Kyungpook Math. J. Volume 14, Number 1 June, 1974

# A THEOREM ON JOIN VARIETIES OF GROUPS

## By R.D. Giri

The join of two f. b. varieties of groups need not be f.b. is a well-known fact, but no example is known so far to testify this.

Bryant has, however, characterized that,

(i) If  $\mathscr{U}$  is a variety of groups and  $\mathscr{V}$  is a nilpotent variety, then the join variety  $\mathscr{U} \vee \mathscr{V}$  is f.b. iff  $\mathscr{U}$  is f.b. see [1]

(ii) Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a f.b. variety and  $\mathscr{V}$ , a vaughan Lee variety (a subvariety of  $\mathscr{N}_c \mathscr{Ol} < \mathscr{OlN}_d$ ). Then the join variety  $\mathscr{U} \lor \mathscr{V}$  is f.b. (see [2]).

Denoting varieties by doubly underlined Roman Capitals and using 'the notations of [2], we give the following general characterization of the join of two varieties. This includes (i).

THEOREM. If V is a variety in which an identity  $[[x_1, \dots, x_m], [x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}]]$  is satisfied and  $\mathscr{U}$  is arbitrary then  $\mathscr{U} \vee \mathscr{V}$  is f.b. iff  $\mathscr{U}$  is f.b. PROOF. ( $\Rightarrow$ )  $\mathscr{U} \vee \mathscr{V}$  is f.b. by assumption. Moreover, since  $\mathscr{V}$  is f. b. (see [3]),  $\mathscr{U} \wedge \mathscr{V}$  is f.b. because as subvariety it again satisfies the law  $[[x_1, \dots, x_m], [x_{m+1}, \dots, x_m]]$ 

 $x_{m+2}$ ]]. Hence by Lemma 4 of [1]  $\mathcal{U}$  is f. b.

# ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Conversely for $m \ge 2$ , the laws, (a) $[x_1, y_1] \cdots, [x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}]]$ (b) $[[x_1, \cdots, x_{m+1}], [y_1, \cdots, y_{m+1}]],$

can easily be seen to be the consequences of the law  $[[x_1, \dots, x_m], [x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}]]$ . Hence the set of laws  $\mathscr{V}$  defining the variety  $\mathscr{V}$  includes  $\gamma_{m+1}(X')$ .  $\gamma_{m+1}(X)'$ where  $X, \gamma_{m+1}(X'), \gamma_{m+1}(X)'$  have their usual meanings as in [2]. In other words  $\mathscr{V}$  is the subvariety of  $\mathscr{N}_m \mathscr{A} \wedge \mathscr{A} \mathscr{N}_m$ . Since  $\mathscr{U}$  is f. b. by assumption in this case, therefore, in particular by (ii)  $\mathscr{U} \vee \mathscr{V}$  is f. b.

The author is grateful to his supervisor prof. M.A. Kazim for encouragement and help in the preparation of this note.

· · ·

<sup>\*</sup> f.b. = Finitely based.

#### R.D. Giri

### A.M.U., Aligarh (India)

<u>, -</u>

#### REFERENCES

[1] Bryant R.M., On some varieties of groups, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 1(1969) 60~64. \_\_\_\_\_, On Join varieties of groups, Maths. Z. 119(1971) 143~148. [2] [3] Vaughan Lee M.R., On the laws of some varieties of groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc.

11 (1970) 353~356.

84

.

•

.

.

•

•

•

. . . - -