rho_r(A)$ denotes the row rank of A ,

$\rho_c(A)$ denotes the column rank of A ,

$\rho(A)$ denotes the rank of A ,

$R(A)$ denotes the row space of A ,

$C(A)$ denotes the column space of A ,

$|X|$ denotes the cardinality of a set X ,

$E(X)$ denotes the set of all idempotents in a set X ,

T_r denotes the $r \times r$ $(0,1)$ -upper triangular matrix,

S_n denotes the set of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices,

$S(m, k)$ denotes the Stirling number of the second kind.

2. Preliminary results

Let A_n denote the set of all $n \times n$ acyclic matrices. Then A_n is a semigroup under matrix multiplication. We shall consider only nonzero matrices. It is clear that if A is an element of A_n , then $B_r(A)$ ($B_c(A)$) consist of set of all distinct rows

(columns) of A . we will now state without proof some simple facts about acyclic matrices.

PROPOSITION 1. *If A is an element of A_n , then $\rho_r(A) = \rho_c(A)$.*

This proposition allows us to just talk about the rank of acyclic matrix, and so we will not distinguish between row and column rank and will denote both by ρ .

PROPOSITION 2. *A is an element of A_n iff $|R(A)| = \rho(A) + 1 = |C(A)|$, where $1 \leq |R(A)| \leq n + 1$.*

PROPOSITION 3. *Let U be an $n \times r$ acyclic matrix and V be an $r \times n$ acyclic matrix. Then UV is an element of A_n .*

PROPOSITION 4. *Let A be an element of A_n and $\rho(A) = r$. Let $B_r(A) = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ such that $v_i + v_{i+1} = v_i$ for every $i < r$ and $B_c(A) = \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ such that $u_i + u_{i+1} = u_{i+1}$ for every $i < r$.*

$$\text{Let } U = [u_1 \cdots u_r]_{n \times r} \text{ and } V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_r \end{bmatrix}_{r \times n}.$$

Then $A^2 = A$ iff $VU = T_r$.

PROOF. Necessity: Let $X = VU$. We show that $\rho_r(X) = r = \rho_c(X)$. We show only $\rho_c(X) = r$. We must have $R(A) = R(V) = R(VUV)$ but $C(VUV) \subset C(VU) \subset C(V)$. If $\rho_c(VU) < r$, then $|C(VU)| < r + 1$ and so $|C(VUV)| \neq r + 1$. This implies $|R(VUV)| \neq r + 1$. This is a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that $\rho_r(X) = r$. We conclude that $|R(X)| = r + 1 = |C(X)|$. Moreover, $U(XV) = UV$ iff $XV = V$ since $R(XV) = R(V)$ and so $XV = PV$ for some P in S_n . It follows that if $XV \neq V$ then $UXV \neq UV$ and hence $XV = V$ iff $X = T_r$.

Sufficiency: Trivial.

COROLLARY 5. *If A is an element of A_n and $\rho(A) = r$, then there exist $n \times r$ acyclic matrix U and $r \times n$ acyclic matrix V such that $A = UV$. In particular, we can always pick $n \times r$ acyclic matrix U and $r \times n$ acyclic matrix V such that $C(U) = C(A)$ and $R(V) = R(A)$.*

An acyclic matrix A is said to be *bounded* if $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{hk} = 1$ for all $1 \leq h \leq i$ and $1 \leq k \leq j$.

PROPOSITION 6. *A is an element of A_n iff there exists a bounded matrix B such that $A = PBQ$ for $P, Q \in S_n$.*

3. Green's relations

PROPOSITION 7. Let A and B be the element of A_n . Then

- i) $A \mathcal{L} B$ iff $B_r(A) = B_r(B)$,
- ii) $A \mathcal{R} B$ iff $B_c(A) = B_c(B)$,
- iii) $A \mathcal{D} B$ iff $\rho(A) = \rho(B)$.

PROOF. (i) Necessity: Trivial.

Sufficiency: If $B_r(A) = B_r(B)$, then the maximal number of independent rows of A is equal to the maximal number of independent rows of B . Let C_1, \dots, C_r be the nonzero independent rows of A and B in some order. If we set

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_r \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

then there exist $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \in A_n$ such that $C = X_1 A$, $A = X_2 C$, $C = Y_1 B$, and $B = Y_2 C$. It follows that $A \mathcal{L} C$ and $C \mathcal{L} B$ and hence $A \mathcal{L} B$.

(ii) Dual proof holds for \mathcal{R} .

(iii) It follows from the fact that $A \mathcal{D} B$ iff there exists $C \in A_n$ such that $B_r(A) = B_r(C)$ and $B_c(C) = B_c(B)$.

Note that if we let $D(r) = \{A \in A_n : \rho(A) = r\}$, then obviously $D(r)$ is a \mathcal{D} -class of A_n .

COROLLARY 8. Each \mathcal{D} -class $D(r)$ contains

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}.$$

COROLLARY 9. Each \mathcal{L} (\mathcal{R})-class of A_n contains exactly one symmetric matrix.

4. Combinatorial results

Two matrices A and B are equivalent if there exist $P, Q \in S_n$ such that $A = PBQ$.

PROPOSITION 10. A_n contains $\frac{(2n)!}{n! n!} - 1$ equivalent classes.

PROPOSITION 11. $|D(r)| = (r! S(n+1, r+1))^2$.

PROOF. Let $n_{\mathcal{L}}(r)$ ($n_{\mathcal{R}}(r)$) be the number of \mathcal{L} (\mathcal{R})-classes contained in $D(r)$.

Then by the Inclusion-Exclusion principle,

$$n_{\mathcal{L}}(r) = \sum_{m=r}^n (-1)^{m-r} r! \binom{n}{m} (r+1)^{n-m} S(m, r) = n_{\mathcal{R}}(r).$$

Let A be an element of $D(r)$. If H_A denotes the \mathcal{H} -class containing A , then $|H_A| = 1$. Putting the pieces together we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(r)| &= |H_A| n_{\mathcal{L}}(r) n_{\mathcal{R}}(r) \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=r}^n (-1)^{m-r} r! \binom{n}{m} (r+1)^{n-m} S(m, r) \right)^2 \\ &= (r! S(n+1, r+1))^2 \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 12. $|A_n| = \sum_{r=1}^n (r! S(n+1, r+1))^2$.

The following proposition is a combination of results proved by the author in [2].

PROPOSITION 13. $|E(D(r))| = \sum_{m=r}^n (-1)^{m-r} r! S(m, r) \left(\frac{r^2 + 5r + 2}{2} \right)$.

COROLLARY 14. $|E(A_n)| = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{m=r}^n (-1)^{m-r} r! S(m, r) \left(\frac{r^2 + 5r + 2}{2} \right)$.

From what has been said we get immediately the following result.

PROPOSITION 15. A_n is a regular semigroup.

PROOF. Every $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$ -class contained in A_n contains at least one idempotent by proposition 13. Hence A_n is a regular semigroup by Theorem 2.11 of [3].

Note that A_n is not an inverse semigroup.

Note that we obtained a new regular subsemigroup of B_n , the semigroup of binary relations defined on n elements and therefore we have partially answered P. M. Schein's problem [4].

Alabama State University
Montgomery, Alabama 36101

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berman and A. Kotzig, *The order of cyclicity of (0,1)-matrices*, Technical Report, No.315, Centre De Recherches Mathematiques, Universite De Montreal,

Montreal, Canada, August 1973.

- [2] K.K.-H. Butler, *Combinatorial properties of binary semigroups*, Periodica Mathematica, Hungarica, 5 (1974), 3–46.
- [3] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol.1, Survey No.7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [4] B.M. Schein, *Semigroups of binary relations*, The Proc. of Mini-Conference on Semigroup Theory, Szeged, Hungary, August 1972, p.22.