

**COMPACT HYPERSURFACES WITH ANTINORMAL
(f, g, u, v, λ)-STRUCTURE IN
AN ODD-DIMENSIONAL SPHERE**

BY U-HANG KI, JIN SUK PAK AND YEONG-WU CHOE

Dedicated to professor Chung-Ki Pakh on his sixeth birthday

0. Introduction.

It is well known that a hypersurface of an almost contact metric manifold or of an odd-dimensional sphere with canonical contact structure admits an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, that is, there exist a set of a tensor field f_j^i of type $(1, 1)$, a Riemannian metric tensor g_{ji} , two 1-forms u_i and v_i (or two vector fields $u^h = u_i g^{ih}$, $v^h = v_i g^{ih}$) and a function λ which satisfy

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_i^h h_j^t &= -\delta_j^h + u_j u^h + v_j v^h, \\ f_j^t f_i^s g_{ts} &= g_{ji} - u_j u_i - v_j v_i, \\ f_j^t u_i &= \lambda v_j, \quad f_j^t v_i = -\lambda u_j, \\ u_i u^i &= v_i v^i = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad u^i v_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

([8], [9]).

In studying the manifold structure of hypersurfaces of the sphere admitting the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure many authors have found several results (cf. [1], [3], [4], [5], [7] and [9]).

Recently Blair, Ludden and Yano in their paper [1] proved that

THEOREM A. *Let M be a complete orientable hypersurface in $S^{2n+1}(1)$ such that induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures satisfy*

$$(0.2) \quad f_j^i h_i^h + h_j^i f_i^h = 0,$$

h_j^i being second fundamental tensor of M .

If the scalar curvature K of M is constant and $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$ is non-zero almost everywhere function on M , then M is isometric to S^{2n} or $S^n \times S^n$.

The main purpose of the present paper is reformation of Theorem A. We shall prove this theorem under the condition that the hypersurface is compact and the sectional curvature of the section spanned by two vectors u and v has semi-definite sign, but without constancy of scalar curvature.

In section 1 and 2, we prepare fundamental properties of the hypersurface with (0.2) in an odd-dimensional sphere. In the last section 3, we study the compact hypersurface

under the condition stated above by using the same method as in the paper [5] and results of Simons and of Chern-do Carmo-Kobayashi.

1. Preliminaries.

Let $S^{2n+1}(1)$ be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius 1 in Euclidean $(2n+2)$ -space E^{2n+2} . As is well known, $S^{2n+1}(1)$ admits a canonical contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , which is induced from the natural Kaehlerian structure equipped on E^{2n+2} . Throughout this paper, manifolds, functions, vector fields and other geometric objects we discuss are assumed to be differentiable and of class C^∞ .

Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional orientable and connected hypersurface in $S^{2n+1}(1)$ covered by a system of coordinate neighborhood $\{U: x^h\}$, where here and in the sequel, indices h, i, j, k, \dots run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ and the summation convention will be used with respect to these indices.

It is well known that the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure induced on a hypersurface M of $S^{2n+1}(1)$ with induced Riemannian metric tensor and the second fundamental tensor h_{ji} satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_j f_i^h = -g_{ji} u^h + \delta_j^h u_i - h_{ji} v^h + h_j^h v_i,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_j u_i = f_{ji} - \lambda h_{ji},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla_j v_i = -h_{ji} f_i^t + \lambda g_{ji},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \nabla_j \lambda = h_{ji} u^t - v_j$$

(cf. [7], [9]), where $h_j^i = h_{ji} g^{ti}$, $(g^{ji}) = (g_{ji})^{-1}$, f_i^h , u_i and v_i are components of f , u and v respectively, ∇_j being the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to g_{ji} .

Moreover, the structure equations of the submanifold M , i. e. the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given by

$$(1.5) \quad K_{kji}^h = \delta_k^h g_{ji} - \delta_j^h g_{ki} + h_k^h h_{ji} - h_j^h h_{ki},$$

K_{kji}^h being components of the curvature tensor of M , and

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla_k h_{ji} - \nabla_j h_{ki} = 0$$

respectively.

From (1.5), the scalar curvature K of M is written in the form

$$(1.7) \quad K = 2n(2n-1) + (h_i^t)^2 - h_{ji} h^{ji}$$

in terms of the second fundamental form.

As a matter of convenience, in the sequel, we denote by $N_0 = \{P \in M \mid \lambda(P) = 0\}$, $N_1 = \{P \in M \mid \lambda^2(P) = 1\}$ and $N = M - (N_0 \cup N_1)$.

First we note that (1.2) implies the set N_1 is bordered (cf. [3], [4]).

We assume on the submanifold M of $S^{2n+1}(1)$ the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is *antinormal*, that is,

$$(1.8) \quad S_{ji}^h = 2v_j (\nabla_i v^h - \lambda \delta_i^h) - 2v_i (\nabla_j v^h - \lambda \delta_j^h),$$

or, equivalently

$$(1.9) \quad h_{ji}f_i^t - h_{ii}f_j^t = 0$$

because N_1 is a bordered set (See [9]).

REMARK. If the hypersurface M in $S^{2n+1}(1)$ satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad h_{ji}f_i^t - h_{ii}f_j^t = 2\phi f_{ij},$$

or, equivalently

$$(1.11) \quad \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2\phi f_{ji}$$

by virtue of (1.3), ϕ being a function on M . We know that ϕ is a constant on M if $n > 2$ (See [5]).

If we put

$$(1.12) \quad T_{ji} = h_{ji} - \phi g_{ji},$$

then (1.6) and (1.10) become respectively

$$(1.13) \quad \nabla_k T_{ji} - \nabla_j T_{ki} = 0,$$

$$(1.14) \quad T_{ji}f_i^t - T_{ii}f_j^t = 0.$$

Comparing (1.6) and (1.9) with (1.10)-(1.14), the condition (1.9) seems to be more essential than (1.10).

2. Antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures induced on $S^{2n+1}(1)$.

We first prove

LEMMA 2.1. *If M is a hypersurface with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure ($n > 1$), then the set N_0 is a bordered set.*

Proof. If there exists a connected open kernel W of N_0 ,

$$(2.1) \quad h_{ji}u^t = v_j \quad \text{on } W$$

by virtue of (1.4).

Transvecting (1.9) with $f_k^i v^j$ and using (0.1) and (2.1), we find

$$(2.2) \quad h_{ki}v^t = u_k + \alpha v_k \quad \text{on } W,$$

where we have put $\alpha = h_{ji}v^j v^i$.

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly and substituting (1.2) and (1.3) with $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_k h_{ji})v^t - h_j^i h_{ks} f_i^s = f_{kj} + (\nabla_k \alpha)v_j - \alpha h_{ki} f_k^t \quad \text{on } W,$$

from which, taking skew-symmetric parts and using (1.6),

$$-2h_j^i h_{ks} f_i^s = 2f_{kj} + (\nabla_k \alpha)v_j - (\nabla_j \alpha)v_k + \alpha(h_{ji} f_k^t - h_{ki} f_j^t),$$

or, using (1.9)

$$2h_j^i h_{ks} f_i^s = 2f_{jk} + (\nabla_k \alpha)v_j - (\nabla_j \alpha)v_k \quad \text{on } W.$$

Transvecting the last equation with v^k and taking account of (2.2), we find $\nabla_j \alpha$

$= (v^t \nabla_t \alpha) v_j$ on W . Thus we have $h_j^t h_{ts} f_k^s = f_{jk}$ on W .

Transvecting this with f_i^k and using (0.1), (2.1) and (2,2), we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad h_j^t h_{ti} = -g_{ji} + 2u_j u_i + (2 + \alpha^2) v_j v_i + \alpha(v_j u_i + u_j v_i)$$

on W .

On the other hand, when one gives attention to equation (2.1) and (2.2), it is seen that there exists, at any point of W , two eigenvectors of the second fundamental tensor of M belong to the plane section $P(u, v)$ spanned by u^k and v^k . Let σ_1 and σ_2 be eigenvalues corresponding to the eigenvectors. Then the eigenvalues are roots of the quadratic equation: $\sigma^2 - \alpha\sigma - 1 = 0$, and consequently $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4})$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4})$.

For an eigenvector X corresponding to the eigenvalues σ_1 , (1.9) implies $h_j^k (f_i^j X^i) = -\sigma_1 (f_i^k X^i)$. This means that the transforms $f_i^k X^i$ of the vector X by the linear transformation f is also an eigenvector, of which eigenvalue is equal to $-\sigma_1$.

From (2.3) we see that there does not exist eigenvalue corresponding eigenvector Y orthogonal to the plane section $P(u, v)$.

Thus we have $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2$ and consequently $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, (2.3) becomes

$$h_j^t h_{ti} = -g_{ji} + 2(u_j u_i + v_j v_i) \quad \text{on } W,$$

from which, $0 \leq h_{ji} h^{ji} = -2(n-2)$ on W , and consequently $h_{ji} = 0$ on W . But h_{ji} can not be zero on W by virtue of (2.1). So W is empty. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. *Under the same assumptions as those stated in Lemma 2.1, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad h_{ji} u^t = \beta v_j,$$

$$(2.5) \quad h_{ji} v^t = \beta u_j,$$

$$(2.6) \quad h_i^t = 0$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_j \lambda = (\beta - 1) v_j$$

in $NU N_0$, where β is a function in $NU N_0$ defined by $\beta = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2} h_s u^s v^t$.

Proof. Transvecting (1.9) with f_k^i , we find

$$h_{st} f_j^t f_k^s + h_{jk} - (h_{ji} u^t) u_k - (h_{ji} v^t) v_k = 0,$$

from which, taking skew-symmetric parts,

$$(h_{ji} u^t) u_k - (h_{ki} u^t) u_j + (h_{ji} v^t) v_k - (h_{ki} v^t) v_j = 0.$$

Since u_i and v_i do not vanish in $NU N_0$, transvecting the equation above with u^k and v^k and using (0.1), we have

$$(2.8) \quad h_{ji} u^t = \alpha u_j + \beta v_j,$$

$$(2.9) \quad h_{ji} v^t = \beta u_j + \gamma v_j$$

respectively in $NU N_0$, where α and γ are defined by

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} h_{st} u^s u^t, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} h_{st} v^s v^t.$$

Differentiating (2.8) covariantly, we have

$$(\nabla_k h_{jt}) u^t + h_j^t (\nabla_k u_t) = (\nabla_k \alpha) u_j + (\nabla_k \beta) v_j + \alpha \nabla_k u_j + \beta \nabla_k v_j$$

in $NU N_0$. Taking skew-symmetric parts and using (1.2), (1.3), (1.6) and (1.9), we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad (\nabla_k \alpha) u_j - (\nabla_j \alpha) u_k + (\nabla_k \beta) v_j - (\nabla_j \beta) v_k = 2\alpha f_{jk},$$

from which, we see that $\nabla_j \alpha$ and $\nabla_j \beta$ are linear combinations of u_j and v_j , that is,

$$(1-\lambda^2) \nabla_j \alpha = (u^t \nabla_t \alpha) u_j + (u^t \nabla_t \beta) v_j,$$

$$(1-\lambda^2) \nabla_j \beta = (v^t \nabla_t \alpha) u_j + (v^t \nabla_t \beta) v_j.$$

Thus (2.10) reduced to $\frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} (v^t \nabla_t \alpha - u^t \nabla_t \beta) (u_k v_j - u_j v_k) = 2\alpha f_{jk}$ in $NU N_0$.

On the other hand, f_{ji} is of rank $2n-2 \geq 2$ in $NU N_0$ by assumption $\dim M \geq 2$. Thus we have, from the equation above, $\alpha=0$ and consequently $u^t \nabla_t \beta=0$ in $NU N_0$. From this fact (2.10) becomes

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla_j \beta = \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} (v^t \nabla_t \beta) v_j.$$

Transvecting (1.9) with $u^j v^i$ and using (2.8) and $\alpha=0$, we find $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)\gamma=0$, i.e. $\lambda\gamma=0$ in $NU N_0$. But, since N_0 is bordered set and $NU N_0$ is open, $\gamma=0$ in $NU N_0$. Thus (2.4) and (2.5) are obtained.

Transvecting (1.9) with f^{ji} and taking account of $\alpha=\gamma=0$, we get (2.6). Therefore, Lemma 2.2 is proved.

LEMMA 2.3. *Under the conditions as those stated in Lemma 2.1, the equations*

$$(2.12) \quad (1-\lambda^2) \nabla_j \beta = -2\lambda\beta(\beta+1) v_j$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad (1-\lambda^2) (h_{jt} h_t^s + \beta g_{ji}) = \beta(\beta+1) (u_j u_i + v_j v_i)$$

hold in $NU N_0$.

Proof. Differentiating (2.5) covariantly and using (1.2) and (1.3), we have in $NU N_0$

$$(\nabla_k h_{jt}) v^t + h_j^t (-h_{ks} f_t^s + \lambda g_{kt}) = (\nabla_k \beta) u_j + \beta (f_{kj} - \lambda h_{kj}),$$

from which, taking skew-symmetric parts and taking account of (1.6), (1.9) and (2.11),

$$(2.14) \quad 2h_j^t h_{ts} f_k^s = 2\beta f_{jk} + \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} v^t \nabla_t \beta (u_k v_j - u_j v_k).$$

Transvecting (2.14) with u^t and using (2.4) and (2.5), we see that

$$(2.15) \quad v^i \nabla_i \beta = -2\lambda\beta(\beta+1) \quad \text{in } NUN_0.$$

Thus (2.12) is gotten from (2.11) and (2.15).

Substituting (2.15) into (2.14), we find in NUN_0

$$h_j^i h_{is} f_k^s = \beta f_{jk} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda^2} \beta(\beta+1) (u_j v_k - u_k v_j),$$

from which, transvecting f_i^k and using (2.4) and (2.5), we find (2.13).

3. Compact hypersurfaces with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure.

In this section, we assume that M is a compact hypersurface with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure in $S^{2n+1}(1)$, ($n \geq 1$).

From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.13) we get at most four distinct principal curvatures $\pm \sqrt{-\beta}$, $\pm \beta$ at each point in NUN_0 , and hence N_0 and N_1 being bordered sets, also in M because of the continuity of principal curvatures. Under the condition (1.9) we see easily that the multiplicities of β and $-\beta$ are 1 and those of $\sqrt{-\beta}$ and $-\sqrt{-\beta}$ are $n-1$.

We have from (2.13)

$$(3.1) \quad h_{ij} h^{ji} = 2\beta(\beta-n+1) \quad \text{in } NUN_0.$$

Thus, by (1.7), (2.6) and (3.1), the scalar curvature K is given by

$$(3.2) \quad K = 2(\beta+n)(2n-1-\beta) \quad \text{in } NUN_0.$$

Since β is non-positive, solving the quadratic equation above with respect to β , we have $\beta = (n-1-\sqrt{\theta})/2$ in NUN_0 , where $\theta = (n-1)^2 - 4\{K/2 - n(2n-1)\} \geq 0$ in NUN_0 . We have $\theta > 0$ in M , because N_1 is bordered. Hence we can define a function $\tilde{\beta}$ in M by

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\beta} = (n-1-\sqrt{\theta})/2.$$

Then, the function $\tilde{\beta}$ thus defined is an extension of the function β defined only in NUN_0 and differentiable on M . Thus all differential equations involving β established in NUN_0 are valid about $\tilde{\beta}$. Without fear of confusion, we denote the extension $\tilde{\beta}$ by the same letter β . Then $\beta(x)$ is equal to 0 or -1 at each point x in N_1 (See [5]).

For a symmetric matrix H of degree $2n$, it is well known that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 2n \operatorname{tr} H^2 - (\operatorname{tr} H^2)^2 - (\operatorname{tr} H)^2 - (\operatorname{tr} H)(\operatorname{tr} H)^3 \\ = \sum_{i < j} (\sigma_i - \sigma_j)^2 (1 + \sigma_i \sigma_j), \end{aligned}$$

where σ_i are eigenvalues of H , (cf. [6]).

We have already known that the principal curvatures at each point of M are $\sigma_1 = \beta$, $\sigma_2 = -\beta$, $\sigma_3 = +\sqrt{-\beta}$ and $\sigma_4 = -\sqrt{-\beta}$, and their multiplicities are respectively 1 and $n-1$.

In [5], a formula of Simon's type was computed without consideration of these multiplicities. But if we consider these multiplicities, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < j} (\sigma_i - \sigma_j)^2 (1 + \sigma_i \sigma_j) \\ = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2 (1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2) + (n-1)(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)^2 (1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (n-1)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2(1 + \sigma_2\sigma_3) + (n-1)^2(\sigma_3 - \sigma_4)^2(1 + \sigma_3\sigma_4) \\
 & = 4\beta(\beta+1)(\beta-n+1)(n-\beta).
 \end{aligned}$$

Of course, from (3.4), calculating the left hand side of (3.4) by using (2.6) and (3.1), we also get the same result.

Now, using this result, if we calculate a formula of Simon's type for the hypersurface of constant mean curvature in a sphere [6], then

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(h_{ji}h^{ji}) = (\nabla_k h_{ji})(\nabla^k h^{ji}) + 4\beta(\beta+1)(\beta-n+1)(n-\beta).$$

On the other hand, differentiating both sides of (2.12), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2\lambda(\nabla_k \lambda)\nabla_j \beta + (1-\lambda^2)\nabla_k \nabla_j \beta \\
 & = -2(\nabla_k \lambda)\beta(\beta+1)v_j - \lambda(2\beta+1)(\nabla_k \beta)v_j - 2\lambda\beta(\beta+1)\nabla_k v_j \quad \text{on } M,
 \end{aligned}$$

from which, using (1.3), (2.7) and (2.12),

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta\beta = \frac{2\beta(\beta+1)}{1-\lambda^2} \{ \lambda^2(5\beta-2n+1) + 2\lambda(1-\beta) + 1 - \beta \} \quad \text{on } M.$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we find

$$\Delta(h_{ji}h^{ji}) = 4(\nabla^j \beta)(\nabla_j \beta) + 2(2\beta-n+1)\Delta\beta,$$

from which, substituting (2.12) and (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(h_{ji}h^{ji}) & = \frac{4\beta(\beta+1)}{1-\lambda^2} [4\lambda^2\beta(\beta+1) + (2\beta-n+1) \\ & \quad \times \{2\lambda^2(\beta-n+2) + (1-\beta)(1-\lambda^2)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_k h_{ji})(\nabla^k h^{ji}) & = \frac{2\beta(\beta+1)}{1-\lambda^2} [\lambda^2(\beta+1)(8\beta-3n+3) + \\ & \quad (n-1)(2n-3\beta-1)],
 \end{aligned}$$

from which $\beta(\beta+1) \geq 0$ at every point in N_0 since β is nonpositive.

On the other hand, from (0.1), (1.5), (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$K_{ijk}u^k v^j u^i v^h = -(1-\beta^2)(1-\lambda^2)^2,$$

from which sectional curvature of the section $P(u, v)$ is $1-\beta^2$.

Now we assume that the sectional curvature is semi-definite sign in $N \cup N_0$, that is, $1-\beta^2$ is semi-definite sign.

At first we consider the case of $1-\beta^2 \geq 0$. Then, since β is non-positive, $-1 \leq \beta \leq 0$ in $N \cup N_0$.

As we have already shown that $\beta(\beta+1) = 0$ at every point in N_1 , $\beta(\beta+1) \leq 0$ on the whole space M . Hence from (3.1)

$$\text{tr } h^2 = h_{ji}h^{ji} \leq 2n.$$

Next, in the case of $1 - \beta^2 \leq 0$ in $NU N_0$, we also find $\beta(\beta+1) \geq 0$ at every point in M by the same reason. Then, by continuity of β , it follows that β is not greater than -1 . But, from the compactness of the space M , we can prove that $\beta = -1$ on M by the same method in the last part of the paper [5]. Hence in both cases we have from (3.1) that $\text{tr } h^2 \leq 2n$. Since M is compact, by the result of Simons, $\text{tr } h^2$ can take only two values 0 and $2n$. So, combining Chern-do Carmo-Kobayashi's result we have

THEOREM. *Let M be a compact hypersurface with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure in an odd-dimensional sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$. If sectional curvature of the section spanned by mutually orthogonal vectors u and v is semi-definite sign, then M is a great sphere S^{2n} or $S^n \times S^n$.*

Bibliography

- [1] Blair, D. E., G. D. Ludden and K. Yano, *Hypersurfaces of an odd-dimensional sphere*. *Tour. Diff. Geo.* **5**(1971), 479-486.
- [2] _____, *On the intrinsic geometry of $S^n \times S^n$* , *Math. Ann.* **194**(1971), 68-77.
- [3] Ishihara, S., and U-Hang Ki, *Complete Riemannian manifolds with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure*. *Jour. Diff. Geo.* (1973).
- [4] Nakagawa, H., and I. Yokote, *On hypersurfaces in an odd-dimensional sphere*. *Bull. Fac. General Education, Tokyo Univ. of Agr. and Tech.* **9**(1973), (1-4).
- [5] Nakagawa, H., and I. Yokote, *Compact hypersurfaces in an odd-dimensional sphere*. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **25**(1973), 225-245.
- [6] Nomizu, K., and B. Smith, *A formula of Simon's type and hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*. *Tour. Diff. Geo.* **3**(1969), 367-377.
- [7] Yano, K., and Ishihara, S., *Notes on hypersurfaces of an odd-dimensional sphere*. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **24**(1972).
- [8] Yano, K., and U-Hang Ki, *On quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure* *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **24**(1972) 106-120.
- [9] _____, *Manifolds with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures*. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **25**(1973), 48-62.
- [10] Yano, K., and M. Okumura, *On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures*. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **22**(1970), 401-423.
- [11] Yano, K., and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*. *Ann. of Math. Studies No.* **32**(1953).
- [12] Chern, S. S., do Carmo, M., and Kobayashi, S., *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, *Functional Analysis and Related Fields*, Springer-verlag, 59-75.

Kyungpook University and Taegu Teachers College