# ON SEMI-SIMPLE RINGS AND THEIR COMPLETE MATRIX RINGS

By HAI JOON KIM

#### 1. Introduction.

Let R be a ring and M be a right R-module. In this paper we consider the class of all large submodules of M and denote their total intersection by S(M). In section 2, we prove S(M) coincides with the sum of all simple submodules of M, the largest semi-simple submodule in M. Applying this result to an arbitrary ring R whether or not R contains the identity 1, we prove that the complete matrix ring  $R_n$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices over R is semi-simple if the ring R is semi-simple as a right R-module  $R_R$ . This proof is given in Section 3. We also investigate semi-simple right ideals of R and  $R_n$  and study their relations.

# 2. Preliminaries.

We call a submodule P of M large in M and write  $P \subseteq M$  in case each non-zero submodule of M meets P. The aim of this section is to prove that S(M) coincides with the sum of all simple submodules of M and to seek a necessary and sufficient condition for a module to be semi-simple.

First, we introduce the definition:

DEFINITION. A submodule N of M is closed if and only if N has no proper large extensions in M.

If  $M_R \supseteq P_R$ , then C is called a complement submodule of P in M in case C is a submodule which is maximal in the set of all submodules Q such that  $Q \cap P = 0$ . By Zorn's lemma, if  $P \cap A = 0$ , then there exists a complement submodule of P in M containing A. By a complement submodule we mean a submodule which is a complement submodule of some submodule of M. It is easy to see that the closed submodules of a module M coincide with the complement submodules of M. By this fact, P is large in M if and only if P meets every non-zero closed submodule of M. For, if  $P \cap K = 0$ , then we can choose a complement (=closed) submodule C of P containing K. If P meets every non-zero closed submodule, then C = 0, since  $C \cap P = 0$  and so K = 0. This shows that P is large in M. From this we prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. Let A and B be submodules of M. Then B is large in A if and only if there exists a large submodule P of M such that  $B=A \cap P$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $B \subseteq 'A$  and K be a complement submodule of B in M. Put P = B + K. Since  $B \cap (A \cap K) = B \cap K = 0$  and  $A \cap K = 0$ ,  $A \cap P = A \cap (B + K) = B + (A \cap K) = B$ . Let D be a submodule of M with  $P \cap D = 0$ . Then also  $B \cap (K - D) = B \cap (P \cap (K - D)) = B \cap (K + 0) = B \cap K = 0$ . By maximality of K,  $D \subseteq K$ , hence  $D = (B + K) \cap D = 0$ . Thus

P is large in M. If P is large in M, then  $P \cap A$  is large in A for every submodule A of M. This proves that B is large in A if  $B = A \cap P$  where P is large in M.

Let N be any submodule of M. We consider S(N) in N, that is, the intersection of all large submodules of N. Then the following relation holds between S(N) and S(M).

THEOREM 1.  $S(N) = S(M) \cap N$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1,  $\{P \cap N: P \subseteq M\} = \{Q: Q \subseteq N\}$  for any submodule N of M. It follows that

$$S(M) \cap N = \bigcap \{P: P \subseteq' M\} \cap N$$

$$= \bigcap \{P \cap N: P \subseteq' M\}$$

$$= \bigcap \{Q: Q \subseteq' N\}$$

$$= S(N).$$

Let  $f:M\to M'$  be an epimorphism and P' be large in M'. Then  $f^{-1}P'\cap A=0$  implies  $P'\cap fA=0$  so that  $0=fA\subseteq P'$ . Thus  $A\subseteq f^{-1}fA\subseteq f^{-1}P'\cap A=0$ , so  $f^{-1}P'$  is large in M. Hence we obtain the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. (1) Let f be an R-homomorphism of M into M'. Then  $fS(M) \subseteq S(M')$ . (2) If N is a submodule of M, then  $(S(M)+N)/N\subseteq S(M/N)$ .

*Proof.* (1): Let  $y = fx, x \in S(M)$ , and let Q be an arbitary large submodule of fM. Since  $f^{-1}Q$  is large in  $M, x \in f^{-1}Q$  so that  $y = fx \in Q$ . Hence  $fS(M) \subseteq S(fM) \subseteq S(M')$ . (2) is an immediate consequence of (1).

We call a module M is semi-simple if M is a direct sum of simple submodules. It is the same thing to require that each submodule of M is a direct summand of M [1, p. 55].

COROLLARY 2. M is semi-simple if and only if S(M)=M. Therefore S(M) is the largest semi-simple submodule of M.

**Proof.** Assume that M is semi-simple and let A be any non-zero simple submodule of M. Then for each large submodule P of M,  $A \cap P \neq 0$  so that  $A = A \cap P \subseteq P$ . Thus  $A \subseteq S(M)$  and M = S(M). Conversely, if A is a submodule of M and B is any complement submodule of A in M, then  $A \oplus B$  is large in M and S(M) = M implies  $S(M) \subseteq A \oplus B = M$  so that A is a direct summand of M. Hence M is semi-simple. By Theorem 1,  $S(S(M)) = S(M) \cap S(M) = S(M)$  and S(M) is semi-simple by the above result. If a submodule P is semi-simple, then  $P = S(P) = P \cap S(M) \subseteq S(M)$ . Therefore S(M) is a semi-simple submodule of M which contains every semi-simple submodule.

Immediately, we have:

COROLLARY 3. The total intersection S(M) of all large submodules of M is the sum of all simple submodules of M.

It is easy to give an example for  $S(M/S(M)) \neq 0$ . But under some conditions we can get S(M/S(M)) = 0. If M = S(M), it is clear. Now assume that  $M \neq S(M)$  and we prove S(M/S(M)) = 0 if S(M) is closed in M. Let  $\bar{P}$  be a simple submodule of M = M/S(M). Since there is a 1:1 correspondence between submodules of M and submodules of M containing S(M), either P = S(M) or there are no submodules between P and S(M)

where P is an inverse image of  $\bar{P}$  by a projection map. If S(M) is not large in P, then, since  $S(P) = P \cap S(M) = S(M)$ , P is the only submodule which is large in P, contradicting to S(P) = S(M). So S(M) is large in P. Thus we have the following:

COROLLARY 4. If M is a module in which S(M) is closed, then S(M/S(M)) = 0.

## 3. Semi-simple rings.

We now turn our attention to a ring R regarded as right R-module  $R_R$ . We call a right ideal K (hence a right R-module) of R simple in case the only right ideals of R contained in K are 0 and K itself; K is semi-simple if it is the sum of simple right ideals. In this section we characterize simple right ideals and semi-simple right ideals of a ring R with the identity 1 and of the complete matrix ring  $R_n$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices over R. Using these results and applying the results obtained in Section 2, we prove that for any ring R (whether or not R contains 1)  $S(R_n) = (S(R))_n$  and also prove that if a ring R is semi-simple as a right R-module  $R_R$ , then so is its complete matrix ring  $R_n$ . First we consider a ring R with the identity 1. To avoid the complexity we employ the following notations: For each right ideal K of R, and each  $p=1,2,\cdots,n$ , write

$$K_n^{(p)} = \{A = (a_{ij}) \in R_n : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq p, a_{pj} \in K, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \}$$

and for each right ideal K of  $R_n$ , and each p, put  $K_{(p)}$  as follows:

$$K_{(p)} = \{a \in R : a = a_{p1} \text{ for some } A = (a_{ij}) \text{ in } K\}.$$

First, we prove that  $K_n^{(p)}$  and  $K_{(p)}$  are right ideals of  $R_n$  and R respectively.

LEMMA 2. For each  $p=1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $K_n^{(p)}$  and  $K_{(p)}$  are right ideals of  $R_n$  and R respectively. Furthermore  $K_n = \sum_{k=1}^n K_n^{(p)}$  and  $K \subseteq \sum_{k=1}^n (K_{(p)})_n$ .

Proof. We denote the matrix units of  $R_n$  by  $E_{ij}$ . Let  $A=(a_{ij})$  and  $B=(b_{ij})$  in  $K_n^{(p)}$  and  $C=(c_{ij})$  be an arbitrary element of  $R_n$ . Then  $A-B=(a_{ij}-b_{ij})$  and  $a_{ij}-b_{ij}=0$  if  $i\neq p$  and  $a_{pi}-b_{pj}\in K$  for each j, so that  $K_n^{(p)}$  is closed under subtraction. For each r,  $s=1,2,\cdots,n$ ,  $A(c_{rs}E_{rs})=(\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}E_{ij})(c_{rs}E_{rs})=\sum_{i}a_{ir}c_{rs}E_{is}$  is a matrix whose i-th rows are all zero if  $i\neq p$  and  $a_{pr}c_{rs}$  in K. But AC is a sum of such matrices, and therefore  $AC\in K_n^{(p)}$ . This proves  $K_n^{(p)}$  is a right ideal in  $R_n$ . Furthermore, it is easy to check  $K_n=\sum_{p=1}^n K_n^{(p)}$ . Next we will show that  $K_{(p)}$  is a right ideal in R  $(p=1,2,\cdots,n)$  and  $K\subseteq \sum_{p=1}^n (K_{(p)})_n$ . Since K is closed under addition (and subtraction), the same is true for  $K_{(p)}$ . Let a in  $K_{(p)}$  and  $r\in R$ . Then by definition of  $K_{(p)}$ , there exists a matrix  $A=\sum_{p=1}a_{ij}E_{ij}$  in K with  $a_{p1}=a$ . Since a matrix  $A(rE_{11})=\sum_{i}a_{i1}rE_{i1}$  is in K and its (p,1)-position element is  $a_{p1}r=ar$ ,  $ar\in K_{(p)}$ . Thus  $K_{(p)}$  is a right ideal in R. Let  $A=\sum_{a_{ij}}a_{ij}E_{ij}$  be any element of K. Then for any  $q=1,2,\cdots,n$ ,  $B=AE_{q1}=(\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}E_{ij})E_{q1}=\sum_{i}a_{iq}E_{i1}$  is a matrix in K whose (p,1)-position element is  $a_{pq}$ . This is true for each  $p=1,2,\cdots,n$ , and therefore  $a_{pq}\in K_{(p)}$  for each q. Since  $a_{pq}E_{pq}\in (K_{(p)})_n$  and  $A=\sum_{p=1}a_{pq}E_{pq}\in \sum_{p=1}^n (K_{(p)})_n$ , it

follows that K is contained in  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (K_{(p)})_{n}$ . This completes the proof of lemma.

THEOREM 2. If K is a simple right ideal of R, then K(p) is a simple right ideal of  $R_n$  for each  $p=1, 2, \dots, n$ , and therefore  $K_n$  is semi-simple in  $R_n$ .

Proof. Let N be a right ideal of  $R_n$  such that  $N \subseteq K_n^{(p)}$ . Then  $N_{(p)}$  is a right ideal of R satisfying  $(N_{(p)})_n^{(p)} = N$ . For, if  $A = (a_{ij}) \in N$ , then  $AE_{j1} = \sum_i a_{ij} E_{i1} = a_{pj} E_{p1} \in N$  and  $a_{pj} \in N_{(p)}$  for each j. It follows that  $A \in (N_{(p)})_n^{(p)}$  and hence  $N \subseteq (N_{(p)})_n^{(p)}$ . Suppose now that  $A \in (N_{(p)})_n^{(p)}$  and let us show that  $A \in N$ . Let  $a = a_{pj}$  be an element in the (p, j)-position of A. Then there exists a matrix  $B = (b_{ij}) \in N$  with  $b_{p1} = a$ . Since  $BE_{1j} = \sum_i b_{i1}E_{ij} = b_{p1}E_{pj} = aE_{pj} \in N$ ,  $A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{pj}E_{pj} \in N$  and so  $(N_{(p)})_n^{(p)} = N$ . Since  $N \subseteq K_n^{(p)}$ ,  $N_{(p)} \subseteq K$ , and since K is simple, either  $N_{(p)} = 0$  or  $N_{(p)} = K$ . i. e., N = 0 or  $N = K_n^{(p)}$ . This proves that  $K_n^{(p)}$  is simple and since  $K_n = \sum_{p=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^n K_n^{(p)}$  is a direct sum of simple right ideals,  $K_n$  is semi-simple.

Now the following lemma can be proved straightforwardly, so the proof will be omitted.

LEMMA 3. If  $K = \sum_{i \in I} K_i$  is a sum of right ideals of R, then  $K_n^{(p)} = (\sum_{i \in I} K_i)_n^{(p)} = \sum_{i \in I} (K_i)_n^{(p)}$ .

COROLLARY 5. If K is semi-simple in R, then so is  $K_n^{(p)}$  for each  $p=1,2,\cdots,n$ .

*Proof.* Write  $K = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i$  where  $K_i$  is simple in R. Then by Theorem 2, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $(K_i)_n^{(p)}$  is a simple right ideal of  $R_n$ . Since  $K_n^{(p)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (K_i)_n^{(p)}$  is a sum of simple right ideals,  $K_n^{(p)}$  is semi-simple for each  $p=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Since, for each right ideal K of R, we have  $K_n = \sum_{p=1}^n K_n^{(p)}$ , we obtain the following corollary:

COROLLARY 6. If K is semi-simple in R, then so is  $K_n$  in  $R_{n*}$ 

THEOREM 3. If R is a ring with the identity 1, then  $(S(R))_n$  is semi-simple in  $R_n$ .

Proof. Write  $S(R) = \sum_{i \in I} K_i$  where  $K_i$  are simple right ideals of R. Then  $(S(R))_n^{(p)} = \sum_{i \in I} (K_i)_n^{(p)}$  and each  $(K_i)_n^{(p)}$  is simple by Theorem 2, so that  $(S(R))_n^{(p)}$  is semi-simple. But  $(S(R))_n = \sum_{i \in I} (S(R))_n^{(p)}$  is a sum of semi-simple right ideals in  $R_n$ , and therefore  $(S(R))_n$  is semi-simple.

We know that S(M) is the largest semi-simple submodule of M by Corollary 2. Therefore  $(S(R))_n$  is contained in  $S(R_n)$  by the above result. To prove the converse inclusion, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 4. If K is a simple (resp. large) right ideal of  $R_n$ , then there exists a semi-simple (resp. large) right ideal K of R such that  $K \subseteq K_n$ .

*Proof.* Consider a right ideal  $K_{(p)} = \{a \in R : a = a_{p1} \text{ for some } A = (a_{ij}) \in K\}$  and let  $K = a_{p1}$ 

Then by Lemma 2, K is a right ideal of R such that  $K \subseteq K_n$ . First assume that K is simple and we show that  $K_{(p)}$  is simple in R. For this purpose, let  $N_{(p)}$  be a right ideal of R such that  $N_{(p)} \subseteq K_{(p)}$  and let  $N = (N_{(p)})_n^{(p)} + \sum_{i=p}^n R_n^{(i)}$ , that is, any matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  in N is of the form: for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n, a_{pj} \in N_{(p)}$  and if  $i \neq p$ , then  $a_{ij}$  is an arbitary element of R. We note that  $N \cap K = \{A \in K : A = (a_{ij}), a_{pj} \in N_{(p)} \text{ for each } j\}$ . Since K is simple, it follows that  $N \cap K$  is K or 0 and so  $N_{(p)} = K_{(p)}$  or  $N_{(p)} = 0$ , that is,  $K_{(p)}$  is simple for each  $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus K is a semi-simple right ideal of R such that  $K \subseteq K_n$ . If K is large in  $R_n$ , then K is also large in R since  $K \subseteq K_n$ . For, if P is a right ideal of R such that  $K \cap P = 0$ , then  $(K \cap P)_n = K_n \cap P_n = 0$  so that  $P_n = 0$  and P = 0. This completes the proof of lemma.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4 and Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 7. If R is a ring with the identity 1, then  $S(R_n) = (S(R))_n$ .

Now we prove the following theorem which is a generalization of the above result.

TEHOREM 4. For any ring R,  $S(R_n) = (S(R))_n$ .

*Proof.* If  $1 \in R$ , then it is through. If  $1 \notin R$ , then we imbed R into the ring R' with the identity 1 as an ideal and by the case already proved we have  $S(R'_n) = (S(R'))_n$ . Theorem 1 then shows that  $S(R) = S(R') \cap R$ . Since  $R_n$  is an ideal in  $R'_n$ , we can again apply Theorem 1 and obtain

$$S(R_n) = R_n \cap S(R'_n) = R_n \cap (S(R'))_n = (R \cap S(R'))_n = (S(R))_n$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

By the above theorem, we can prove the following theorem which is the main result of this section.

THEOREM 5. If a ring R is semi-simple as a right R-module  $R_R$ , then so is  $R_{n-1}$ 

*Proof.* Theorem 4 ensures that  $S(R_n) = (S(R))_n = R_n$  if R is semi-simple. Therefore  $R_n$  is also semi-simple by Corollary 2.

### References

- [1] C. Faith, Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings, Lecture Notes in Higher Mathematics, 49, Springer, 1967.
- [2] Neal H. McCoy, The theory of rings, Macmillan, 1964.

Korean Military Academy