

ON C-CONFORMAL KILLING TENSOR IN A COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLD

By Yong Bai Baik and Tae Yeong Seo

0. Introduction.

It is well known that a skew symmetric tensor u_{bc} is called a conformal Killing tensor if it satisfies the following equation:

$$(0.1) \quad \nabla_a u_{bc} + \nabla_b u_{ac} = 2\rho_c g_{ab}$$

where ρ_c is a certain vector field. It is a generalization of conformal Killing vector satisfying the Killing-Yano's equation.

On the other hand, Tachibana [2] has defined a conformal Killing tensor in another way. By the definition, a skew symmetric tensor field u_{bc} called a conformal Killing tensor if there exists a vector field p^a satisfying

$$(0.2) \quad \nabla_a u_{bc} + \nabla_b u_{ac} = 2p_c g_{ab} - p_a g_{bc} - p_b g_{ac}$$

Afterward, Yamaguchi [4] has defined a product conformal Killing tensor in a locally product Riemannian manifold and obtained some results. And Chen [1] has defined a F-conformal Killing tensor in Kählerian space and generalized some results.

In this paper we shall define a C-conformal Killing tensor in a cosymplectic manifold and we obtain analogues results to a conformal Killing tensor.

1. Preliminaries.

Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold with an almost contact metric structure $(\phi_b^a, \xi^a, \eta_b, g_{ab})$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \phi_c^a \phi_b^c = -\delta_b^a + \xi^a \eta_b$$

$$(1.2) \quad \phi_b^a \xi^b = 0, \quad \phi_b^a \eta_a = 0, \quad \xi^a \eta_a = 1$$

$$(1.3) \quad g_{ab} \xi^b = \eta_a$$

$$(1.4) \quad g_{cd} \phi_a^c \phi_b^d = g_{ab} - \eta_a \eta_b$$

If the almost contact structure is normal, then the manifold M is called a normal contact manifold or a Sasakian manifold. An almost contact metric structure is

said to be cosymplectic if it is normal and 2-form $\phi_{ab} = \phi_a^c g_{cb}$ and 1-form η_b are both closed. It is known that the cosymplectic is characterized by

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_c \phi_b^a = 0, \quad \nabla_c \eta_b = 0.$$

Let R_{abcd} and R_{ab} be the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor respectively. In a cosymplectic manifold, by virtue of (1.5) we have

$$(1.6) \quad R_{abcd} \eta^d = 0, \quad R_{ad} \eta^d = 0$$

If we put

$$F_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} R_{abcd} \phi^{cd}$$

then making use of the Ricci identity for ϕ_{cd} , we have

$$R_{abc}^t \phi_{td} + R_{abd}^t \phi_{ct} = 0$$

Contracting g^{bc} to the last equation, we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad R_a^t \phi_{tb} = F_{ab}$$

from which

$$(1.8) \quad F_a^t \phi_{tb} = -R_{ab}$$

2. C-conformal Killing tensor.

In this section we shall define a C-conformal Killing tensor in a cosymplectic manifold M . For a skew symmetric tensor field u_{cd} if there exists a vector field p^a such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_b u_{cd} + \nabla_c u_{bd} = & 2p_d g_{bc} - p_b g_{cd} - p_c g_{bd} - 2p_d \eta_b \eta_c + p_b \eta_c \eta_d \\ & + p_c \eta_b \eta_d + 3(\bar{p}_b \phi_{cd} + \bar{p}_c \phi_{bd}) \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\bar{p}_c = \phi_c^t p_t$, then we call u_{cd} a C-conformal Killing tensor and p^a the associated vector of u_{cd} . The associated vector of u_{cd} is given by

$$(2.2) \quad p_d = \frac{\nabla^c u_{cd}}{2(n+1)} + \frac{(\nabla^b u_{bc}) \eta^c \eta_d}{2n(n+1)}$$

and if p_d vanishes identically then u_{cd} is a Killing tensor.

By the definition and (1.4), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{p}_c p^c = 0, \quad \bar{p}_c \eta^c = 0$$

$$(2.4) \quad p_c p^c - \bar{p}_c \bar{p}^c = \lambda^2$$

where $\lambda = p_c \eta^c$ is a scalar function.

Since we obtain the following formula for any skew symmetric tensor T_{ab} ,

$$\nabla^a \nabla^b T_{ab} = 0,$$

from (2.2) we get

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_b \dot{p}_c) \eta^b \eta^c = (n+1) \nabla^c \dot{p}_c = 0.$$

Next, we shall seek for differential equations of second order satisfied by u_{cd} . If we put

$$(2.6) \quad G_{ab} = g_{ab} - \eta_a \eta_b,$$

then the equation (2.1) becomes

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_b u_{cd} + \nabla_c u_{bd} = 2\dot{p}_d G_{bc} - \dot{p}_b G_{cd} - \dot{p}_c G_{bd} + 3(\bar{p}_b \phi_{cd} + \bar{p}_c \phi_{bd})$$

Operating ∇_a to the last equation, we get

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_a \nabla_b u_{cd} + \nabla_a \nabla_c u_{bd} = 2\dot{p}_{ad} G_{bc} - \dot{p}_{ab} G_{cd} - \dot{p}_{ac} G_{bd} + 3(\bar{p}_{ab} \phi_{cd} + \bar{p}_{ac} \phi_{bd})$$

where we put

$$\dot{p}_{ab} = \nabla_a \dot{p}_b, \quad \bar{p}_{ab} = \nabla_a \bar{p}_b = \dot{p}_{ac} \phi_b^c.$$

Changing the indices a, b, c cyclically, adding these two equations and subtracting (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\nabla_a \nabla_b u_{cd} - 2R_{cba}{}^t u_{dt} - R_{bad}{}^t u_{ct} - R_{acd}{}^t u_{bt} - R_{bcd}{}^t u_{at} \\ & = 2(\dot{p}_{ad} G_{bc} + \dot{p}_{bd} G_{ca} - \dot{p}_{cd} G_{ab}) - (\dot{p}_{ab} + \dot{p}_{ba}) G_{dc} - (\dot{p}_{ac} - \dot{p}_{ca}) G_{db} \\ & \quad - (\dot{p}_{bc} - \dot{p}_{cb}) G_{da} + 3(\bar{p}_{ab} + \bar{p}_{ba}) \phi_{cd} + 3(\bar{p}_{ac} - \bar{p}_{ca}) \phi_{bd} + 3(\bar{p}_{bc} - \bar{p}_{cb}) \phi_{ad} \end{aligned}$$

Again, changing the indices b, c, d cyclically and adding these three equations, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\nabla_a \nabla_b u_{cd} - R_{cba}{}^t u_{dt} - R_{bda}{}^t u_{ct} - R_{dca}{}^t u_{bt} \\ & = (\dot{p}_{bd} - \dot{p}_{db}) G_{ca} + (\dot{p}_{cb} - \dot{p}_{bc}) G_{ad} + (\dot{p}_{cd} - \dot{p}_{dc}) G_{ab} + (\dot{p}_{db} - \dot{p}_{bd}) G_{ba} \\ & \quad - 2\dot{p}_{ac} G_{bd} + 2\dot{p}_{ad} G_{bc} + (\bar{p}_{bc} - \bar{p}_{cb}) \phi_{ad} + (\bar{p}_{cd} - \bar{p}_{dc}) \phi_{ab} + (\bar{p}_{db} - \bar{p}_{bd}) \phi_{ac} \\ & \quad + 2(\bar{p}_{da} - \bar{p}_{ad}) \phi_{bc} + 2(\bar{p}_{ac} - \bar{p}_{ca}) \phi_{bd} + 2(\bar{p}_{ab} + \bar{p}_{ba}) \phi_{cd}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a \nabla_b u_{cd} + \nabla_a \nabla_c u_{db} + \nabla_a \nabla_d u_{bc} & = 3(\nabla_a \nabla_b u_{cd} + \dot{p}_{ac} G_{bd} - \dot{p}_{ad} G_{bc} + \bar{p}_{ad} \phi_{bd} \\ & \quad - \bar{p}_{ac} \phi_{bd} + 2\bar{p}_{ad} \phi_{dc}). \end{aligned}$$

3. Integral formula.

In this section we shall prove some integral formula about a tensor field. Let

u_{cd} be a C-conformal Killing tensor. Then we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \nabla^a \nabla_a u_{cd} - R_c^a u_{da} - R_{cd}^a{}^b u_{ab} \\ &= -(2n-3)\dot{p}_{cd} - \dot{p}_{dc} - 3\bar{p}_a^a \phi_{cd}^a + 2\dot{p}_{ad} \eta^a \eta_c + (\dot{p}_{ca} - \dot{p}_{ac}) \eta^a \eta_d \\ & \quad - 3(\bar{p}_{ac} - \bar{p}_{ca}) \phi_d^a \end{aligned}$$

by transvecting (2.9) with g^{ab} .

Now, we shall show that a skew symmetric tensor u_{cd} satisfying (3.1) is a C-conformal Killing tensor provided that M is compact.

If we put

$$(3.2) \quad U_{bcd} = \nabla_b u_{cd} + \nabla_c u_{bd} - 2\dot{p}_d G_{bc} + \dot{p}_b G_{dc} + \dot{p}_c G_{db} - 3(\bar{p}_b \phi_{cd} + \bar{p}_c \phi_{bd})$$

for a skew symmetric tensor u_{cd} , where \dot{p}_c and \bar{p}_b are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_c &= \frac{\nabla^b u_{bc}}{2(n+1)} + \frac{(\nabla^a u_{ab}) \eta^b \eta_c}{2n(n+1)} \\ \bar{p}_b &= \frac{1}{6(n+1)} (\nabla_b u_{cd} + \nabla_c u_{bd}) \phi^{cd} \end{aligned}$$

Simple computations give us the following

$$(3.3) \quad U_{bcd} U^{bcd} = 2U_{bcd} \nabla^b u^{cd}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u^{cd} U_{bcd} &= u^{cd} (\nabla^a \nabla_a u_{cd} - R_c^a u_{da} - R_{cd}^a{}^b u_{ab} + (2n-3)\dot{p}_{cd} + \dot{p}_{dc} - 2\dot{p}_{ad} \eta^a \eta_c \\ & \quad - (\dot{p}_{ca} - \dot{p}_{ac}) \eta^a \eta_d - 3\bar{p}_a^a \phi_{cd}^a + 3(\bar{p}_{ac} - \bar{p}_{ca}) \phi_d^a). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into

$$\nabla^b (U_{bcd} u^{cd}) = \nabla^b U_{bcd} u^{cd} + U_{bcd} \nabla^b u^{cd}.$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 3.1. *In a compact cosymplectic manifold M , the following integral formula is valid for any skew symmetric tensor u_{cd}*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \left[u^{cd} (\nabla^a \nabla_a u_{cd} - R_c^a u_{da} - R_{cd}^a{}^b u_{ab} + (2n-3)\dot{p}_{cd} + \dot{p}_{dc} - 2\dot{p}_{ad} \eta^a \eta_c \right. \\ \left. - (\dot{p}_{ca} - \dot{p}_{ac}) \eta^a \eta_d - 3\bar{p}_a^a \phi_{cd}^a + 3(\bar{p}_{ac} - \bar{p}_{ca}) \phi_d^a + \frac{1}{2} U_{bcd} U^{bcd} \right] d\sigma = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma$ means the volume element of M , \dot{p}_{cd} and \bar{p}_{cd} are given by

$$\dot{p}_{cd} = \frac{\nabla_c \nabla^b u_{bd}}{2(n+1)} + \frac{(\nabla_c \nabla^b u_{ba}) \eta^a \eta_d}{2n(n+1)}$$

$$\bar{p}_{cd} = \frac{1}{6(n+1)} (\nabla_c \nabla_d u_{ab} + \nabla_c \nabla_a u_{db}) \phi^{ab}.$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 3.2. *In a compact cosymplectic manifold M , a necessary and sufficient condition for any skew symmetric u_{cd} to be a C-conformal Killing tensor is (3.1).*

4. A manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature.

It has been shown that in a Sasakian manifold or a cosymplectic manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature k , the curvature tensor R_{abcd} has the form

$$(4.1) \quad R_{abcd} = a(g_{ad}g_{bc} - g_{ac}g_{bd}) + b(\phi_{ad}\phi_{bc} - \phi_{ac}\phi_{bd} - 2\phi_{ab}\phi_{cd} - g_{ad}\eta_b\eta_c - g_{bc}\eta_a\eta_d + g_{ac}\eta_b\eta_d + g_{bd}\eta_a\eta_c)$$

where $a = (k+3)/4$ and $b = (k-1)/4$ in Sasakian manifold, $a = b = k/4$ in cosymplectic manifold. This formula was shown for the Sasakian case by Ogiue and for the cosymplectic case by Blair.

Now we shall show the following

THEOREM 4.1. *In a cosymplectic manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature, the covariant derivative $\nabla_c v_d$ of any Killing vector v_d is a C-conformal Killing tensor.*

PROOF. Let v_d be a Killing vector. Then as is well known we have

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla_b \nabla_c v_d + R_{abcd} v^a = 0.$$

Substituting (3.1) into the last equation, we get

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_b \nabla_c v_d = -c(v_d g_{bc} - v_c g_{bd} - \bar{v}_d \phi_{bc} - \bar{v}_c \phi_{bd} + 2\bar{v}_b \phi_{cd} - v_d \eta_b \eta_c - \lambda g_{bc} \eta_d + v_c \eta_b \eta_d + \lambda g_{bd} \eta_c),$$

where $\bar{v}_d = \phi_d^a v_a$ and $\lambda = v^a \eta_a$.

If we put

$$p_d = -c(v_d - \lambda \eta_d), \quad \bar{p}_d = \phi_d^a p_a = -c \bar{v}_d,$$

then we obtain

$$\nabla_b \nabla_c v_d = p_d g_{bc} - p_c g_{bd} - \bar{p}_c \phi_{bd} - \bar{p}_b \phi_{cd} + 2\bar{p}_b \phi_{cd} - p_d \eta_b \eta_c - p_c \eta_b \eta_d.$$

Changing the indices b and c , adding these two equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_c \nabla_b v_d + \nabla_b \nabla_c v_d = & (2p_d g_{bc} - p_b g_{cd} - p_c g_{bd}) - (2p_d \eta_b \eta_c \\ & - p_b \eta_c \eta_d - p_c \eta_b \eta_d + 3(\bar{p}_b \phi_{cd} + \bar{p}_c \phi_{bd})) \end{aligned}$$

This equation shows that $\nabla_c v_d$ is a C-conformal Killing tensor.

We know the converse of Theorem 3.1 is valid as follows.

THEOREM 4.2. *In a cosymplectic manifold M , if Lie algebra of all Killing vectors v_d is transitive and the covariant derivative $\nabla_c v_d$ of any Killing vector v_d is a C-conformal Killing tensor, then M is a manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature.*

PROOF. Taking $u_{cd} = \nabla_c u_d$ in (2.7) and by making use of (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & -(R_{abcd} + R_{acbd})u^a \\ & = (2p_d G_{bc} - p_b G_{cd} - p_c G_{bd}) + 3(p_b \phi_{cd} + p_c \phi_{bd}) \end{aligned}$$

Transvecting (4.4) with g^{bc} and ϕ^{cd} respectively, we have

$$(4.5) \quad -R_{ad}u^a = 2(n+1)p_d$$

$$(4.6) \quad -F_{ab}u^a = 2(n+1)\bar{p}_b$$

by virtue of (1.5).

Substituting (4.5) and (4.6) into (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{abcd} + R_{acbd})u^a = & \frac{1}{2(n+1)}(2R_{ad}G_{bc} - R_{ab}G_{cd} - R_{ac}G_{bd} \\ & + 3(F_{ab}\phi_{cd} + F_{ac}\phi_{bd})u^a) \end{aligned}$$

Since the last equation holds for any vector u^a , we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad R_{abcd} + R_{acbd} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)}(2R_{ad}G_{bc} - R_{ab}G_{cd} - R_{ac}G_{bd} + 3(F_{ab}\phi_{cd} + F_{ac}\phi_{bd}))$$

Transvecting (4.7) with g^{ad} and taking account of (1.8), we have

$$(4.8) \quad R_{bc} = \frac{1}{2n}RG_{bc}$$

Substituting the last equation into (4.7), we have

$$(4.9) \quad R_{abcd} + R_{acbd} = \frac{R}{2n(n+1)}(2G_{ad}G_{bc} - G_{ab}G_{cd} - G_{ac}G_{bd} + 3(\phi_{ab}\phi_{cd} + \phi_{ac}\phi_{bd}))$$

Interchanging indices b, c, d in (4.9) as $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow b$ and then subtracting what follows from (4.9), we have

$$R_{acbd} = \frac{R}{2n(n+1)} (G_{ad}G_{bc} - G_{ab}G_{cd} + \phi_{ab}\phi_{cd} - \phi_{ac}\phi_{bd} - 2\phi_{ac}\phi_{bd})$$

taking account of $G_{bc} = g_{bc} - \eta_b\eta_c$, the last equation becomes

$$(4.10) \quad R_{acbd} = \frac{R}{2n(n+1)} (g_{ad}g_{bc} - g_{ab}g_{cd} + \phi_{ab}\phi_{cd} - \phi_{ac}\phi_{bd} - 2\phi_{ac}\phi_{bd} - g_{ad}\eta_b\eta_c - g_{bc}\eta_a\eta_d + g_{ab}\eta_c\eta_d + g_{cd}\eta_a\eta_b)$$

Thus the proof is complete.

Let us assume that $c \neq 0$. If we put

$$(4.11) \quad q_{cd} = u_{cd} + \frac{1}{c} \nabla_c p_d$$

then by virtue of (4.3) and (2.1), it follows that

$$\nabla_b q_{cd} + \nabla_c q_{bd} = 0,$$

which means q_{cd} is a Killing tensor. Consequently, a C-conformal tensor u_{cd} is decomposed in the form

$$(4.12) \quad u_{cd} = q_{cd} + p_{cd}$$

where q_{cd} is a Killing tensor and $p_{cd} = -\frac{1}{a} \nabla_c p_d$ is a closed C-conformal Killing tensor. Thus we have

THEOREM 4.3. *In a cosymplectic manifold of constant C-holomorphic sectional curvature $a = R/2n(n+1) \neq 0$, a C-conformal Killing tensor u_{cd} is decomposed in the form*

$$u_{cd} = q_{cd} + p_{cd}$$

where q_{cd} is a Killing tensor and p_{cd} is a closed C-conformal Killing tensor. In this case p_{cd} is the form

$$p_{cd} = -\frac{1}{a} \nabla_c p_d$$

where p_d is the associated vector of u_{cd} . Conversely if q_{cd} is a Killing tensor and p_c is a Killing vector, then u_{cd} given by (4.12) is a C-conformal Killing tensor.

Pusan University
Pusan, Korea

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Chen, C.H., *On a Riemannian manifold admitting Killing vectors whose covariant*

- derivatives are conformal Killing tensors*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 23(1971) 168-171.
- [2] S. Tachibana, *On conformal Killing tensor in a Riemannian space*, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 21(1969), 56-64.
- [3] S. Yamaguchi, *On Kählerian spaces admitting a certain skew symmetric tensor field*.
- [4] S. Yamaguchi, *On a Product-conformal Killing tensor in locally product Riemannian spaces*, Tensor, N.S. Vol. 21(1970) 75-82.
- [5] K. Yano, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon Press, (1965).
-