J. Korean Math. Soc. Vol. 9, No. 2, 1972

# INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF A MANIFOLD WITH QUASI-NORMAL $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -STRUCTURE

By JAE KYU LIM AND U-HANG KI

#### Introduction

Yano and Okumura [3] have recently introduced the so-called  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure in an even-dimensional manifold and studied invariant submanifolds of a manifold with normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure [4], [5].

Kubo [1] also studied invariant submanifolds of codimension 2 of a manifold with  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ —structure.

The purpose of the present paper is to study invariant submanifolds of a manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure.

We state in §1 some known results for an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure and recall invariant submanifolds of a manifold with such structure.

In §2 and 3, we study odd-dimensional invariant submanifolds of a manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure.

### § 1. Invariant submanifolds of a manifold with $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure.

Let M be a differentiable manifold with an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure, that is, a differentiable manifold endowed with a tensor field f of type (1, 1), a Riemannian metric g, two 1-forms u and v and a function  $\lambda$  satisfying

$$f_{j}^{t}f_{t}^{h} = -\delta_{j}^{h} + u_{j}u^{h} + v_{j}v^{h},$$

$$f_{j}^{s}f_{i}^{t}g_{st} = g_{ji} - u_{j}u_{i} - v_{j}v_{i},$$

$$u_{t}f_{j}^{t} = \lambda v_{j}, \quad f_{i}^{h}u^{t} = -\lambda v^{h},$$

$$v_{t}f_{j}^{t} = -\lambda u_{j}, \quad f_{i}^{h}v^{t} = \lambda u^{h},$$

$$u_{i}u^{t} = v_{i}v^{t} = 1 - \lambda^{2}, \quad u_{i}v^{t} = 0,$$

 $f_i{}^h$ ,  $g_{ji}$ ,  $u_i$ ,  $v_i$  and  $\lambda$  being respectively components of f, g, u, v and  $\lambda$  with respect to a local coordinate system,  $u^h$  and  $v^h$  being defined by

$$u_i = g_{ih}u^h$$
 and  $v_i = g_{ih}v^h$ 

respectively, where here and in the sequel the indices  $h, i, j, \cdots$  run over the range  $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2m\}$ . It is known that such a manifold is even-dimensional.

If we put  $f_{ji}=f_{j}^{t}g_{ti}$ , we can easily see that  $f_{ji}$  is skew-symmetric. We put

$$(1.2) S_{ji}^{h} = N_{ji}^{h} + (\nabla_{j}u_{i} - \nabla_{i}u_{j})u^{h} + (\nabla_{j}v_{i} - \nabla_{i}v_{j})v^{h},$$

 $N_{ji}^{h}$  denoting the Nijenhuis tensor formed with  $f_{i}^{h}$  and  $\nabla_{i}$  the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to Christoffel symbols  $\{j^{h}_{i}\}$  formed with  $g_{ji}$ . If  $S_{ji}^{h}$  vanish-

Received by the editors Oct. 23, 1972.

es, we say that the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure is normal.

The  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure is said to be quasi-normal if it satisfies

$$(1.3) T_{jih} = S_{jih} - (f_j^t f_{tih} - f_i^t f_{tjh}) = 0,$$

where  $S_{jih}=g_{th}S_{ji}^{t}$ ,  $f_{jih}=\nabla_{i}f_{ih}+\nabla_{i}f_{hj}+\nabla_{h}f_{ji}$ .

Yano and one of the present authors proved the following two theorems [2]:

THEOREM 1.1. In a manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure, we have

$$(1.4) f_i^{\dagger} \nabla_h f_{ti} - f_i^{\dagger} \nabla_h f_{tj} = u_j \nabla_i u_h - u_i \nabla_j u_h + v_j \nabla_i v_h - v_i \nabla_j v_h.$$

THEOREM 1.2. Let M be a complete manifold with normal (or quasi-normal)  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure satisfying

$$\nabla_i v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2c f_{ji}$$

or equivalently

$$\nabla_j u_i + \nabla_i u_j = -2c\lambda g_{ji}$$

c being non-zero constant. If the function  $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$  does not vanish almost everywhere-and dim M>2, then M is isometric with an even-dimensional sphere.

We consider a submanifold N of M represented by  $x^h = x^h(y^a)$  and put  $B_b{}^h = \partial_b x^h$ ,  $\partial_b = \partial/\partial y^b$ , where here and throughout the paper the indices a, b, c, d, e run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , n < 2m.

The induced Riemannian metric is given by

$$(1.5) g_{cb} = g_{ii}B_c^{\ i}B_b^{\ i}.$$

We denote by  $C_{x^h}$  2m-n mutually orthogonal unit normals to N. Then equations of Gauss and those of Weingarten are respectively

$$\nabla_{c}B_{h}{}^{h} = \sum_{r}h_{ch}{}_{r}C_{r}{}^{h}$$

and

$$\nabla_{c}C_{x}^{h} = -h_{c}^{a}{}_{x}B_{a}^{h} + \sum_{\nu}I_{cx\nu}C_{\nu}^{h},$$

where

$$(1.8) V_c B_b{}^h = \partial_c B_b{}^h + \{i^h{}_i\} B_c{}^i B_b{}^i - \{c^a{}_b\} B_a{}^h$$

is the Van der Waerden-Borotolotti covariant differentiation of  $B_b{}^h$ ,  $\{c^a{}_b\}$  being Christoffel symbols formed with  $g_{cb}$ ,

$$(1.9) V_c C_x^h = \partial_c C_x^h + \{i_i^h\} B_c^j C_x^i,$$

 $h_{cbx}$  components of second fundamental tensors with respect to normals  $C_x^h$ ,  $h_c^a{}_x = h_{cbx}g^{ba}$  and  $l_{cxy}$  components of the third fundamental tensor with respect to normals  $C_x^h$ .

We assume that the submanifold N of M is f-invariant, that is, the transform of a vector tangent to N by the linear transformation f is always tangent to N:

$$(1.10) f_i{}^h B_b{}^i = f_b{}^a B_a{}^h,$$

 $f_b^a$  being a tensor field of type (1, 1) of N. This shows that

$$(1.11) f_{ih}B_h{}^iC_h{}^h=0.$$

Thus, we put

$$(1.12) f_i{}^h C_r{}^i = \sum_{\nu} r_{\nu\nu} C_{\nu}{}^h,$$

from which,

$$(1.13) r_{xy} = -r_{yx}.$$

We put

$$(1.14) u^h = B_a{}^h u^a + \sum_x \alpha_x C_x{}^h,$$

$$(1.15) v^h = B_a^h v^a + \sum_x \beta_x C_x^h,$$

 $u^a$  and  $v^a$  being vector fields of N,  $\alpha_x$  and  $\beta_x$  being functions of N.

From (1.1), (1.10), (1.12), (1.14) and (1.15), we find (1.16) 
$$f_b{}^c f_c{}^a = -\hat{o}_b{}^a + u_b u^a + v_b v^a,$$

$$(1.17) f_c^e f_b^d g_{ed} = g_{cb} - u_c u_b - v_c v_b,$$

$$(1.18) f_b{}^a u^b = -\lambda v^a, f_b{}^a v^b = \lambda u^a,$$

(1.19) 
$$u_a u^a = 1 - \lambda^2 - \sum_x \alpha_x^2, \quad v_a v^a = 1 - \lambda^2 - \sum_x \beta_x^2,$$

$$(1.20) u_a v^a = -\sum_x \alpha_x \beta_x,$$

$$\alpha_x u_b + \beta_x v_b = 0,$$

$$(1.22) \qquad \sum_{y} r_{xy} r_{yz} = -\delta_{xz} + \alpha_{x} \alpha_{z} + \beta_{x} \beta_{z},$$

(1. 23) 
$$\sum_{x} r_{xy} \alpha_{x} = -\lambda \beta_{y}, \quad \sum_{x} r_{xy} \beta_{x} = \lambda \alpha_{y}.$$

We also have from (1.10),  $f_{ji}B_c{}^jB_b{}^i=f_c{}^eg_{eb}$ . Thus putting  $f_c{}^eg_{eb}=f_{cb}$ , we see that  $f_{cb}$  is skew-symmetric.

It will be easily verified that, for invariant submanifold N, we have

$$(1.24) N_{ji}{}^{h}B_{c}{}^{j}B_{b}{}^{i} = [f, f]_{cb}{}^{a}B_{a}{}^{h},$$

 $[f,f]_{c^a}$  being the Nijenhuis tensor formed with  $f_b^a$ .

Since

$$(\nabla_{j}u_{i}-\nabla_{i}u_{j})B_{c}{}^{j}B_{b}{}^{i}=\nabla_{c}(u_{i}B_{b}{}^{i})-u_{i}\nabla_{c}B_{b}{}^{i}-\nabla_{b}(u_{i}B_{c}{}^{j})+u_{j}\nabla_{b}B_{c}{}^{j},$$

that is,

$$(\nabla_i u_i - \nabla_i u_i) B_a{}^j B_b{}^i = \nabla_a u_b - \nabla_b u_a$$

from which, using (1.14),

$$(1.25) \qquad (\nabla_j u_i - \nabla_i u_j) u^h B_c{}^j B_b{}^i = (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) u^a B_a{}^h + \sum_x \alpha_x (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) C_x{}^h.$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$(1.26) \qquad (\nabla_{i}v_{i} - \nabla_{i}v_{j}) v^{h} B_{c}^{i} B_{b}^{i} = (\nabla_{c}v_{b} - \nabla_{b}v_{c}) v^{a} B_{a}^{h} + \sum_{s} \beta_{s} (\nabla_{c}v_{b} - \nabla_{b}v_{c}) C_{s}^{h}.$$

On the other hand, denoting  $\nabla^h = g^{th} \nabla_t$  and  $f_{ii}{}^h = g^{th} f_{iit}$ , we can write

$$f_{ij}{}^{h} = \nabla_{i} f_{i}{}^{h} - \nabla_{i} f_{i}{}^{h} + \nabla^{h} f_{ij}$$

from which, using (1.10) and (1.11),

$$f_i^{\phantom{i}t}f_{ti}^{\phantom{i}h}B_c^{\phantom{i}j}B_b^{\phantom{b}i} = f_c^{\phantom{c}a} \langle \nabla_t f_i^{\phantom{b}h} - \nabla_i f_i^{\phantom{b}h} + \nabla^h f_{ti} \rangle B_a^{\phantom{a}t}B_b^{\phantom{b}i} = f_c^{\phantom{c}a} \langle \nabla_a (f_b^{\phantom{b}e}B_e^{\phantom{e}h}) - \nabla_b (f_a^{\phantom{a}e}B_e^{\phantom{e}h}) + \nabla^h f_{ab} \rangle$$
 because of  $\nabla_b B_e^{\phantom{e}h} = \nabla_c B_b^{\phantom{b}h}$ .

If we take account of (1.6) and (1.16), then the last equation becomes

(1.27) 
$$f_j^t f_{ti}^h B_c^j B_b^i = f_c^e f_{eb}^a B_a^h + f_c^a f_b^e \sum_x h_{tex} C_x^h - \left(-\delta_c^e + u_c u^e + v_c v^e\right) \sum_x h_{bex} C_x^h,$$
where  $f_{bc}^a = g^{ea} f_{bce}$ ,  $f_{ebc} = \nabla_e f_{bc} + \nabla_b f_{ce} + \nabla_c f_{eb}$ .

Taking the skew-symmetric part of (1.27) in indices b and c, we find

$$(1.28) (f_j^{\ t} f_{ti}^{\ h} - f_i^{\ t} f_{tj}^{\ h}) B_c^{\ j} B_b^{\ i} = (f_c^{\ e} f_{eb}^{\ a} - f_b^{\ e} f_{ec}^{\ a}) B_a^{\ h} + \sum_x \{ (u_b u^e + v_b v^e) h_{cex} - (u_c u^e + v_c v^e) h_{bex} \} C_x^{\ h}.$$

From (1.24), (1.25), (1.26) and (1.28), we have

$$(1.29) \{S_{ji}^{h} - (f_{j}^{i}f_{ti}^{h} - f_{i}^{i}f_{tj}^{h})\} B_{c}^{j}B_{b}^{i} = \{ [f, f]_{cb}^{a} + (\nabla_{c}u_{b} - \nabla_{b}u_{c}) u^{a} + (\nabla_{c}v_{b} - \nabla_{b}v_{c}) v^{a} - (f_{c}^{e}f_{eb}^{a} - f_{b}^{e}f_{ec}^{a})\} B_{a}^{h} + \sum_{x} \{ (\nabla_{c}u_{b} - \nabla_{b}u_{c}) \alpha_{x} + (\nabla_{c}v_{b} - \nabla_{b}v_{c}) \beta_{x} + h_{cex} (u_{b}u^{e} + v_{b}v^{e}) - h_{bex} (u_{c}u^{e} + v_{c}v^{e}) \} C_{x}^{h}.$$

It is known that [5]

THEOREM 1.3. Let N be an invariant submanifold of a manifold with  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ structure. If there exists a point P of N such that  $\lambda$  does not vanish at P, then the
submanifold N is even-dimensional. If  $\lambda$  vanishes identically on N, then N is odddimensional.

Equations (1.16) – (1.20) show that a necessary and sufficient condition  $f_b{}^a$ ,  $g_{cb}$ ,  $u_b$ ,  $v_b$  and  $\lambda$  to define an  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure is that  $\alpha_x=0$ ,  $\beta_x=0$ , that is, the vectors  $u^h$  and  $v^h$  are always tangent to the submanifold N.

Taking account of (1.29) and Theorem 1.3, we have

PROPOSITION 1.4. Let M be a differentiable manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure such that  $\lambda \neq 0$  almost every where along N and  $u^h$  and  $v^h$  are always tangent to N. Then, the even-dimensional submanifold N admits also a quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure.

### § 2. Odd-dimensional invariant submanifolds of a manifold with quasi-normal $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure.

In this section we consider  $\lambda$  vanishes identically on the submanifold N. Then N is odd-dimensional because of Theorem 1.3.

In this case we have from (1.16)-(1.20),

$$(2.1) f_b{}^c f_c{}^a = -\delta_b{}^a + u_b u^a + v_b v^a,$$

$$(2.2) f_b^e f_c^d g_{ed} = g_{bc} - u_b u_c - v_b v_c,$$

(2.3) 
$$f_b{}^a u^b = 0, f_b{}^a v^b = 0,$$

(2.4) 
$$u_a u^a = 1 - \sum_{r} \alpha_r^2, \quad v_b v^b = 1 - \sum_{r} \beta_r^2,$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{a}v^{a} = -\sum_{r}\alpha_{r}\beta_{r}.$$

From (1.21) we find

$$(2.6) \qquad (\sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2}) u_{b} + (\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x}) v_{b} = 0$$

and

$$(2.7) \qquad (\sum_{r} \alpha_{r} \beta_{r}) u_{b} + (\sum_{r} \beta_{r}^{2}) v_{b} = 0,$$

from which,

$$(2.8) \qquad (\sum_{r}\alpha_{r}^{2}) u_{b}u^{b} + (\sum_{r}\alpha_{r}\beta_{r}) u_{b}v^{b} = 0,$$

or, using (2.4) and (2.5),

$$(2.9) \qquad (\sum_{x}\alpha_{x}^{2})^{2} + (\sum_{x}\alpha_{x}\beta_{x})^{2} = \sum_{x}\alpha_{x}^{2}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2. 10) \qquad (\sum_{x} \beta_{x}^{2})^{2} + (\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x})^{2} = \sum_{x} \beta_{x}^{2}.$$

We can easily see that  $\sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2}$ ,  $\sum_{x} \beta_{x}^{2}$  are globally defined functions on N [5]. We put

$$N_{\alpha} = \{ P \in N | \sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2} \neq 0 \}, \quad N_{\beta} = \{ P \in N | \sum_{x} \beta_{x}^{2} \neq 0 \}.$$

Then  $N_{\alpha}$ ,  $N_{\beta}$  are open in N and satisfy  $N_{\alpha} \cup N_{\beta} = N$ , because of the fact that N is odd-dimensional.

In  $N_{\alpha}$ , we find, from (2.6)

$$(2.11) u_b = -\frac{\sum_x \alpha_x \beta_x}{\sum_i \alpha_i^2} v_b,$$

from which, using (2.9),

(2. 12) 
$$u_b u^a + v_b v^a = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^2} v_b v^a.$$

In  $N_{\beta}$ , we find, from (2.7)

$$v_b = -\frac{\sum_{x} \alpha_x \beta_x}{\sum_{b} \beta_{a}^2} u_b,$$

from which,

$$u_b u^a + v_b v^a = \frac{1}{\sum_x \beta_x^2} u_b u^a$$

because of (2.10).

Now we define a 1-form  $\eta_{\alpha}$  on N in the following way:  $\inf_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}$  we put

$$\eta_b{}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_x \alpha_x^2}} v_b$$

and in  $N_{\beta}$ 

In  $N_{\alpha} \cap N_{\beta}$  we find, from (2.11) and (2.13)

$$(2.16) \qquad (\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x})^{2} = (\sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2}) (\sum_{x} \hat{\beta}_{x}^{2}).$$

If  $\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x} = 0$  in  $N_{\alpha} \cap N_{\beta}$ , from (2.11) and (2.13), we have  $u^{\alpha} = 0$ ,  $v^{\alpha} = 0$ . This shows that N is even-dimensional. So, in  $N_{\alpha} \cap N_{\beta}$ ,  $\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x}$  has no zero point. Thus we may assume that

$$(2.17) \qquad \qquad \sum_{r} \alpha_r \beta_r > 0.$$

Therefore, in  $N_{\alpha} \cap N_{\beta}$ , we have

$$\eta_{b}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2}}} v_{b} = -\frac{\sqrt{(\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} \beta_{x})^{2}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{x} \beta_{x}^{2}}} u_{b} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{x} \beta_{x}^{2}}} u_{b} = \eta_{b}^{(\beta)}$$

because of (2.13), (2.16) and (2.17). Hence,  $\eta_b$  is a well defined 1-form on N.

Computing  $u_b u^a + v_b v^a$ , we find

$$(2. 18) u_b u^a + v_b v^a = \eta_b \eta^a$$

and consequently, (2.1) and (2.3) give

$$f_b{}^c f_c{}^a = -\delta_b{}^a + \eta_b \eta^a, \quad f_b{}^a \eta^b = 0,$$

from which,

$$-\eta^a+(\eta_b\eta^b)\eta^a=0,$$

that is,  $\eta_b \eta^b = 1$ .

Thus the structure defined by  $(f_b{}^a, g_{cb}, \eta_b)$  is an almost contact metric structure, that is,

$$f_b^c f_c^a = -\delta_b^a + \eta_b \eta^a$$

(2. 19) 
$$f_{c}{}^{e}f_{b}{}^{d}g_{ed} = g_{cb} - \eta_{c}\eta_{b},$$

$$f_{b}{}^{a}\eta_{a} = 0, \quad f_{b}{}^{a}\eta^{b} = 0,$$

$$\eta_{a}\eta^{a} = 1,$$

where  $\eta_a$  is the components of the 1-form  $\eta$  and  $\eta^b = \eta_a g^{ab}$ .

Equations (2.14), (2.15) and the last equation of (2.19) say that  $v_b v^b = \sum_x \alpha_x^2$  in  $N_\alpha$  and that  $u_b u^b = \sum_x \beta_x^2$  in  $N_\beta$ .

Now we define  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by  $\alpha^2 = \sum_x \alpha_x^2$ ,  $\beta^2 = \sum_x \beta_x^2$ , then they are globally defined functions on N and we can put

$$(2.20) u^a = -\beta \eta^a, \quad v^a = \alpha \eta^a$$

because, when  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  vanishes,  $v^a$  or  $u^a$  vanishes.

From (2.18), (2.20) and  $\eta_a \eta^a = 1$ , we find

(2.21) 
$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$$
.

We get from (2.20)

$$(\nabla_{c}u_{b} - \nabla_{b}u_{c})u^{a} + (\nabla_{c}v_{b} - \nabla_{b}v_{c})v^{a} = \beta^{2}(\nabla_{c}\eta_{b} - \nabla_{b}\eta_{c})\eta^{a} + \alpha^{2}(\nabla_{c}\eta_{b} - \nabla_{b}\eta_{c})\eta^{a} + \{(\nabla_{c}\beta)\eta_{b} - (\nabla_{b}\beta)\eta_{c}\}\beta\eta^{a} + \{(\nabla_{c}\alpha)\eta_{b} - (\nabla_{b}\alpha)\eta_{c}\}\alpha\eta^{a},$$

or, using (2.21),

$$(2.22) \qquad (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) u^a + (\nabla_c v_b - \nabla_b v_c) v^a = (\nabla_c \eta_b - \nabla_b \eta_c) \eta^a.$$

If the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of the ambient manifold is quasi-normal, we have from (1.29), (2.18) and (2.22)

$$[f, f]_{cb}{}^{a} + (\nabla_{c}\eta_{b} - \nabla_{b}\eta_{c})\eta^{a} - f_{c}{}^{e}f_{eb}{}^{a} + f_{b}{}^{e}f_{ec}{}^{a} = 0$$

and

$$(2.24) \qquad (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) \alpha_x + (\nabla_c v_b - \nabla_b v_c) \beta_x + (\eta_b h_{cex} - \eta_c h_{bex}) \eta^e = 0.$$

Transvecting (1.4) with  $B_c{}^j B_b{}^i B_a{}^h$  and taking account of (2.1), we find

$$f_c{}^eB_e{}^t(\nabla_a f_{ti})B_b{}^i - f_b{}^eB_e{}^t(\nabla_a f_{tj})B_c{}^j$$

$$= u_c(\nabla_b u_h)B_a{}^h - u_b(\nabla_c u_h)B_a{}^h + v_c(\nabla_b v_h)B_a{}^h - v_b(\nabla_c v_h)B_a{}^h$$

or, using (1.6), (1.11), (1.14) and (1.15),

$$\begin{split} f_c{}^e \nabla_a \left( f_{ti} B_e{}^t B_b{}^i \right) - f_b{}^e \nabla_a \left( f_{tj} B_e{}^t B_c{}^j \right) = & u_c \nabla_b u_a - u_b \nabla_c u_a + v_c \nabla_b v_a - v_b \nabla_c v_a \\ - u_c u_h \nabla_b B_a{}^h + u_b u_h \nabla_c B_a{}^h - v_c v_h \nabla_b B_a{}^h + v_b v_h \nabla_c B_a{}^h, \end{split}$$

that is,

$$f_c{}^e \nabla_a f_{eb} - f_b{}^e \nabla_a f_{ec} = u_c \nabla_b u_a - u_b \nabla_c u_a + v_c \nabla_b v_a - v_b \nabla_c v_a - u_c \sum_x \alpha_x h_{bax} + u_b \sum_x \alpha_x h_{cax} - v_c \sum_x \beta_x h_{bax} + v_b \sum_x \beta_x h_{cax},$$

or, again

$$\nabla_a (f_c f_{ch}) - 2f_{ch} \nabla_a f_c = u_c \nabla_b u_a - u_b \nabla_c u_a + v_c \nabla_b v_a - v_b \nabla_c v_a$$

by virtue of (1.21), or, using (2.19),

$$(2.25) V_a(-g_{cb}+\eta_c\eta_b)-2(\nabla_af_c^e)f_{cb}=u_c\nabla_bu_a-u_b\nabla_cu_a+v_c\nabla_bv_a-v_b\nabla_cv_a.$$

Substituting (2.20) into the right hand member of (2.25) and using (2.21), we obtain

$$(2.26) 2(\nabla_a f_c^e) f_{eb} = \eta_c (\nabla_a \eta_b - \nabla_b \eta_a) + \eta_b (\nabla_a \eta_c + \nabla_c \eta_a).$$

Transvecting (2.26) with  $\eta^b$  and using (2.19), we find

$$(2.27) -\eta_c \left( \eta^b \nabla_b \eta_a \right) + \nabla_a \eta_c + \nabla_c \eta_a = 0,$$

from which, transvecting with  $\eta^a$ ,  $\eta^a \nabla_a \eta_c = 0$ . Thus (2.27) becomes

that is,  $\gamma^a$  is a Killing vector field.

Using (2.28), (2.26) can be written as

$$(2.29) (\nabla_a f_c^e) f_{eb} = \gamma_c \nabla_a \gamma_b.$$

Transvecting (2.29) with  $f_a^b$  and using (2.19), we get

$$(g_{ed} - \eta_e \eta_d) \nabla_a f_e^e = \eta_e f_d^e \nabla_a \eta_e$$

and consequently

$$(2.30) V_a f_{cb} = (\gamma_c f_b{}^e - \gamma_b f_c{}^e) V_a \gamma_c.$$

Thus we have

THEOREM 2.1. Let N be an odd-dimensional invariant submanifold of a manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure. Then the submanifold N admits an almost contact metric structure  $(f_b^a, g_{cb}, \gamma_b)$  such that  $\gamma^a$  is a Killing vector field and satisfies (2.30).

## § 3. Odd-dimensional invariant submanifold of a manifold with $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ structure satisfying $\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2\rho f_{ji}$ .

We first prove

THEOREM 3.1. An odd-dimensional invariant submanifold N (dim N>3) of a manifold with quasi-normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure satisfying

o being non-zero differentiable function, admits a Sasakian structure.

*Proof.* Transvecting (3.1) with  $B_c{}^j B_b{}^i$ , we find

Substituting (3.2) into (2.24), we obtain

$$(3.3) \qquad (\nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c) \alpha_x + 2\rho \beta_x f_{cb} + (\gamma_b h_{cex} - \gamma_c h_{bex}) \gamma^e = 0,$$

from which, transvecting  $\eta^b$ ,

$$(3.4) \qquad \eta^b \left( \nabla_c u_b - \nabla_b u_c \right) \alpha_x + h_{cex} \eta^e - \left( h_{bex} \eta^b \eta^e \right) \gamma_c = 0.$$

Suppose that there exists a point P at which  $\alpha(P) = 0$ , then  $\alpha_x(P) = 0$  for all x. Consequently we have at P

$$h_{cex}\eta^e = (h_{bex}\eta^b\eta^e) \eta_c$$
.

Thus (3.3) implies that  $\beta_x(P) = 0$  and this, together with (1.22), shows that the submanifold N is even-dimensional. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is non-zero.

If we substitute  $v_b = \alpha \eta_b$  into (3.2), we get

$$(3.5) 2\alpha (\nabla_c \eta_b) + (\nabla_c \alpha) \eta_b - (\nabla_b \alpha) \eta_c = 2\rho f_{cb}$$

by virtue of (2.28), from which, transvecting  $\eta^b$ ,

$$(3.6) V_c \alpha = A \eta_c,$$

where we have put  $A=\eta^a \nabla_a \alpha$ . Thus (3.5) becomes

$$\alpha \nabla_c \eta_b = \rho f_{cb}.$$

Differentiating (3.6) covariantly, we find

$$\nabla_b \nabla_c \alpha = A \nabla_b \eta_c + (\nabla_b A) \eta_c$$

from which,

(3.8) 
$$A(\nabla_b \eta_c - \nabla_c \eta_b) + (\nabla_b A) \eta_c - (\nabla_c A) \eta_b = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.8) with  $f^{bc}$  and using (3.7), we have (n-1)A=0 which, together with (3.6), implies that  $\nabla_c \alpha = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is non-zero constant.

Substituting (3.7) into (2.30) and using (2.19), we find

(3.9) 
$$\alpha \nabla_a f_{cb} = \rho \left( \gamma_c g_{ba} - \gamma_b g_{ca} \right),$$

from which,  $\alpha f_{acb} = 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is non-zero constant, we have  $f_{acb} = 0$ .

We have from (3.7)

$$\alpha (\nabla_c \eta_b - \nabla_b \eta_c) = 2\rho f_{cb}$$
.

Differentiating the last equation covariantly, we find

$$\alpha \left( \nabla_a \nabla_c \gamma_b - \nabla_a \nabla_b \gamma_c \right) = 2\rho \nabla_a f_{cb} + 2f_{cb} \nabla_a \rho_c$$

from which, using Ricci identity and  $f_{acb}=0$ ,

$$(3.10) f_{cb}\nabla_a\rho + f_{ba}\nabla_c\rho + f_{ac}\nabla_b\rho = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.10) with  $\eta^b$ , we get

$$(3.11) (n-1) \eta^b \nabla_b \rho = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.10) with  $f^{cb}$  again and taking account of (3.11), we find  $(n-3) \nabla_a \rho$  =0 and consequently  $\rho$ =constant. Thus submanifold admits a Sasakian structure. This completes the proof of the theorem.

If the  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure of the ambient manifold is normal and satisfies  $\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2\rho f_{ji}$ , then we can similarly derive (3.7) and (3.9). Thus we have

THEOREM 3.2. An odd-dimensional invariant submanifold N (dim N>3) of a manifold with normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure satisfying

$$\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2\rho f_{ji}$$

o being non-zero differentiable function, admits a Sasakian structure.

### Bibliography

(1) Kubo, Y., Invariant submanifolds of codimension 2 of a manifold with  $(F, G, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 24 (1972), 50-61.

- (2) Yano, K., and U-H. Ki, On quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, \(\lambda\)-structures, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 24 (1972), 106-120.
- (3) Yano, K., and M. Okumura, On (f, g, u, v, λ)-structures, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 22. (1970), 401-423.
- (4) Yano, K., and M. Okumura, Invariant hypersurfaces of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ)structures, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 23 (1971), 290-304.
- (5) Yano, K., and M. Okumura, Invariant submanifolds of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ)structure, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 24 (1972) 75-90.

Kyungpook University