

PAIRWISE LINDELOF BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

By Ivan L. Reilly

In a recent paper Fletcher, Hoyle and Patty [1] introduced the concept of pairwise compactness for bitopological spaces. In this note we extend this concept to a larger class of bitopological spaces, called pairwise Lindelof spaces, and prove some results which have well known topological analogues. Bitopological notions not defined here are taken from Kelly [2]. Some of the results of this paper were announced in [5].

DEFINITION 1. A cover of a bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is *pairwise open* if its elements are members of \mathcal{T}_1 or \mathcal{T}_2 , and if it contains at least one nonempty member of each of \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 .

DEFINITION 2. $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is *pairwise Lindelof* (*pairwise compact*) if each pairwise open cover of $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ has a countable (finite) subcover.

Clearly, any pairwise compact space is pairwise Lindelof.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = [0, \Omega]$, \mathcal{T}_1 be the discrete topology on X and \mathcal{T}_2 be the topology $\{\emptyset, X, (a, \Omega] \text{ for each } a \in X\}$. Then $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is pairwise Lindelof. If \mathcal{U} is any pairwise open cover of X , there is an $a \in X$ such that $(a, \Omega] \in \mathcal{U}$, and hence \mathcal{U} has a subcover of cardinality not greater than $a+1$. However, (X, \mathcal{T}_1) is uncountable and discrete and hence not Lindelof. (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is Lindelof, indeed compact. Furthermore, $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is not pairwise compact.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $X = [0, \Omega)$, \mathcal{T}_1 be the ordinal topology on X and \mathcal{T}_2 be the topology $\{\emptyset, X, (0, \Omega), (0, a] \text{ for each } a \in X\}$. Then (X, \mathcal{T}_1) is not Lindelof, as it is regular but not paracompact, while (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is Lindelof, indeed compact. Moreover, $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is not pairwise Lindelof, since any pairwise open cover of X is a \mathcal{T}_1 open cover as $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$.

EXAMPLE 3. In Example 2 change \mathcal{T}_2 to the topology $\{\emptyset, X, (a, \Omega) \text{ for each } a \in X\}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$, \mathcal{T}_1 is not Lindelof, but $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is pairwise Lindelof, since any pairwise open cover contains a set of the form (a, Ω) whose complement

$[0, a]$ is \mathcal{T}_1 Lindelof.

DEFINITION 3. (Pahk and Choi [4]) A bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is *pairwise countably compact* if every countable pairwise open cover of $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ has a finite subcover.

The proofs of the next two results are straight forward.

PROPOSITION 1. *In a pairwise Lindelof space, pairwise countable compactness is equivalent to pairwise compactness.*

PROPOSITION 2. *The pairwise continuous image of a pairwise Lindelof space is pairwise Lindelof.*

PROPOSITION 3. *Any second countable bitopological space (that is, a bitopological space in which both topologies are second countable) is pairwise Lindelof.*

PROOF. In the space $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ let $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{C_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be countable bases for \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 respectively, and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a pairwise open cover of X . Let N be the set of integers n such that $B_n \subset U_\alpha$ for some $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}_1$, and M the set of integers m such that $C_m \subset U_\alpha$ for some $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. Denote by V_n one of the U_α in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}_1$ such that $B_n \subset U_\alpha$, and by W_m one of the U_α in $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ such that $C_m \subset U_\alpha$. Then $\mathcal{U}^* = \{V_n : n \in N\} \cup \{W_m : m \in M\}$ is a countable subcover of \mathcal{U} for X . Let $x \in X$. Since \mathcal{U} covers X there is a $U_\beta \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U_\beta$. Now U_β is either \mathcal{T}_1 open or \mathcal{T}_2 open. If U_β is \mathcal{T}_1 open, there is an integer k such that $x \in B_k \subset U_\beta$, so that $k \in N$. Hence, there is a set $V_k \in \mathcal{U}^*$ such that $x \in B_k \subset V_k$. A similar argument suffices if U_β is \mathcal{T}_2 open.

PROPOSITION 4. *If $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is pairwise Lindelof and A is a proper subset of X which is \mathcal{T}_1 closed then A is pairwise Lindelof and \mathcal{T}_2 Lindelof.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{U} be any pairwise open cover of $(A, \mathcal{T}_1|A, \mathcal{T}_2|A)$. Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{(X - A)\}$ induces a pairwise open cover of $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ which has a countable subcover and hence so does \mathcal{U} .

Let \mathcal{W} be any \mathcal{T}_2 open cover of A . Then $\mathcal{W} \cup \{(X - A)\}$ is a pairwise open cover of $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ which has a countable subcover, and hence so does \mathcal{W} .

THEOREM 1. *If $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is pairwise Lindelof and pairwise regular then it is pairwise normal.*

PROOF. Let A be a \mathcal{T}_1 closed subset of X and B be a \mathcal{T}_2 closed subset of X

disjoint from A . If $A=X$ or $B=X$, the proof is trivial. Otherwise A and B are proper subsets of X . Let x be any point of B . Since \mathcal{T}_1 is regular with respect to \mathcal{T}_2 , there is a \mathcal{T}_1 open set U_x such that $x \in U_x$ and $(\mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } U_x) \cap A = \phi$. (Throughout this paper $\mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } A$ denotes the \mathcal{T}_2 closure of the set A .) Thus $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x : x \in B\}$ is a \mathcal{T}_1 open cover of B which is \mathcal{T}_2 closed and hence, by proposition 4, \mathcal{T}_1 Lindelof. So there is a countable subcover $\{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$ of \mathcal{U} for B such that $(\mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } U_i) \cap A = \phi$ for each positive integer i . By a similar argument, there is a countable \mathcal{T}_2 open cover $\{V_1, V_2, \dots\}$ for A such that for each positive integer j $(\mathcal{T}_1 \text{ cl } V_j) \cap B = \phi$.

If $W_n = V_n - \bigcup_{i \leq n} \{\mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } U_i\}$ and $Y_m = U_m - \bigcup_{j \leq m} \{\mathcal{T}_1 \text{ cl } V_j\}$, then W_n is \mathcal{T}_2 open and Y_m is \mathcal{T}_1 open, where m and n are arbitrary positive integers. Then $W_n \cap U_j = \phi$ for $j \leq n$, and $Y_j \subset U_j$, so that $W_n \cap Y_j = \phi$ for $j \leq n$. Similarly, $Y_j \cap W_n = \phi$ for $n \leq j$, so that $W_n \cap Y_m = \phi$ for all m and n . Now $\{V_n\}$ is a \mathcal{T}_2 open cover for A and no set of the form $\mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } U_i$ contains points of A , so that $\{W_n\}$ is a \mathcal{T}_2 open cover for A . Thus $A \subset U = \bigcup_n W_n$ and U is \mathcal{T}_2 open. Similarly, $B \subset V = \bigcup_m Y_m$ and V is \mathcal{T}_1 open. Furthermore, U and V are disjoint. Thus $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is pairwise normal.

We have as a corollary a result proved by Kelly [2].

COROLLARY 1. *Any second countable pairwise regular bitopological space is pairwise normal.*

COROLLARY 2. *Any pairwise compact pairwise regular bitopological space is pairwise normal.*

Fletcher, Hoyle and Patty [1] proved the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Any pairwise Hausdorff pairwise compact bitopological space is pairwise regular.*

This, together with Corollary 2, yields the following theorem which is also an immediate consequence of Theorems 12 and 13 of Fletcher, Hoyle and Patty [1].

THEOREM 3. *Any pairwise Hausdorff pairwise compact bitopological space is pairwise normal.*

THEOREM 4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be a bitopological space. If \mathcal{T}_1 is regular with respect to \mathcal{T}_2 and (X, \mathcal{T}_1) is second countable, then every \mathcal{T}_1 closed set is a $\mathcal{T}_2 G_\delta$.*

PROOF. Let A be a \mathcal{T}_1 closed set. If $A=X$ we are through. Otherwise, for each $x \notin A$ there is a \mathcal{T}_1 open set U_x such that $x \in U_x \subset \mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } U_x \subset X - A$. Let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$,

the integers} be a countable base for (X, \mathcal{T}_1) . Then $x \in V_{n(x)} \subset U_x$ for some integer $n(x)$. Now $V_{n(x)} \subset \mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } U_x$ implies that $(\mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } V_{n(x)}) \cap A = \emptyset$, and hence $A = \bigcap \{X - \mathcal{T}_2 \text{ cl } V_{n(x)} : x \notin A\}$. The number of distinct integers $n(x)$ is countable, so that A is a $\mathcal{T}_2 G_\delta$.

The next result is an improvement of Corollary 1. First we need the following definition.

DEFINITION 4. (Lane [3]) $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is *pairwise perfectly normal* if it is pairwise normal, each \mathcal{T}_1 closed set is a $\mathcal{T}_2 G_\delta$ and each \mathcal{T}_2 closed set is a $\mathcal{T}_1 G_\delta$.

THEOREM 5. *If $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is pairwise regular and second countable then it is pairwise perfectly normal.*

PROOF. The pairwise normality of $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ follows from Corollary 1. Furthermore, closed sets are appropriate G_δ s by Theorem 4.

University of Auckland,
Auckland, New Zealand.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fletcher, P., Hoyle, H.B. III, and Patty, C.W. *The comparison of topologies*. Duke Math. J., 36 (1969), 325—331.
- [2] Kelly, J.C. *Bitopological spaces*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 13(1963), 71—89.
- [3] Lane, E.P. *Bitopological spaces and quasi uniform spaces*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 17(1967), 241—256.
- [4] Park, D.H. and Choi, B.D. *Notes on pairwise compactness*. Kyungpook Math. J. 11 (1971), 45—52.
- [5] Reilly, I.L. *Pairwise Lindelof bitopological spaces*. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1970), 845 (abstract).