

## A NOTE ON THE GEOMETRIC MEANS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF TWO COMPLEX VARIABLES

By A. K. Agarwal

1. Let

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty} a_{k_1, k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2},$$

be an entire function of two complex variables  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , holomorphic for  $|z_t| \leq r_t$ ,  $t=1, 2$ . We know that the maximum modulus of  $f(z_1, z_2)$  for  $|z_t| \leq r_t$  ( $t=1, 2$ ) is denoted as

$$M(r_1, r_2) = \max_{|z_t| \leq r_t} |f(z_1, z_2)|, \quad t=1, 2.$$

The finite order  $\rho$  of an entire function  $f(z_1, z_2)$  is denoted as ([1], p. 219)

$$\lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M(r_1, r_2)}{\log(r_1 r_2)} = \rho.$$

The geometric means  $G(r_1, r_2)$  and  $g_k(r_1, r_2)$  of the function  $|f(z_1, z_2)|$  for  $|z_t| \leq r_t$  ( $t=1, 2$ ) have been defined as ([2])

$$(1.1) \quad G(r_1, r_2) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})| d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right\}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad g_k(r_1, r_2) = \exp \left\{ \frac{(k+1)^2}{(r_1 r_2)^{k+1}} \int_0^{r_1} \int_0^{r_2} (x_1 x_2)^k \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right\},$$

where  $0 < k < \infty$ .

In this note I have investigated a few properties of the above defined geometric means.

2. Let  $\varphi(r_1, r_2)$  be a "slowly changing" function; that is  $\varphi(r_1, r_2) > 0$  and continuous for  $r_1 > r_1^0$ ,  $r_2 > r_2^0$  and for every constants  $m, n > 0$ ,  $\varphi(mr_1, nr_2) \sim \varphi(r_1, r_2)$  as  $r_1$  or  $r_2$  or  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  tend to infinity.

Also let us set

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log G(r_1, r_2)}{\inf (r_1 r_2)^\rho \varphi(r_1, r_2)} = \frac{c}{d} \quad (0 < d \leq c < \infty)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log g_k(r_1, r_2)}{\inf (r_1 r_2)^p \varphi(r_1, r_2)} = \frac{p}{q} \quad (0 < q \leq p < \infty).$$

In my earlier paper ([2]), I have proved the following result:

If  $f(z_1, z_2)$  be an entire function of finite nonzero order  $\rho$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ \frac{k+1}{k+\rho+1} \right\}^2 d \leq q \leq p \leq \left\{ \frac{k+1}{k+\rho+1} \right\}^2 c.$$

Now I intend to prove the following theorems:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f(z_1, z_2)$  be an entire function of order  $\rho$ , then

$$\left\{ \frac{k+1}{k+\rho+1} \right\}^2 \frac{d}{c} \leq \lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_k(r_1, r_2)}{\log G(r_1, r_2)} \leq \left\{ \frac{k+1}{k+\rho+1} \right\}^2 \frac{c}{d}.$$

**PROOF.** From (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$\frac{q-\varepsilon}{c+\varepsilon} < \frac{\log g_k(r_1, r_2)}{\log G(r_1, r_2)} < \frac{p+\varepsilon}{d-\varepsilon}.$$

Taking limits and using (2.3), the result follows.

**COROLLARY.** If  $c=d$ , then

$$(k+1)^2 \log G(r_1, r_2) \sim (k+\rho+1)^2 \log g_k(r_1, r_2).$$

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f(z_1, z_2)$  be an entire function and if  $0 < r_1 < R_1$ ,  $0 < r_2 < R_2$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad \{(R_1 R_2)^{k+1} - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1}\} \log G(r_1, r_2) \leq \{(R_1 R_2)^{k+1} \log g_k(R_1, R_2) - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1} \log g_k(r_1, r_2)\} \leq \{(R_1 R_2)^{k+1} - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1}\} \log G(R_1, R_2)$$

**PROOF.** Since  $G(r_1, r_2)$  is an increasing function of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , therefore from (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_1 R_2)^{k+1} \log g_k(R_1, R_2) - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1} \log g_k(r_1, r_2) \\ &= (k+1)^2 \left\{ \int_0^{R_1} \int_0^{R_2} - \int_0^{r_1} \int_0^{r_2} \right\} (x_1 x_2)^k \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &\leq \{(R_1 R_2)^{k+1} - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1}\} \log G(R_1, R_2). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_1 R_2)^{k+1} \log g_k(R_1, R_2) - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1} \log g_k(r_1, r_2) \\ &= (k+1)^2 \left\{ \int_0^{R_1} \int_0^{R_2} - \int_0^{r_1} \int_0^{r_2} \right\} (x_1 x_2)^k \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \{(R_1 R_2)^{k+1} - (r_1 r_2)^{k+1}\} \log G(r_1, r_2).$$

Hence the result follows.

COROLLARY. if  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ) is a constant, then

$$\lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\{g_k(\beta r_1, \beta r_2)\}^{\beta^{2(k+1)}}}{g_k(r_1, r_2)} \right] = 0.$$

Putting  $r_1 = \beta r_1$ ,  $r_2 = \beta r_2$  and  $R_1 = r_1$ ,  $R_2 = r_2$  in (2.4) and taking the limit the result follows.

Grambling College  
Grambling, La. 71245

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.K. Bose and D. Sharma, *Integral functions of two complex variables*, *Compo. Math.*, vol. 15, 1963, pp. 210—226.
- [2] A.K. Agarwal, *On the geometric means of entire functions of two complex variables*, to appear in *Transactions of the American Math. Soc.*, Oct., 1970 issue.