

EXTREME POINTS OF THE SHELL OF A LINEAR RELATION

BY SA-GE LEE

Let A be a bounded linear operator defined on a complex Hilbert space H with inner product \langle, \rangle . Let $W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\|=1, x \in H\}$ be the numerical range of A . For each complex number z , let M_z denote the subset of H , $\{x \in H : \langle Ax, x \rangle = z\|x\|^2\}$. In [5], M. Embry characterized the extreme points of $W(A)$ in terms of M_z . We obtain an analogy of her result in the setting of the shell $s(A)$ of a linear relation A in H (Theorem). In preparing for the proof of our main result, we also get several lemmas which might be useful in their own right.

The notion of the shell $s(A)$ of a linear relation A in a Hilbert space H was introduced by C. Davis in [1], as a solid in the three dimensional Euclidean space R^3 . To get familiar with the tools and terminologies which will be used later, we review first some rudiments of [1], [2].

Let \bar{C} denote the extended complex plane, $C \cup \{\infty\}$, and B the unit ball of R^3 . Let ζ , h be a complex number and a real, respectively.

We define a mapping $\theta : B \rightarrow \bar{C}$, by sending (ζ, h) to the point $z \in C$ such that (ζ, h) is located on the line passing through the point $(z, 0)$ and the north pole $(0, 1)$ of B . That is, $\theta(\zeta, h) = \frac{\zeta}{1-h}$, $h \neq 1$ and $\theta(0, 1) = \infty$.

Let S denote the unit sphere $\{(\zeta, h) \in R^3 : |\zeta|^2 + h^2 = 1\}$. The stereographic projection $\tau : \bar{C} \rightarrow S$ is defined as follows. $\tau(z) = \left(\frac{2z}{1+|z|^2}, \frac{-1+|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \right)$, $z \in C$ and $\tau(\infty) = (0, 1)$. Note that $\theta(\tau(z)) = z$, for all $z \in \bar{C}$.

A Möbius transformation $\mu : \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ is defined by sending $z \in C$ to $\mu(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, where $ad-bc \neq 0$ and $\mu(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$. This leads us to define the Möbius transformation, again denoted by $\mu : S \rightarrow S$ by sending $\tau(z)$ to $\tau(\mu(z))$, for $z \in \bar{C}$. If we put $\tau(z) = (\zeta, h)$, $\mu(\tau(z)) = (\zeta', h')$, then the coordinates are related by the following matrix equation (p. 77 [1]).

$$(1) \quad \begin{vmatrix} 1+h' \\ \zeta' \\ \zeta' \\ 1-h' \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a\bar{a} & a\bar{b} & b\bar{a} & b\bar{b} \\ a\bar{c} & a\bar{d} & b\bar{c} & b\bar{d} \\ c\bar{a} & c\bar{b} & d\bar{a} & d\bar{b} \\ c\bar{c} & c\bar{d} & d\bar{c} & d\bar{d} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 1+h \\ \zeta \\ \zeta \\ 1-h \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now if we apply the above equation (1) to the points (ζ, h) , (ζ', h') of the unit ball B , where 1's are replaced by $\sqrt{|\zeta|^2 + h^2}$, we still get a mapping, also called the Möbius transformation μ of B onto itself, which sends (ζ, h) to (ζ', h') . In the case $d = \bar{a}$, $c = -\bar{b}$, the Möbius transformation μ is just a typical rigid rotation of the unit ball B .

Let A be a linear relation in H , that is, a linear subspace of $H \oplus H$. The shell $s(A)$ of A is defined as the set all points

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{2\langle y, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}, \frac{-\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2} \right) : (y, x) \in A, (y, x) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

(p. 70. Definition 1.1 [1]). If $\dim(H) \geq 3$, then $s(A)$ is a convex subset of the unit ball B (p. 304 Theorem 10.1 [2]). Let $I = \{(y, x) \in A : y = x\}$. The point spectrum $\sigma_p(A)$ of A is defined by $\sigma_p(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (A - zI) \cap (\{(0, 0)\} \oplus H) \neq \{(0, 0)\}\}$, with ∞ adjoined if $0 \in \sigma_p(A^{-1})$, where $A^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in H \oplus H : (y, x) \in A\}$. The approximate point spectrum $\sigma_x(A)$ of A is the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{There is } (y_n, x_n) \in A - zI, \|x_n\| = 1 \text{ and } \|y_n\| \rightarrow 0.\}$ (p. 71 Definitions 2.1-2.5, Proposition 2.1 [1]). Then we have

$$(2) \quad S \cap s(A) = \tau(\sigma_p(A)) \quad (\text{p. 72 Theorem 2.2 [1]}) \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \quad S \cap \bar{s}(A) = \tau(\sigma_x(A)) \quad (\text{p. 73 Theorem 2.3 [1]}),$$

where $\bar{s}(A)$ denote the closure of $s(A)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The numerical range $W(A)$ of A is defined as the set $\{\langle y, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1, (y, x) \in A\}$, with ∞ adjoined in the case $\infty \in \sigma_p(A)$ (p. 73 Definition 3.1 [1]). It is easy to see that

$$(4) \quad \theta(s(A)) = W(A) \quad (\text{p. 73 Theorem 3.1 [1]})$$

and

$$(5) \quad \mu(W(A)) = W(\mu(A)).$$

For the μ as above, the Möbius transformation $\mu(A)$ of a subset A of $H \oplus H$ (ie, a relation A in H), is defined by

$$(6) \quad \mu(A) = \{(ay + bx, cy + dx) : (y, x) \in A\} \quad (\text{p. 77 [1]}).$$

For a linear relation A , we have

$$(7) \quad \mu(s(A)) = s(\mu(A)) \quad (\text{p. 78 Theorem 5.1 [1]}).$$

The next lemma was obtained by Embry (pp. 647-648, Lemma 1[5]). We state it here without proof.

LEMMA 1. Let A be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H . For each complex number λ , denote $M_\lambda = \{x \in H : \langle Ax, x \rangle = \lambda \|x\|^2\}$. Let z be in the interior of a line segment with end points a and b in $W(A)$. Let x, y be vectors in H such that $x \in M_a$, $y \in M_b$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. Then there exist real numbers s and t in the open interval $(0, 1)$ and a complex number α , $|\alpha| = 1$ such that $tx + (1-t)\alpha y \in M_z$ and $sx - (1-s)\alpha y \in M_z$. Consequently, $M_a \subset M_z + M_b = A$.

The above lemma is extended easily to the case of a linear relation A .

LEMMA 2. Let A be a linear relation in a Hilbert space H , with $\infty \notin \sigma(A)$. For each complex number λ , denote $Y_\lambda = \{(y, x) \in A : \langle y, x \rangle = \lambda \|x\|^2\}$. Let z be in the interior of a line segment with end points a and b in the numerical range $W(A)$ of A . Then $Y_a \subset Y_z + Y_b = A$.

Proof. Let $(y_1, x_1) \in Y_a$ and $(y_2, x_2) \in Y_b$, $\|x_2\|=1$. We want to show that $(y_1, x_1) \in Y_z + Y_x$. Since $\infty \notin \sigma_p(A)$, we may assume that $x_1 \neq 0$. Also, since $Y_a, Y_z + Y_x$ are homogeneous, we still can assume that $\|x_1\|=1$. A simple computation shows that x_1 and x_2 must be linearly independent, by using the fact that $\infty \notin \sigma_p(A)$. We consider the Hilbert space H_1 spanned by x_1, x_2, y_1 and y_2 . We then find a linear operator A_1 on H_1 into itself such that $A_1 x_i = y_i$, $i=1, 2$. By applying the previous Lemma 1, we see easily that $(y_1, x_1) \in Y_z + Y_x$, and that $Y_a \subset Y_z + Y_x$. Now $A = \cup \{Y_a : a \in W(A)\} \subset Y_z + Y_x$, ie, $A = Y_z + Y_x$ (cf. p. 648 Proofs of Lemma 1, Theorem 1 (iii), [5]). Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 3. *Let A be a linear relation in H with $\infty \notin \sigma_p(A)$. Let $z \in W(A)$ and Y_z be as in the above lemma. If Y_x is a linear subspace of A , then z is an extreme point of $W(A)$ (cf. p. 647 Theorem 1(i) [5]).*

Proof. The proof is similar with that of Theorem 1(i), p. 648 [5] and omitted. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4. *Let A be a linear relation in a Hilbert space H . Let μ be a Möbius transformation of A onto another relation A' in H , by $(y, x) \rightarrow (ay + bx, cy + dx)$, $ad - bc \neq 0$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *A' is also a linear relation and μ is a topological linear isomorphism on A onto A' , with respect to the norms $\|(y, x)\| = \|y\| + \|x\|$, $(y, x) \in A$ and also for $(y, x) \in A'$.*

(ii) *If A is closed, so is A' .*

Proof. The verifications are elementary and omitted. Q.E.D.

The next lemma is also considered as a natural generalization of theorem 1 (ii), p. 647 and Lemma 2, p. 648 [5]. But our proof appears more translucent in the new setting of the linear relation.

LEMMA 5. *Let A be a linear relation in a Hilbert space H with $\infty \notin \sigma_p(A)$. As in Lemma 2, let $Y_\lambda = \{(y, x) \in A : \langle y, x \rangle = \lambda \|x\|^2\}$ for a complex number λ . Let $z \in W(A)$ and L be a supporting line of $W(A)$ through z . Then the following hold.*

(i) *$A_1 = \cup \{Y_\lambda : \lambda \in L \cap W(A)\}$ is a linear subspace of A .*

(ii) *If z is an extreme point of $W(A)$ then Y_z is a linear subspace of A*

(iii) *$A_1 = A$ if and only if $W(A) \subset L$*

(iv) *If A is closed, so are A_1 and Y_z*

Proof. (i) Note that $\infty \notin W(A)$, since $\infty \notin \sigma_p(A)$. We can find a suitable affine transformation μ of the plane such that the following is true. $\mu(W(A))$ is contained in the closed left half-plane, with respect to the imaginary axis, $\mu(L)$ is the imaginary axis and $\mu(z) = 0$, the origin of the plane. Note that $\mu(W(A)) = W(\mu(A))$, by the identity (5). Let $[\mu(A)]$ denote the set of all $(y, x) \in H \oplus H$ such that $(y, u), (v, x) \in \mu(A)$ for some $u, v \in H$. Clearly $\mu(A) \subset [\mu(A)]$. We consider the real valued functional f on $[\mu(A)]$, defined by $f(y, x) = \text{Re} \langle y, x \rangle$, where $(y, x) \in [\mu(A)]$. Note that f is a bilinear form on $[\mu(A)]$ with respect to the real scalar multiplication. Let $\mu(A)_1 = \{(y, x) \in \mu(A) : \text{Re} \langle y, x \rangle = 0\} = \{(y, x) \in \mu(A) : f(y, x) = 0\}$. We claim that $\mu(A)_1$ is a linear subspace of $\mu(A)$. Let $(y_i, x_i) \in \mu(A)_1$, $i=1, 2$. Then $f(y_1 + y_2, x_1 + x_2) = f(y_2, x_1) + f(y_1, x_2) \leq 0$.

Similarly $f(y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2) = -f(y_2, x_1) - f(y_1, x_2) \leq 0$.

It follows that $f(y_1 + y_2, x_1 + x_2) = 0$, proving that $\mu(A)_1$ is linear. But a simple computation shows that $\mu(A)_1 = \mu(A)_1$. Therefore A_1 is linear as well, by Lemma 4 (i).

(ii) Let Z be an extreme point of $W(A)$. We consider $\mu(A)_0 = \{(y, x) \in \mu(A) : \langle y, x \rangle = 0\}$, where μ is as in the proof of (i) above.

Since $\mu(Y_z) = \mu(A)_0$, it only needs to show that $\mu(A)_0$ is a linear subspace of $\mu(A)$. But $\mu(A)_0 = \{(y, x) \in \mu(A)_1 : \text{Im} \langle y, x \rangle = 0\}$. Since 0 is an extreme point of $\mu(A)$, we see that $\text{Im} \langle y, x \rangle \leq 0$, for all $(y, x) \in \mu(A)_1$ or $\text{Im} \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0$, for all $(y, x) \in \mu(A)_1$. Let $[\mu(A)_1]$ be similarly defined as $[\mu(A)]$ above. We consider again a real bilinear form g on $[\mu(A)_1]$ by defining $g(y, x) = \text{Im} \langle y, x \rangle$, for $(y, x) \in [\mu(A)_1]$. By the same procedure as for $\mu(A)_1$ and f above, we can conclude that $\mu(A)_0$ is linear.

(iii) Obvious. (iv) It follows from Lemma 4 (ii). Q.E.D.

The necessity implication of the next proposition was overlooked in [5] even for a bounded operator A .

PROPOSITION 6. *Let A be a linear relation in a Hilbert space H with $\infty \in \sigma_p(A)$. Let Y_λ denote as in the above Lemma 5. Define $A_1 = \bigcup \{Y_\lambda : \lambda \in L \cap W(A)\}$. Then A_1 is linear if and only if L is a supporting line of $W(A)$ through z .*

Proof. We only need to prove the necessity. First observe that every point $\lambda \in L \cap W(A)$ can not be located in the interior of a line segment whose end points a, b are in $W(A)$ and $a \in L \cap W(A)$. For, if it were, then $Y_a \subset Y_\lambda + Y_b \subset A_1 + A_1 = A_1$, by Lemma 2, a contradiction. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 7. *Let A be a linear relation in a Hilbert space H . Let*

$\zeta(y, x) = \frac{2\langle y, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}$, $h(y, x) = \frac{-\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}$ and $s(y, x) = (\zeta(y, x), h(y, x)) \in B$, the unit ball of R^3 , for $(y, x) \in A$, $(y, x) \neq (0, 0)$. Let β be the uniquely determined number, $0 \leq \beta \leq \infty$ such that $\sup\{h(y, x) : (y, x) \in A \sim \{(0, 0)\}\} = \frac{-1 + \beta^2}{1 + \beta^2}$, that is, β is the norm $\|A\|$ of A (of P.81 Definition 7.1 [1]). Then the following hold.

(i) Let $h_1 = \frac{-1 + \beta^2}{1 + \beta^2}$. Then the set $A_1 = \{(y, x) \in A : (-\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) = h_1(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)\}$ is a linear relation.

(ii) If A is closed, so is A_1 .

Proof. (i) If $h_1 = 1$, namely $\beta = \infty$, then the proof is obvious. Let $-1 \leq h < 1$. It is immediate to see that $A_1 = \{(y, x) \in A : \|y\| = \beta\|x\|\}$, and $\|y\| \leq \beta\|x\|$ for all $(y, x) \in A$. Now let $(y_i, x_i) \in A_1$, $i = 1, 2$. By the parallelogram law, $\|y_1 + y_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 = 2\|y_1\|^2 + 2\|y_2\|^2 = 2\beta^2(\|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2) = \beta^2(\|x_1 + x_2\|^2 + \|x_1 - x_2\|^2)$. Therefore, $\|y_1 + y_2\|^2 = \beta^2\|x_1 + x_2\|^2 + \beta^2\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 \geq \beta^2(\|x_1 + x_2\|^2)$, since $\|y_1 - y_2\| \leq \beta\|x_1 - x_2\|$. But $\|y_1 + y_2\| \leq \beta\|x_1 + x_2\|$. It follows that $\|y_1 + y_2\| = \beta\|x_1 + x_2\|$ and $(y_1, x_1) + (y_2, x_2) \in A_1$.

(ii) Straight-forward. Q.E.D.

Our main theorem is an analogy of Theorem 1 (i) [5] of Embry.

THEOREM. *Let A be a linear relation in a complex Hilbert space H of dimension ≥ 3 .*

For each $u = (\zeta, h) \in s(A)$, where ζ is a complex number, h a real, let $Y_u = \{(y, x) \in A : 2\langle y, x \rangle = \zeta(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \text{ and } -\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = h(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)\}$. Suppose that u is a boundary point of $s(A)$. Then u is an extreme point of $s(A)$ if and only if Y_u is a linear subspace of H .

Proof. In the case that $u \in S$, the unit ball, the assertion can be proved easily by the identity (2). Now let $u \notin S$. Let L denote a supporting plane of $s(A)$ through u . We draw a straight line from the origin of S to the direction of the open halfspace determined by L , that does not meet with $s(A)$, such that the line is also perpendicular to L . Let v be the intersection of S with this line. Then $v \in s(A)$. Let μ be a Möbius transformation of B which brings v to the north pole of B , by a rigid rotation. Then $\mu(v) \in s(\mu(A))$ by (7).

Now let L' denote the plane rotated from L by μ . Clearly L' is a supporting plane of $s(\mu(A))$ at $\mu(u)$ and it is parallel to the complex plane. Let $Y_w = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(y, x) \in \mu(A) : s(y, x) = w \in s(\mu(A))\}$. Let $\mu(A)_1 = \{Y_w : w \in L' \cap s(\mu(A))\}$.

By Lemma 7 (i), $\mu(A)_1$ is a linear subspace of $\mu(A)$. Note that $s(\mu(A)_1) = L' \cap s(\mu(A)) = \mu(L \cap s(A))$. Let $Y = \cup \{Y_w : w \in L \cap s(A)\}$.

We claim that $\mu(Y) = \mu(A)_1$ and

$$(8) \quad \mu(Y_u) = Y_{\mu(u)}$$

We shall only verify (8). Let $(y, x) \in Y_u$, so $s(y, x) = u$ (See Lemma 7 for the notation of $s(y, x)$). Then $\mu(u) = \mu(s(y, x)) = s(\mu(y, x))$, by (6). It follows that $\mu(y, x) = Y_{\mu(u)}$.

Now $W(\mu(A)_1) = \theta(s(\mu(A)_1))$, by (4). Note that θ is a one to one correspondence which sends a line segment to a line segment. Let $Y_{\theta(\mu(u))} = \{(y, x) \in \mu(A)_1 : \langle y, x \rangle = \theta(\mu(u))\|x\|^2\}$.

It is immediate to see that

$$(9) \quad Y_{\mu(u)} = Y_{\theta(\mu(u))}$$

Now we have the following chain of equivalent statements from (a) to (g).

- (a) u is an extreme point of $s(A)$.
- (b) $\mu(u)$ is an extreme point of $s(\mu(A))$.
- (d) $\mu(u)$ is an extreme point of $s(\mu(A)_1)$
- (e) $\theta(\mu(u))$ is an extreme point of $W(\mu(A)_1)$
- (f) $Y_{\mu(u)}$ is linear (Corollary 3, Lemma 5 (ii) and the above (9))
- (g) Y_u is linear (the identity (8)). Q.E.D.

REMARK. Let u be an arbitrary point of $s(A)$ in the above theorem. Question: If Y_u is linear, must u lie on the boundary of $s(A)$? We conjecture that the answer is positive.

References

- [1] C. Davis, *The shell of a Hilbert-space operator*, Acta Scien. Math., **29**(1968), 69-86.
- [2] C. Davis, *The shell of a Hilbert-space operator. II* Acta Scien. Math., **31**(1970), 301-318.
- [3] C. Davis, *Hole-filling theorems for the shell of an operator*, preprint.

- [4] H. G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge at the University Press, 1966.
- [5] M. R. Embry, *The numerical range of an operator*, Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970), 647-650.
- [6] J. G. Stampfli, *Extreme points of the numerical range of a hyponormal operator*, Michigan Math. J., **13** (1966), 87-89.
- [7] F. A. Valentine, *Convex sets*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.

Seoul National University