

A NOTE ON AN INTERSECTION THEOREM IN BANACH SPACE

By R.N. Mukherjee

Introduction.

Let X be a real Banach Space. Assume that $X=A\oplus B$, i.e. X is the direct sum of two subspaces $A\subset X$ and $B\subset X$.

Let $f:A\rightarrow X$ and $g:B\rightarrow X$ and $h:A\rightarrow X$ be three continuous mappings. We have given conditions on f , g , and h such that $f(A)\cap g(B)\cap h(A)\neq\phi$.

1. In [1] Kannan has given a theorem concerning simultaneous fixed points of two mappings in a metric space. We give here a version of the theorem in a Banach Space.

THEOREM A. *Let E be a Banach Space. If T_1 and T_2 are continuous mappings from E into E such that*

$$\|T_1(x) - T_2(y)\| \leq \beta \{ \|x - T_1(x)\| + \|y - T_2(y)\| \}$$

where $x, y \in E$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

2. An intersection theorem in Banach Space.

By $Q_A : X \rightarrow A$ and $Q_B : X \rightarrow B$ we denote the projection mappings of X onto A and X onto B respectively. The mappings Q_A and Q_B are linear and we have

$$\|Q_A x\| \leq \|Q_A\| \|x\|, \quad \|Q_B x\| < \|Q_B\| \|x\|$$

for all x in X , where $\|Q_A\|$ and $\|Q_B\|$ are the norms of Q_A and Q_B respectively. Let F , G and H are mappings from X into X and define the mappings T_1 and T_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= F \circ Q_A - G \circ Q_B \\ T_2 &= H \circ Q_A - G \circ Q_B. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. *Let $X=A\oplus B$ and let $f:A\rightarrow X$, $g:B\rightarrow X$ and $h:A\rightarrow X$ be such that $f(a)=a-F(a)$, for all a in A .*

$$g(b) = b - G(b), \text{ for all } b \text{ in } B$$

$$h(a) = a - H(a), \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A$$

and also T_1 and T_2 satisfy the hypothesis of theorem A above. Then $f(A) \cap g(B) \cap h(A) \neq \phi$.

Proof of theorem 1.

Since $X = A \oplus B$, any element x in X can be written as $x = a - b$ where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Since from theorem A, T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point which is x_0 say with representation $x_0 = a_0 - b_0$, we have,

$$T_1(x_0) = x_0$$

$$\text{i. e. } F \circ Q_A(x_0) - G \circ Q_B(x_0) = a_0 - b_0$$

$$\text{i. e. } F(a_0) - G(b_0) = a_0 - b_0$$

in other words

$$a_0 - F(a_0) = b_0 - G(b_0)$$

also we have

$$T_2(x_0) = x_0$$

$$\text{i. e. } H \circ Q_A(x_0) - G \circ Q_B(x_0) = a_0 - b_0$$

$$\text{i. e. } H(a_0) - G(b_0) = a_0 - b_0$$

$$\text{i. e. } a_0 - H(a_0) = b_0 - G(b_0)$$

and therefore $f(A) \cap g(B) \cap h(A) \neq \phi$.

In case f , g and h are linear mappings the conditions on f , g and h can be given in terms of the norms of the linear mappings F , G and H to make sure that $f(A) \cap g(B) \cap h(A) \neq \phi$.

THEOREM 2. Let $X = A \oplus B$ and let f , g and h are linear continuous mappings as shown in respective subspaces of theorem 1. Then if

$$\|F\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| < \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$$

and

(1)

$$\|H\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| < \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$$

then

$$f(A) \cap g(B) \cap h(A) \neq \phi.$$

Proof of theorem 2.

Proof lies in observing the fact that the conditions in (1) imply that $F \circ Q_A - G \circ Q_B$ and $H \circ Q_A - G \circ Q_B$ satisfy the hypothesis of theorem A. We start with the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F \circ Q_A(x) - G \circ Q_B(x) - H \circ Q_A(y) + G \circ Q_B(y)\| \\ & \leq \beta \{ \|x - F \circ Q_A(x) + G \circ Q_B(x)\| + \|y - H \circ Q_A(y) + G \circ Q_B(y)\| \} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now left hand side of (2) is

$$\leq (\|F\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\|) \|x\| + (\|H\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\|) \|y\|$$

also

$$\beta \{ \|x - F \circ Q_A(x)\| - \|G \circ Q_B(x)\| \} + \beta \{ \|y - H \circ Q_A(y)\| - \|G \circ Q_B(y)\| \} \leq \text{R. H. S.}$$

since

$$\|G \circ Q_B(x)\| \leq \|G\| \|Q_B\| \|x\|, \text{ for all } x \text{ in } X,$$

we have

$$\beta \{ \|x - F \circ Q_A(x)\| - \|G\| \|Q_B\| \|x\| \} + \beta \{ \|y - H \circ Q_A(y)\| - \|G\| \|Q_B\| \|y\| \} \leq \text{R. H. S.}$$

from this we get

$$\beta \{ \|x\| - \|F \circ Q_A(x)\| - \|G\| \|Q_B\| \|x\| \} + \beta \{ \|y\| - \|H \circ Q_A(y)\| - \|G\| \|Q_B\| \|y\| \} \leq \text{R. H. S.}$$

therefore

$$\beta [\|x\| \{ (1 - \|G\| \|Q_B\|) - \|F\| \|Q_A\| \}] + \beta [\|y\| \{ (1 - \|G\| \|Q_B\|) - \|H\| \|Q_A\| \}] \leq \text{R. H. S.}$$

therefore if

$$\|F\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| \leq \beta [(1 - \|G\| \|Q_B\|) - \|F\| \|Q_A\|]$$

and

$$\|H\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| \leq \beta [(1 - \|G\| \|Q_B\|) - \|H\| \|Q_A\|] \quad (3)$$

then (2) is satisfied.

The conditions (3) can be written as

$$\|F\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| \leq \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}$$

and

$$\|H\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| \leq \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}$$

which completes the proof.

COROLLARY to theorem 2.

Let R denote a set of real numbers. Let $X = A \oplus B$ and $f : R \times A \rightarrow X$, $g : R \times B \rightarrow X$ and $h : R \times A \rightarrow X$ be continuous linear mappings such that

$$f(\lambda : a) = a - \lambda F(a) \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A$$

and λ is in R ,

$$g(\lambda : b) = b - \lambda G(b) \text{ for all } b \text{ in } B$$

$$h(\lambda : a) = a - \lambda H(a) \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A.$$

Then if

$$|\lambda| \{ \|F\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| \} \leq \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}$$

and

$$|\lambda| \{ \|H\| \|Q_A\| + \|G\| \|Q_B\| \} \leq \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$$

then

$$f(\lambda; A) \cap g(\lambda; B) \cap h(\lambda; A) \neq \phi.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of theorem 2.

State University of New York
at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, N. Y.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kannan, R, *On a fixed point theorem in a metric space*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., No 1 and 2, pp.71—76, 1968.
- [2] Vignoli, Alfonso, *An intersection theorem in Banach Space*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. Vol XLIX- pp.180-183, February 1970.