

PERFECT ONTO PROJECTIVITY IN SOME CATEGORIES OF HAUSDORFF SPACES

By Sung Sa Hong

1. Introduction.

B. Banaschewski has shown in [1] that perfect onto projectivity in a full subcategory of the category **Haus** of Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps which is left-fitting with respect to essential perfect onto maps, is properly behaved. In this paper, we show that for any extensive subcategory C^* of the category **Haus**^{*} of Hausdorff spaces and continuous semi-open maps, the full subcategory **C** of the category **Haus** determined by objects of C^* is left-fitting with respect to essential perfect onto maps. Hence perfect onto projectivity in the category **C** is properly behaved. It is known that for any infinite cardinal k , the subcategory of almost k -compact spaces is extensive in the category **Haus**^{*}. Z. Frolik has shown in [3] that the class of regular almost realcompact spaces is left-fitting with respect to perfect onto maps. Using the above result, the class of almost k -compact spaces is left-fitting with respect to irreducible perfect onto maps. In particular, so are the class of H -closed spaces and the class of almost real compact spaces. We introduce the concept of almost k -boundedness for an infinite cardinal k greater than \aleph_0 . Likewise compact spaces are exactly pseudocompact realcompact spaces (see [4]), a Hausdorff space is H -closed iff it is almost k -compact almost k -bounded. Consequently, a completely regular space is compact iff it is almost k -compact (or k -compact) almost k -bounded. Our terminology follows mainly [1]. In particular, Γ , \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{C} denote closure operator, interior operator and complement respectively.

The author takes this opportunity to thank Professor B. Banaschewski for introducing the author to the problem discussed here and encouraging him to study it.

2. Perfect onto projectivity.

2.1 DEFINITION, Let \mathbf{K} be a category and \mathbf{P} a class of morphisms in \mathbf{K} . An object A of \mathbf{K} is said to be \mathbf{P} -projective if for any $g:A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{K} and for any $f:C \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{P} , there is a morphism $h:A \rightarrow C$ in \mathbf{K} with $g=fh$. A morphism f in \mathbf{P} is said to be essential if $fg \in \mathbf{P}$ implies $g \in \mathbf{P}$. A morphism $f:A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{K}

is said to be a **P-projective** cover of B if A is **P-projective** and f is essential.

The following definition is due to B. Banaschewski [1].

2.2 DEFINITION. Let \mathbf{K} be a category and \mathbf{P} a class of morphisms in \mathbf{K} . The **P-projectivity** is said to *behave properly* if the following three conditions are fulfilled:

1. The following are equivalent for an object A :
 - a) A is **P-projective**.
 - b) Any morphism $f: B \rightarrow A$ in \mathbf{P} has a right inverse.
 - c) Any essential morphism $f: B \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism.
2. Any object in \mathbf{K} has an essentially unique **P-projective** cover.
3. The following are equivalent for a morphism $f: B \rightarrow A$ in \mathbf{P} :
 - a) f is a **P-projective** cover.
 - b) f is an essential morphism and for any g , if fg is an essential morphism then g is an isomorphism.
 - c) B is **P-projective**, and if $f = hg$ with morphisms g and h in \mathbf{P} where h has **P-projective** domain then g is an isomorphism.

In what follows, we deal with subcategories of the category **Haus** and \mathbf{P} will be the class of perfect onto morphisms in the category. Instead of **P-projectivity** we will call it p.o. projectivity.

2.3 DEFINITION. If X and Y are topological spaces, then a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *semi-open* if the image under f of each non-empty open set of X has non-empty interior in Y .

H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker have shown [7] that the full subcategory of **Haus*** determined by H -closed spaces is epi-reflective in **Haus*** via the Katětov extension.

2.4 DEFINITION. A reflective subcategory \mathbf{C}^* of **Haus*** is said to be *extensive* in **Haus*** if every \mathbf{C}^* -reflection map is a dense embedding.

2.5 DEFINITION. An onto map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in **Haus** is said to be *irreducible* if for any closed $A \subset X$, $f(A) = Y$ implies $A = X$.

It is known [1] that a perfect onto map in **Haus** is essential iff it is irreducible.

2.6 LEMMA. *Every irreducible closed map is semi-open.*

PROOF. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an irreducible closed map and let U be a non-empty open set of X . Since f is irreducible closed, $\mathcal{E}f(\mathcal{E}U)$ is non-empty open and $f(U)$ contains $\mathcal{E}f(\mathcal{E}U)$. Hence $f(U)$ has a non-empty interior.

2.7 THEOREM. *Every extensive subcategory C^* of the category \mathbf{Haus}^* is left-fitting with respect to essential perfect onto maps in \mathbf{Haus} , i.e. for any essential perfect onto map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, X belongs to C^* whenever Y belongs to C^* .*

PROOF. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an essential perfect onto map and Y an object of C^* . By Lemma 2.6, f is a morphism of the category \mathbf{Haus}^* . Let $r_X: X \rightarrow rX$ be the C^* -reflection of X . Then there is a unique morphism $\bar{f}: rX \rightarrow Y$ in C^* with $\bar{f}r_X = f$. By Lemma 7, in [1], $\bar{f}(rX - r_X(X)) \subset Y - f(X) = \emptyset$ and so $rX = r_X(X)$. Hence r_X is an onto homeomorphism, which implies X belongs to C^* .

REMARK. Since every continuous semi-open map is a p -map (see [5]), Theorem 2.7 still holds for an extensive subcategory of the category \mathbf{pHaus} of Hausdorff spaces and p -maps.

2.8 COROLLARY. *The class of H -closed spaces is left-fitting with respect to irreducible perfect onto maps.*

Using Proposition 3 and Corollary 3 of Proposition 4 in [1], the following is immediate from Theorem 2.7.

2.9 THEOREM. *Let C^* be an extensive subcategory of the category \mathbf{Haus}^* and C be the full subcategory of the category \mathbf{Haus} determined by objects of C^* . Then: $p.o.$ projectives in C are exactly extremally disconnected spaces belonging to C and $p.o.$ projectivity in C is properly behaved.*

3. Almost k -compact spaces.

Z. Frolik has introduced in [3] almost realcompact spaces and R.N. Bhaumik and D.N. Misra have generalized it in [2] to almost k -compact spaces for any infinite cardinal k .

3.1 DEFINITION. Let k be an infinite cardinal. A Hausdorff spaces X is said to be *almost k -compact* if a maximal open filter \mathcal{U} on X for which $\{\Gamma U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ has the k -intersection property is convergent.

We note that almost $\aleph_0(\aleph_1)$ -compact spaces are precisely H -closed (almost realcompact) spaces.

3.2 DEFINITION. Let k be an infinite cardinal number greater than \aleph_0 .

A Hausdorff space X is said to be *almost k -bounded* if every open covering of X with cardinal less than k has a finite proximate subcovering, i.e. a finite subfamily whose union is dense in X .

We note that a completely regular space is pseudocompact iff it is almost \aleph_1 -bounded.

The following theorem is immediate from the fact that every open filter in an almost k -bounded space has the k -intersection property.

3.3 THEOREM. *Let k be an infinite cardinal greater than \aleph_0 . Then a Hausdorff space is H -closed iff it is almost k -compact almost k -bounded.*

3.4 DEFINITION. Let α be a collection of open coverings of a space X . An α -Cauchy family is an open filter base \mathcal{B} on X such that for every \mathcal{O} in α , there exists an $A \in \mathcal{O}$ and a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $B \subset A$. The space X is said to be α -complete if every α -Cauchy family has at least one cluster point.

The following definition is due to H. Herrlich [6].

3.5 DEFINITION. A completely regular space is said to be *k -compact* if every z -ultrafilter with the k -intersection property is fixed.

3.6 THEOREM. *Let X be a completely regular space and let \mathcal{L}_k be the family of cozero set coverings of X with cardinal less than k . Then X is k -compact iff it is \mathcal{L}_k -complete.*

PROOF. It is obvious that the space X is compact ($=\aleph_0$ -compact) iff X is \mathcal{L}_{\aleph_0} -complete. Hence we may assume that k is greater than \aleph_0 . Let \mathcal{U} be a \mathcal{L}_k -Cauchy family in a k -compact space X . Suppose that \mathcal{U} has no cluster point. Since \mathcal{U} is a filter base on its Stone-Ćech compactification βX , \mathcal{U} has a cluster point in βX , say $p \in \beta X - X$. Since X is k -compact, it is k -closed in βX (see 1.8. in [8]); there is a family $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of open neighborhoods of p in βX such that $\bigcap U_i \cap X = \emptyset$ and $|I| < k$. Using the fact that the zero-set neighborhoods of p in βX form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of p , there exists a family $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ of zero-sets of βX such that $p \in \mathcal{I} Z_i \subset Z_i \subset U_i$ for each $i \in I$. Thus $(\bigcap Z_i) \cap X = \emptyset$. Obviously, $\{\mathcal{C}_X(Z_i \cap X) \mid i \in I\}$ is a member of \mathcal{L}_k and so there is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and an $i \in I$ with $U \subset \mathcal{C}_X(Z_i \cap X)$. Hence $U \cap Z_i = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction to that p belongs to the closure of U in βX .

Conversely, let \mathcal{F} be a z -ultrafilter on a \mathcal{L}_k -complete space X with the k -

intersection property. Consider $\mathcal{U} = \{U \mid U \text{ is open and contains a member of } \mathcal{F}\}$. Then it is easy to show that \mathcal{U} is \mathcal{L}_k -Cauchy. Hence \mathcal{U} has a cluster point. By the complete regularity of X , we have $\bigcap \{Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{F}\} = \bigcap \{\Gamma U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus X is k -compact.

Since a Hausdorff space X is almost k -compact iff X is α_k -complete, where α_k is the family of all open coverings of X with cardinal less than k (see [2]), the following is immediate from Theorem 3.6.

3.7 COROLLARY. *Every k -compact space is almost k -compact.*

3.8 COROLLARY. *For a completely regular space X , the following are equivalent:*

- 1) X is compact.
- 2) X is k -compact almost k -bounded.
- 3) X is almost k -compact almost k -bounded.

PROOF. It is immediate from the fact that every regular H -closed space is compact.

C-T. Liu and G.E. Strecker have shown that the full subcategory of \mathbf{Haus}^* determined by almost realcompact spaces is extensive in \mathbf{Haus}^* (see [10]). By the same argument, we have:

3.9 THEOREM. *The full subcategory \mathbf{A}_k^* of \mathbf{Haus}^* determined by almost k -compact spaces is extensive in \mathbf{Haus}^* .*

We note that the \mathbf{A}_k^* -reflection of a Hausdorff space X is given by $X \cup \{\mathcal{Z} \mid \mathcal{Z} \text{ is a non-convergent maximal open filter such that } \{\Gamma U \mid U \in \mathcal{Z}\} \text{ has the } k\text{-intersection property}\}$ with the relative topology of the Katětov extension κX of X and the natural embedding.

3.10 COROLLARY. *The class of almost k -compact spaces is left-fitting with respect to irreducible p.o. maps. P.o. projectivity in the category of almost k -compact spaces and continuous maps is properly behaved. An almost k -compact space is p.o. projective in the category iff it is extremally disconnected.*

3.11 LEMMA. *Let ω_α be the first ordinal of cardinal \aleph_α . Let $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ be the space of ordinal less than $\omega_{\alpha+1}$ endowed with the order topology. Then a family with cardinal less than $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$, formed of closed cofinal subsets of $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ has a non-empty intersection.*

PROOF. Let $(F_i)_{i \in I}$ be such a family. Choose a relation $<$ which well-orders I .

Let Σ be an ordered set $I \times N$ with the order relation \leq , where $(i, n) \leq (j, m)$ iff $n < m$ or $i \leq j$ if $n = m$ and N is the set of natural numbers with the usual order relation. Then by the induction, one can construct a subset $A = \{\lambda_{i,n} \mid (i, n) \in \Sigma\}$ of $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ such that $\lambda_{i,n} \in F_i$ and $(i, n) \leq (j, m)$ implies $\lambda_{i,n} \leq \lambda_{j,m}$. Since the cardinal of A is less than $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$, it is bounded in $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$; $\sup A$ exists. Furthermore, one can easily show that for each $i \in I$, $\sup A = \sup \{\lambda_{i,n} \mid n \in N\}$. Thus we can conclude that $\sup A$ belongs to $\bigcap F_i$.

3.12 COROLLARY. *The space $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ is almost $\aleph_{\alpha+2}$ -compact but not almost $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ -compact.*

PROOF. It is known [6] that the space $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ is $\aleph_{\alpha+2}$ -compact. Hence it is almost $\aleph_{\alpha+2}$ -compact by Corollary 3.7. On the other hand, let \mathcal{U} be a maximal open filter containing $\{T(\sigma+1) \mid \sigma < \omega_{\alpha+1}\}$, where $T(\sigma) = \{\tau \mid \sigma \leq \tau < \omega_{\alpha+1}\}$. Then it is clear that \mathcal{U} is not convergent. By Lemma 3.11, $\{\Gamma U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ has the $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ -intersection property, for every member of a non-convergent maximal open filter on $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ is cofinal.

REMARK. Van der Slot has asserted in [12] that the space $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ is $\aleph_{\alpha+2}$ -ultracompact but not $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ -ultracompact. But his proof was incorrect. However, using Lemma 3.11, one can prove his assertion.

3.13 THEOREM. *For any limit cardinal k , there exists an almost k -compact space which is not almost t -compact for every infinite cardinal t less than k .*

PROOF. Let X be the space $\Pi W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ ($\aleph_{\alpha+1} < k$) with the product topology. Since X is k -compact (see [6]), X is almost k -compact. By the same argument as Theorem 5 in [3], every closed subspace of an almost t -compact regular space is also almost t -compact. Hence we can conclude that X is not almost t -compact for $t < k$. Indeed, suppose X is almost \aleph_{α} -compact for some $\aleph_{\alpha} < k$. Then the closed subspace of X which is homeomorphic with the space $W(\omega_{\alpha+1})$ is also almost \aleph_{α} -compact, which is a contradiction.

Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Banaschewski, *Projective covers in categories of topological spaces and topological algebras*. Proc. of the Kanpur Topo. Conf. 1968, 63—91.
- [2] R.N. Bhaumik and D.N. Misra, *A generalization of K -compact spaces*. Czech. Math. J., 21(1971), 625—632.
- [3] Z. Forlik, *A generalization of realcompact spaces*. Czech. Math. J., 13(1963), 127-137.
- [4] L. Gillman and M. Jerrison, *Rings of continuous functions*. D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, 1960.
- [5] D. Harris, *Katětov extension as a functor*. Math. Ann., 193, (1971), 171-175.
- [6] H. Herrlich, *Fortsetzbarkeit stetiger Abbildungen und Kompaktheitsgrad topologischer Räume*. Math. Z., 96(1967), 64-72
- [7] H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, *H -closed spaces and reflective subcategories*. Math. Ann., 177(1968), 302-309.
- [8] S.S. Hong, *On k -compactlike spaces and reflective subcategories*. Gen. Topo. and its Appl., (to appear).
- [9] M. Katětov, *Über H -abgeschlossene und bikompakte Räume*. Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys., 69(1940), 36-49.
- [10] C-T. Liu and G.E. Strecker, *Concerning almost realcompactifications*. Czech. Math. J., 22(1972), 181-190.
- [11] J. Mioduszewski and L. Rudolf, *H -closed and extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces*. Diss. Math., 66(1969).
- [12] J. van der Slot, *Some properties related to compactness*. Math. Centrum-Amsterdam, 1968.