

A FINITARY MATROID WITHOUT A PROPER FINITARY REFINEMENT

BY D. T. BEAN

A matroid \mathfrak{N} is a refinement of a matroid \mathfrak{M} if they are defined on a common set E and if the atoms of \mathfrak{M} are also atoms of \mathfrak{N} . A matroid is finitary if all its atoms are finite sets.

A construction of a finitary matroid on an infinite set is given which admits of no finitary refinement.

The axiomatic definition of a matroid on an infinite set, subject to a finitary condition, has many forms equivalent to that first given by Rado [2] in terms of a rank function. The one used here is the "circuit" form.

The ordered pair $\mathfrak{M}=(E, \mathfrak{R})$ is a finitary matroid if \mathfrak{R} is a set of incomparable finite non-empty subsets of E satisfying the exchange condition:

(*) For $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $a \in A - B$ and $b \in A \cap B$ there is $C \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $a \in C \subset A \cup B - \{b\}$.

The elements of \mathfrak{R} are the atoms of the matroid.

$|Z|$ is used to denote the cardinality of the set Z .

For a finite matroid Edmonds [1] has shown that the above exchange axiom (*) may be replaced by the equivalent simpler:

(**) For $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $b \in A \cap B$ there is $C \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $C \subset A \cup B - b$.

This is a local condition. If Y is a finite subset of E in the finitary matroid $\mathfrak{M}=(E, \mathfrak{R})$, then $\mathfrak{M}_Y=(E \cap Y, \mathfrak{R} \cap P(Y))$ is a finite matroid. ($P(Y)$ is the power set of Y). Then (*) and (**) are equivalent on \mathfrak{M}_Y and hence in \mathfrak{M} . Thus Edmonds proof applies to finitary matroid. This greatly simplifies the proofs that follow.

DEFINITION. A sequence (p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k) , of $k-1$ non-negative integers is a *proper partition of the integer k* if,

$$\sum_{j=2}^k p_j = k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=2}^m p_j < m \quad \text{for} \quad m < k.$$

Let E be an infinite set and let $(X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a partition of E , with each X_i itself an infinite set. For $Z \subset E$ put $Z_s = \bigcup_{j=2}^s (Z \cap X_j)$ and put $p_s(A) = |A \cap X_s|$ for each integer $s \geq 2$.

Define the ordered pair $\mathfrak{A}=(E, \mathfrak{R})$ by $A \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if:

- (i) A is a non-empty finite set, and
- (ii) $(p_2(A), \dots, p_k(A))$ is a proper partition of k , where $k=|A|$.

LEMMA. If $Z \subset E$ and $|Z_s| \geq s$ for some integer s then Z contains a member of \mathfrak{R} .

Proof. Take the first positive integer such that $|Z_s| \geq s$ and take $B \subset Z_s$ with $|B_s| = s$. The sequence $(p_2(B), \dots, p_s(B))$ is a proper refinement for s :

$$\sum_{i=2}^j p_i(B) = \sum_{i=2}^j |B \cap X_i| = |B_j| \leq |Z_j| < j \text{ for } j < s$$

by the choice of s , and $\sum_{i=2}^s p_i(B) = |B_s| = s$. Thus $B \in \mathcal{R}$.

THEOREM. $\mathcal{A} = (E, \mathcal{R})$ is a finitary matroid.

Proof. The elements of \mathcal{R} are finite by definition, and any two element subset of $X_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a member of \mathcal{R} .

To show that the elements of \mathcal{R} are incomparable take $m = |A| < k = |B|$ with $A \subset B \in \mathcal{R}$.

If $A \in \mathcal{R}$ then $(p_2(A), \dots, p_m(A))$ is a proper partition of $|A|$. But $m = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(B)$ with $m < k$, contradicting the condition for $B \in \mathcal{R}$.

Now take A, B distinct in \mathcal{R} with $y \in A \cap B$ and put $k = \max(|A|, |B|)$. Then $|A \cup B - y| \geq k$ and by the lemma there is $C \in \mathcal{R}$ with $C \subset A \cup B - y$. Using Edmond's result referred to above gives the exchange property.

Thus \mathcal{A} is a finitary matroid.

THEOREM. Let $\mathcal{A} = (E, \mathcal{R})$ be the above finitary matroid. If \mathcal{B} is any collection of incomparable subsets of the set E and if \mathcal{B} contains \mathcal{R} then the only finite subsets in \mathcal{B} are in \mathcal{R} .

Proof. Let B be a finite set, $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

(i) If $|B_s| \geq s$ for some integer s then $B \supset B_s \supset A \in \mathcal{R}$ for some $A \subset E$ by the lemma, and so $B = A$.

(ii) If $|B_s| < s$ for all integers s , take ν the largest integer such that $B_\nu \neq \phi$. Adjoin $\nu - |B_\nu|$ elements of X_ν to B to obtain the set A . Now $A \cap X_s = B \cap X_s$ for $s < \nu$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=2}^m p_k(A) = \sum_{k=2}^m p_k(B) = |B_m| < m, \quad \text{for } m < \nu,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\nu} p_k(A) = \sum_{k=2}^{\nu} p_k(B) + \nu - |B_\nu| = \nu.$$

Thus $A \in \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$ which contradicts the incomparability of elements of \mathcal{B} .

CONCLUSION. We have shown that the finitary matroid $\mathcal{A} = (E, \mathcal{R})$ has no proper refinement. Furthermore, the elements of \mathcal{R} partition the finite subset of E into two classes: those that contain a member of \mathcal{R} and those that are contained in a member of \mathcal{R} .

References

- [1] Edmonds, J., *Minimum Partition of a Matroid into Independent Subsets*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards, **69B** (1965), 67-72.
 [2] Rado, R., *Axiomatic Treatment of Rank in Infinite Sets*, Canad. J. Math. **1** (1949) 337-343.