

Bull. Korean Math. Soc.
Vol. 9 (1972), pp. 73-74

A NOTE ON A MINIMAL HAUSDORFF SPACE

LEE, IL HAE

It is well known that the property of being minimal Hausdorff is not closed-hereditary. In [1], if a subspace of a minimal Hausdorff space is closed and open, then it is minimal Hausdorff.

The purpose of this note is to investigate a hereditary subset of a minimal Hausdorff space.

R. F. Dickman, Jr. and A. Zame introduced a functionally compact space and an r -closed subset and they have shown that the r -closed is a hereditary property in a functionally compact space.

All of the definitions used but not given in this paper may be found in [2] and [3].

DEFINITION 1. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *minimal Hausdorff* if \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff and there exists no Hausdorff topology strictly weaker than \mathcal{T} .

DEFINITION 2. A closed subset C of a space X is said to be *r -closed* if whenever B is closed in C , $x \in B$ there exist disjoint open sets in X containing x and B respectively.

THEOREM. *An r -closed subset C of a minimal Hausdorff space X is minimal Hausdorff.*

Proof. A Hausdorff space X is minimal if and only if for every point $x \in X$ and every open filter-base \mathcal{U} on X such that $\{x\}$ is the intersection of the closures of the elements of \mathcal{U} , then \mathcal{U} is a base for the neighborhoods of x . [3].

Let \mathcal{U} be an open filter-base on C such that

$$\bigcap \{cl_C U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \{x\},$$

and \mathcal{V} be the open filter-base on X consisting of all open sets V of X such that $V \cap C \in \mathcal{U}$.

Clearly

$$\bigcap \{\text{cl}_X V : V \in \mathcal{O}\} \ni x.$$

For any element y distinct from x , there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $y \notin \text{cl}_C U$. Since the set C is an r -closed set, there exist disjoint open sets O_1, O_2 in X containing y and $\text{cl}_C U$ respectively.

Let $V \cap C = U$ and $V_1 = O_2 \cap V$, then $V_1 \in \mathcal{O}$ since $V_1 \cap C = U$. On the other hand $C_1 \cap V_1 = \phi$. Therefore

$$y \notin \bigcap \{\text{cl}_X V : V \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

This shows that

$$\{x\} = \bigcap \{\text{cl}_X V : V \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{O} is a base for the neighborhoods of $\{x\}$. Of course this implies that \mathcal{U} is a base for the neighborhoods $\{x\}$ relative to C . This completes the proof.

We now investigate some propositions derived from the above theorem. R. F. Dickman, Jr. and A. Zame have shown that a functionally compact space is minimal Hausdorff, but the converse is not true.

A space X is *Urysohn* if any two distinct points in X can be separated by closed neighborhoods.

COROLLARY. *An r -closed subset of a functionally compact space is functionally compact.*

Proof. Every Urysohn minimal Hausdorff space is compact [2]. An r -closed subset is a Urysohn subspace. Therefore by the above theorem an r -closed subset of a functionally compact space is compact. The fact that compactness implies functionally compactness is found in [3], [4].

References

- [1] M. P. Berri, *Minimal Topological Spaces*, Trans. A. M. S. **108** (1963) 97—105.
- [2] Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [3] R. F. Dickman, Jr., and A. Zame, *Functionally compact spaces*, Pacific J. of Math. **31** (1969) 303—311.
- [4] G. Viglino, *C-compact Spaces*, Duke M. J. **36** (1969) 761—764.

Seoul National University