

THE MINIMAL PROJECTIVE EXTENSION OF THE STRUCTURE SPACE OF A RING

BY YOUNG LIM PARK

The projectivity underlying question has been introduced in [3] and a great deal of work has been done by [1, 2, 4, 6 and 7]. The purpose of this note is to present a new relevant result.

In the sequel, we will let \mathbf{C} denote the category of Hausdorff spaces and their continuous maps and \mathbf{K} denote the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous maps. By a projective object in the category \mathbf{C} , we mean an object $X \in \mathbf{C}$ such that, if $h: X \rightarrow Z$ in \mathbf{C} is onto, then any mapping $f: X \rightarrow Z$ can be lifted; that is, there is a mapping $g: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $hg = f$. By a projective cover of X in the category \mathbf{C} we mean an onto mapping $f: K \rightarrow X$ such that K is a projective object in \mathbf{C} and f maps no proper closed subset of K onto X . For a compact Hausdorff space E , if $f: K \rightarrow E$ is the projective cover of E , K is called the minimal projective extension of E . Amongst other things [3] and [7] have proved the following.

THEOREM 1. *In the category \mathbf{K} , the projective objects are precisely the extremally disconnected spaces.*

THEOREM 2. *For every object $X \in \mathbf{K}$, there exists a minimal projective extension P in \mathbf{K} of X , and if P_1, P_2 are minimal projective extensions of X , then P_1 and P_2 are homeomorphic.*

With the same technique applied to the proof of the Proposition 3 in [1] one can show the following:

Let E be a compact Hausdorff space, let $R_0(E)$ be the collection of its regular open subsets and $\Omega(E)$ be the space of maximal filters $M \subseteq R_0(E)$ whose topology is generated by the set $\Omega_v(E) = \{M \mid v \in M \in \Omega(E)\}$. The $\Omega(E)$ is an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space. Denote the mapping $\Omega(E) \rightarrow E$ which assigns to each $M \in \Omega(E)$ its limit point by \lim_E . Then $\lim_E: \Omega(E) \rightarrow E$ is the projective cover of E , i. e., $\Omega(E)$ is the minimal projective extension of E .

In what follows, every ring will mean a commutative semi-simple ring with unity.

Let A be a ring, and denote by $J(A)$ the set of all annihilator ideals of A and by $\mathbf{M}(A)$ the set of all maximal ideals of A . Define, for each $a \in A$, the function \hat{a} on $\mathbf{M}(A)$ by $\hat{a}(M) = a + M \in A/M$. Let $S(\hat{a})$ be the cozero set of \hat{a} , i. e. $S(\hat{a}) = \{M \mid \hat{a}(M) \neq 0\}$. The topology on $\mathbf{M}(A)$ generated by the cozero sets $\{S(\hat{a})\}_{a \in A}$ will be referred to as the Stone-Zariski topology.

In [6] it is shown that the structure space of the maximal ring of quotients of $C(X)$

endowed with the Stone-Zariski topology is the minimal projective extension of βX . It will be seen that one can generalize this result for the class of structure spaces of commutative semi-simple rings. To proceed we introduce some fundamental notations. For an ideal I of A define $\mathcal{M}(I)$ as $\mathcal{M}(I) = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(A), M \supset I\}$, for a subset U of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ define $\Delta(U)$ as $\Delta(U) = \bigcap \{M \in U\}$ and for a subset U of a topological space E define U^1 as $U^1 = E - Cl_E U$ where Cl_E denotes the closure operator with respect to the space E . Then one can show that V is a regular open set of E if and only if $V = U^1$ for some open set U of E . Also noting that $Cl_{\mathcal{M}(A)} U = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(A), M \supset \Delta(U)\}$ one shows that $U^1 = (\mathcal{M} \circ \Delta)(U)$ and $I^* = (\Delta \circ \mathcal{M})(I)$ where $I^* = \{a \mid aI = 0\}$.

These preceding results lead to the formation of a lemma and a theorem due to [5], namely

LEMMA 3. $J(A) \cong R_0(\mathcal{M}(A))$.

THEOREM 4. $J(A) \cong J(Q(A))$, where $Q(A)$ denotes the maximal ring of quotients of A . If A is rationally complete, then $J(A) \cong A^0$, A^0 denotes the algebra of idempotents of A .

As a preliminary step to obtaining the final result, we state the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. If A is regular, then $\mathcal{M}(A) \cong \mathcal{M}(A^0)$.

Proof. Clearly the mapping $M \rightarrow M \cap A^0$ is one-to-one and onto. For each $e \in A^0$, put $\mathcal{M}^0(e) = \{p \in \mathcal{M}(A^0) \mid p \ni e\}$. Then $\{\mathcal{M}^0(e)\}_{e \in A^0}$ form a base for $\mathcal{M}(A^0)$. Noting that for any $a \in A$, there exists $r \in A$ such that $ar \in A^0$. Now one shows that $\mathcal{M}(A) \cap A^0 = \mathcal{M}^0(ar)$ for $a \in A$ and $\mathcal{M}(e) \cap A^0 = \mathcal{M}^0(e)$ for each $e \in A^0$. This shows that the mapping carries the base for $\mathcal{M}(A)$ onto the base for $\mathcal{M}(A^0)$.

THEOREM 6. If $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is Hausdorff, then the structure space $\mathcal{M}(Q(A))$ is the minimal projective extension of $\mathcal{M}(A)$.

Proof. Since $Q(A)$ is regular, we have $\mathcal{M}(Q(A)) \cong \mathcal{M}(Q(A)^0)$ and $Q(A)^0 \cong J(Q(A)) \cong R_0(\mathcal{M}(A))$. Now, let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}(A))$ be the space of maximal filters \mathcal{U} in $R_0(\mathcal{M}(A))$. Then $\mathcal{M}(R_0(\mathcal{M}(A))) \cong \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}(A))$ under the mapping $M \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_M$ where $\mathcal{U}_M \equiv \{p \mid p' \in M, p' \text{ is the complement of } P \text{ w. r. t. } \mathcal{M}(A)\}$. Since $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is Hausdorff, the mapping $\lim_{\mathcal{M}(A)}: \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ is the projective cover of $\mathcal{M}(A)$.

COROLLARY 1: For a compact Hausdorff space E , $\mathcal{M}(Q(C(E)))$ is the minimal projective extension of E .

The discussion up to now has been within the framework of the injective system. Within the projective system as dual, we also see the following:

Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space, and σ_0 denote the collection of dense open subsets of X . For each $D \in \sigma_0$, βD denotes its Stone-Cech compactification and $C^*(D)$ denotes the ring of real-valued bounded continuous functions on D . Then the family $\{\beta D\}$ ($D \in \sigma_0$) together with $\{\phi_{DE}^*\}_{E \subset D, E, D \in \sigma_0}$ where ϕ_{DE}^* is defined as the dual of the natural restriction homomorphism $\phi_{DE}: C^*(D) \rightarrow C^*(E)$ form a projective system in the category

of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous maps, and one can show that the system has the project limit $\lim_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \beta D$.

COROLLARY 2. *The projective limit $\lim_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \beta D$ is the minimal projective extension of βX .*

References

- [1] B. Banaschewski, *Projective Covers in certain Categories of Topological spaces*. Proceedings of the 2nd Prague Topological Symposium, (1966), 52—55.
- [2] J. Flachsmeier, *Topologische Projektivraume*. Math. Nach. **26** (1963), 57—66.
- [3] A.M. Gleason, *Projective Topological Spaces*. I, II. J. Math. **2** (1958), 482—489.
- [4] S. Iliadis, *Absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*. Soviet Math. Doklady **4**. (1963), 295—298.
- [5] J. Lambek, *Lecture on Rings and Modules*. Blaisdell Publ. Co., 1966.
- [6] Y.L. Park, *On the Projective Cover of the Stone-Cech Compactification of a Completely Regular Hausdorff Space*, Can. Math. Bull. Vol. **12**, No. 3, (1969), 327—331.
- [7] J. Rainwater, *A Note on Projective Resolutions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 734—735.

University of Toronto