

## ON DIAZ-METCALF'S COMPLEMENTARY TRIANGLE INEQUALITY

By S.M. Khaleelulla

### 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  be a vector space over the field  $K$  of real or complex numbers. Nath [3] defines a generalized semi-inner product (abbreviated to g.s.i.p.) on  $E$  as a functional  $[x, y]$  on  $E \times E$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $[x+y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$ ,  $[\lambda x, y] = \lambda[x, y]$ , for all  $x, y, z$  in  $E$  and  $\lambda$  in  $K$ ,
- (2)  $[x, x] > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,
- (3)  $|[x, y]| \leq [x, x]^{1/p} [y, y]^{(p-1)/p}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

$E$ , equipped with a g.s.i.p., is called a g.s.i.p. space, and a g.s.i.p. induces a norm on  $E$  by setting  $\|x\| = [x, x]^{1/p}$ . A normed vector space can be made into a g.s.i.p. space, as has been shown in [3].

If we put  $p=2$  in the above definition, we get semi-inner product of Lumer [2].

In the present note, we extend Complementary Triangle Inequality of Diaz and Metcalf [1] to g.s.i.p. spaces and in particular to semi-inner product spaces.

### 2. Main Result

Let  $E$  be a g.s.i.p. space, and suppose  $a$  is a unit vector in  $E$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $0 \leq r \leq \operatorname{Re}[x_i, a] / [x_i, x_i]^{1/p}$ ,  $x_i \in E$ ,  $x_i \neq 0$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$(*) \quad r([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{1/p}) \leq [x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n]^{1/p}$$

where the equality holds iff

$$(**) \quad x_1 + \dots + x_n = r([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{1/p})a.$$

**PROOF.**  $r([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{1/p}) \leq \operatorname{Re}[x_1, a] + \dots + \operatorname{Re}[x_n, a]$   
 $= |\operatorname{Re}[x_1, a] + \dots + \operatorname{Re}[x_n, a]|$   
 $= |\operatorname{Re}[x_1 + \dots + x_n, a]|$   
 $\leq [x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n]^{1/p} [a, a]^{(p-1)/p}$

$$= [x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n]^{1/p}.$$

If (\*\*) is satisfied, then clearly equality in (\*) holds. Conversely assume that the equality in (\*) holds. Then it holds at every intermediate inequality in the argument just given, i.e., we have

$$(a) \quad x_1 + \dots + x_n = [x_1 + \dots + x_n, a] a,$$

$$(b) \quad \text{Im}[x_1 + \dots + x_n, a] = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(c) \quad \text{Re}[x_i, a] = r[x_i, x_i]^{1/p}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1 + \dots + x_n, a] &= \text{Re}[x_1 + \dots + x_n, a] \\ &= \text{Re}[x_1, a] + \dots + \text{Re}[x_n, a] \\ &= r([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{1/p}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (\*\*) in view of (a). This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Under the hypothesis of 2.1, we have*

$$(1) \quad r([x_1, x_1] \dots [x_n, x_n])^{1/n} \leq n^{-p} [x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n]$$

$$(2) \quad r(( [x_1, x_1]^{k/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{k/p} )/n)^{1/k} \leq n^{-1} [x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n]^{1/p}$$

where  $k < 1$  and  $k \neq 0$ . Equality in (1) (or in (2)) holds iff  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = r([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{1/p})a$ , and  $[x_1, x_1] = \dots = [x_n, x_n]$ .

**PROOF.** From ([4], page 26), we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} \dots [x_n, x_n]^{1/p})^{1/n} \\ &(( [x_1, x_1]^{k/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{k/p} )/n)^{1/k} \end{aligned} \right\} \leq n^{-1} ([x_1, x_1]^{1/p} + \dots + [x_n, x_n]^{1/p})$$

with equality iff  $[x_1, x_1] = \dots = [x_n, x_n]$ . The result follows in view of 2.1.

Malnad College of Engineering,  
Hassan, Karnataka, India.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.B. Diaz and F.T. Metcalf, *A Complementary triangle inequality in Hilbert and Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 88—97.

- [2] G.Lumer, *Semi-inner product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), 29—43.
- [3] B.Nath, *On a generalization of Semi-inner product spaces*, Math. J.Okayama University, 15 (1971/72), 1—6.
- [4] G.H.Hardy, J.E.Littlewood, G.Polya, *Inequalities*, Camb. University Press, New York, 1959.