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§1. Introduction

Since Alexandroff and Urysohn [4] introduced H-closed spaces by categorical means, many other characterizations for H-closed spaced were given. In this paper we characterize it by means of regular open filters and investigate the properties of H-closed spaces by filter concepts.

A Hausdorff space \( X \) is called H-closed provided that for every open covering of \( X \), there is a finite subfamily the union of closures of members of which covers \( X \). A subset \( U(F) \) of a topological space is said to be regular open (regular closed) (for brevity we write r.o.) if \( U^{-\circ}=U \) (this means \( \text{Int} \cap U=U \)) \((F^{-\circ}=F)\). It is to be understood that the interior of a closed set, complement of a regular closed set, intersection of finite regular open sets are also r.o. ([3]p.92). We denote the set of all r.o. subsets of \( X \) by \( R(\mathcal{F}) \). Spaces mean only Hausdorff spaces.

§2. Regular open filters.

1. Definition a nonempty subfamily \( \mathcal{F} \) of \( R(\mathcal{F}) \) is called r.o. filter provided that
   (i) \( \phi \in \mathcal{F} \);
   (ii) if \( U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{F} \), then \( U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{F} \); and
   (iii) if \( U \in \mathcal{F} \), \( V \in R(\mathcal{F}) \), and \( U \subseteq V \), then \( V \in \mathcal{F} \).

As usual, maximal r.o. filter means the maximal element among the r.o. filters. We can characterize it as follows.

2. Lemma A r.o. filter \( \mathcal{F} \) is maximal iff for every \( A \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}) \) such that \( A \cap U \neq \phi \) for every \( U \in \mathcal{F} \), \( A \in \mathcal{F} \).

proof. Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be a r.o. filter strictly finer than \( \mathcal{F} \), say \( A \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{F} \). Then \( A \) meets every member of \( \mathcal{F} \) but \( A \notin \mathcal{F} \).

Conversely, assume \( A \notin \mathcal{F} \). Then \( \mathcal{F} \cup \{A\} \) has the FIP (finite intersection property), hence there is a r.o. filter containing \( \mathcal{F} \) properly.

§3. H-closed spaces.

3. Theorem The following are equivalent for Hausdorff spaces:

(1) \( X \) is H-closed.
(2) For every Hausdorff space \( Y \), and each continuous function \( f: X \rightarrow Y \), \( f(X) \) is closed in \( Y \) (i.e., \( X \) is absolutely closed.)
(3) For every family \( \mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \} \) of closed subsets of \( X \) with \( \bigcap F_\alpha = \phi \), there is a finite subfamily \( \{F_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, F_{\alpha_n}\} \) of \( F \) with \( \bigcap F_{\alpha_i} = \phi \).
(3)' Every family \( \mathcal{F} \) of closed subsets of \( X \) such that \( \mathcal{F}^*(\text{the set of interiors of members of } \mathcal{F}) \) has Fintes Intersection Property is fixed.
(4) Each r.o. filterbase on \( X \) has at least one accumulation point.
(5) Each maximal r.o. filterbase on \( X \) has an accumulation point (necessarily unique).
(6) The space \( X^* \) with minimal r.o.-equivalent topology is H-closed.
(7) For each r.o. open covering \( \mathcal{U} \) of \( X \), there is a finite subcollection \( \mathcal{U} \) such that \( \bigcup \mathcal{U} = X \).

proof. \( \langle 1 \Rightarrow 2 \rangle \) clear.
\( \langle 2 \Rightarrow 1 \rangle \) \( \{1 \} \)
\( \langle 1 \Rightarrow 3 \rangle \) clear.
\( \langle 3 \Rightarrow 4 \rangle \) Let \( \mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \} \) be a r.o. filter base in \( X \). \( \mathcal{U} \) is a family of closed sets such that \( \mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{U} \) has the FIP. By (3), \( \mathcal{U} \) has nonempty intersection i.e., \( \mathcal{U} \) has an accumulation point.
\( \langle 4 \Rightarrow 3 \rangle \) Suppose \( \mathcal{F} \) is a family of closed sets with
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\[ \mathcal{F} \circ \text{FIP}. \text{Then } \mathcal{F} \circ \text{ is a r.o. filter base (since } A^c \cap B^c = (A \cap B)^c, \text{ by } (4), \text{ } \cap \mathcal{F}^c = \phi. \text{ Thus } \cap \mathcal{F} \supseteq \cap \mathcal{F}^c = \phi. \]

(4\(\Rightarrow\)5) clear.

(5\(\Rightarrow\)4) Let \( U \) be a r.o. filter base on \( X \). Let \( T = \{ \text{the set of all filter base on } X \text{ finer than } U \} \). To show \( T \) is inductive:

Let \( S \) be a chain in \( T \) and \( \mathcal{R} = \bigcup S \). Then

1. \( \phi \in \mathcal{R} \)
2. \( A_\alpha, A_\beta \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \exists \alpha \in S : A_\alpha, A_\beta \subseteq \mathcal{R} \wedge \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq A_\beta \) s.t. \( A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta, A_\beta \cap A_\alpha \). This shows that \( T \) is inductive. By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal element \( \mathcal{R} \in T \).

(1\(\Rightarrow\)6) Clear from (1\(\Rightarrow\)7)
(1\(\Rightarrow\)7) (2) p. 10

\[ \S 4. \text{Properties of } H\text{-closed spaces.} \]

Although \( H\)-closed space is defined very similarly to compact spaces, here is a crucial distinction between them:

4. **Theorem** A \( H \)-closed space is regular iff it is compact.

proof. For non-trivial part, let \( U \) be an open covering of a \( H \)-closed space \( X \). By the regularity of \( X \), there is an open cover \( \mathcal{U} \) such that \( \mathcal{U} \) refines \( U \). Let \( (U_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \) be a subfamily of \( \mathcal{U} \) such that \( \bigcup U_i = X \). Then \( \bigcap U_i \subseteq \bigcap U_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \) is the desired finite subcovering of \( U \).

5. **Theorem** Continuous image of a \( H \)-closed subset is \( H \)-closed.

proof. Let \( X \) be \( H \)-closed, and \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) continuous. Let \( (U_a) \) be any open covering of \( f(X) \); then \( (f^{-1}(U_a)) \) is an open covering of \( X \), and so can be reduced to a finite closure covering, \( (f^{-1}(U_{a_i}))_{1 \leq i \leq n} \); it is evident that \( \bigcup U_{a_i} \supseteq f(X) \).

For subsets of \( H \)-closed spaces and \( H \)-closed subsets of a space, we have the following theorems.

6. **Theorem** \( H \)-closed subspace of a Hausdorff space is closed, but not necessarily r.c.

7. **Theorem** R.C. subset \( F \) of a \( H \)-closed space \( Y \) is \( H \)-closed.

proof. Let \( U \) be an open covering of \( F \). Then \( U \cup \{ F \} \) is an open covering of \( Y \), hence reduced to a finite closure covering, i.e.,

\[ U \cup \bigcup U_i \subseteq U, 1 \leq i \leq n \]

\[ \bigcup U_i \supseteq F \times F \supseteq F. \]

We have \( \bigcup U_i \supseteq F \times F \supseteq F \) and \( \bigcup U_i \supseteq F \times F \supseteq F \).

8. **Theorem** Finite union of \( H \)-closed subsets is also \( H \)-closed.

For compact spaces, we have

9. **Theorem** (3), p. 226) Let \( X \) be compact, \( Y \) be Hausdorff, and \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) continuous. Then:

1. \( f \) is a closed map.
2. If \( f \) is a bijection, then \( f \) is a homeomorphism.

For \( H \)-closed spaces, this cannot be true

10. **Example** Let \( X \) be the unit interval of \( R^1 \) with the topology \( \mathcal{I} \) generated by the open intervals and \( Q \) (the rationals)

(a) \( \mathcal{I} \) is Hausdorff
\[ \therefore \mathcal{I} \text{ is finer than the usual topology.} \]

(b) \( \mathcal{I} \) is not regular, hence is not compact.
\[ \therefore X \setminus Q \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{I} \text{ and } 0 \in X \setminus Q. \]

But \( X \setminus Q \) and \( 0 \) cannot be separated by disjoint open sets.

(c) \( \mathcal{I} \) is \( H \)-closed.
\[ \therefore \text{Suppose } E = \{ \alpha \in A \} \text{ (open cover of } X \text{)} \]

which contains no finite subcollection of \( U = \{ \alpha \in A \} \) covers \( X \). Then one of \( (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \) and \( (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \) is not covered by finite subcollection of \( U \). Proceed this subdivision. We have a sequence \( (I_n) \) with the following properties:

(a) \( X = I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3 \supset \cdots \)

(b) \( I_n \) is not covered by any finite subcollection of \( V \).

(c) \( x, y \in I_n \Rightarrow |x - y| \leq 2^{-n}. \)

Then there is an \( x^* \in \bigcap I_n \).

Let \( x^* \in U \subseteq U \). Since \( U \) is open in \( \mathcal{I} \), there exists a real \( r > 0 \) such that \( (x^* - r, x^* + r) \cap Q \)
\[ X \subseteq U. \] If \( n \) is large so enough that \( 2^{-n} < r, \\
I_n \subseteq (x^{*}-r, x^{*}+r) \cap X = (x^{*}-r, x^{*}+r) \cap U \subseteq U_n. \]

This contradiction completes the proof.

Now let \( Y \) be the unit interval with the usual topology, \( f : X \to Y \) be the identity map. Then \( f \) is a continuous bijection which is neither closed nor open map. The above example shows that closed subset of a \( H \)-closed space is not necessarily \( H \)-closed. Consider \( X \setminus Q \) in \( X. \) (cf. 7 Theorem)

Bibliography

(1) M. Katetov, On \( H \)-closed extensions of topo-

logical spaces, Caspis matem, fys. 72 (1947), pp. 17–32.
(3) J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Inc. 1965.

---

P. 21에서 계속

Bibliography

(2) James Dugundji, Topology; Allyn and Bacon in C.Boston 1966.

(Korea University)

p.34 에서 계속

의 용어나 상호 관계를 명확히 다루어야 한다.
11. 측도의 영역을 지도함에 있어서는 미터법의 단위 관계의 데이터러에서 형식적인 측정기능에 치우치는 것보다, 측도의 개념을 인식시키는 구사각과 오차의 인식을 통하여 실용성을 높이도록 다루어야 한다.
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