A Note on H-closed Spaces

Kim Heung Kee

§ 1. Introduction

Since Alexandroff and Urysohn (4) introduced H-closed spaces by categorical means, many other characterizations for H-closed spaced were given. In this paper we characterize it by means of regular open filters and investigate the properties of H-closed spaces by filter concepts.

A Hausdorff space X is called H-closed provided that for every open covering of X, there is a finite subfamily the union of closures of members of which covers X. a subset U(F) of a topological space is said to be regular open (regular closed) (for brevity we write r.o.) if $U^{-0}=U$ (this means Int $cl\ U=U$) $(F^{0}=F)$. It is to be understood that the interior of a closed set, complement of a regular closed set, intersection of finite regular open sets are also r.o. ((3)p.92). We denote the set of all r.o. subsets of X by R (\mathfrak{T}). Spaces mean only Hausdorff spaces.

§ 2. Regular open filters.

- Defintion a nonempty subfamily F of R
 is called r.o. filter provided that
 - (i) *p*∈ F;
 - (ii) if U_1 , $U_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{F}$: and
- (iii) if U∈ℑ, V∈R(ℑ), and U⊂V, then V∈ℑ
 As usual, maximal r.o. filter means the maximal element among the r.o. filters. We can characterize it as follows.
- 2. Lemma A r.o. filter \mathfrak{F} is maximal iff for every $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{T})$ such that $A \cap U \neq \phi$ for every $U \in \mathfrak{F}$, $A \in \mathfrak{F}$.

proof. Let n be a r.o. filter strictly finer than f, say f. Then f meets every member of

F but A \ F.

Conversely, assume $A \not \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{F} \cup \{A\}$ has the FIP (finite intersection property), hence there is a r.o. filter containing \mathfrak{F} properly.

§ 3. H-closed spaces.

- **3. Theorem** The following are equivalent for Hausdorff spaces:
- (1) X is H-closed.
- (2) For every Hausdorff space Y, and each continuous function f: X→Y, f(X) is closed in Y (i.e., X is absolutely closed.)
- (3) For every family $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathfrak{U}\}\$ of closed subsets of X with $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}} F_{\alpha} = \phi$, there is a finite subfamily $\{F_{\alpha_1}, \dots, F_{\alpha_n}\}$ of F with $\bigcap F_{\alpha_i} = \phi$.
- (3)' Every family F of closed subsets of X such that F°(the set of interiors of members of F) has Finte Intersection Property is fixed.
- (4) Each r.o. filterbase on X has at least one accumulation point.
- (5) Each maximal r.o. filterbase on X has an accumulation point (neccessarily unique).
- (6) The space X* with minimal r.o.-equivalent topology is H-closed.
- (7) For each r.o. open covering $\mathfrak U$ of X, there is a finite subcollection $\mathfrak U$ such that $\bigcup \overline{\mathfrak U} = X$. proof.

(1⇒2) clear.

 $(2\Rightarrow 1)$ [1]

(1 → 3) clear.

(3⇒4) Let U = {∪α|α∈A} be a r.o. filter base in X. U is a family of closed sets such that U° = U has the FIP. By (3), U has nonempty intersection i.e., U has an accumulation point.
(4⇒3) Suppose U is a family of closed sets with

A Note on H-closed Spaces

 \mathfrak{F}° FIP. Then \mathfrak{F}° is a r.o. filter base (since $A^{\circ} \cap B^0 = (A \cap B)^0$), by (4), $\bigcap \mathfrak{F}^{\circ -} \neq \phi$. Thus $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} \supset \bigcap \mathfrak{F}^{\circ -} \neq \phi$.

(4⇒5) clear.

(5⇒4) Let \(\mathbb{U} \) be a r.o. filter base on X. Let \(\mathbb{T} = \text{the set of all filter base on X finer than } \mathbb{U}. \)
To show \(\mathbb{T} \) is inductive:

Let **S** be a chain in **T** and $\mathfrak{W}=\bigcup_{\mathfrak{R}\in S}\mathfrak{A}$. Then

- (1) ø∉\$
- ② A_α, A_β∈𝔻⇒³ 𝔄∈S: A_α, A_β∈ 𝔄 ³A₇∈ 𝔄 ⊂𝔄 s.t. A₇⊂A_α∩A_β. This shows that T is inductive. By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal element 𝔄 in T.

 $(1 \leftrightarrow 6)$ Clear from $(1 \leftrightarrow 7)$

 $(1 \leftrightarrow 7)$ ((2) p. 10)

§ 4. Properties of H-closed spaces.

Although H-closed space is defined very similarly to compact spaces, here is a crucial distinction between them:

4. Theorem A H-closed space is regular iff it is compact.

proof. For non-trivial part, let $\mathfrak U$ be an open covering of a H-closed space X. By the regularity of X, there is an open cover $\mathfrak U$ such that $\overline{\mathfrak U}$ refines $\mathfrak U$. Let $\{V_i\} \ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a subfamily of $\mathfrak U$ such that $\bigcup \overline{V}_i = X$. Then $\{U_i \in \mathfrak U \mid \overline{V}_i \subset U_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the desired finite subcovering of $\mathfrak U$.

5. Theorem Continuous image of a H-closed subset is H-closed.

proof. Let X be H-closed, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous. Let $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ be any open covering of f(X); then $\{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha})\}$ is an open covering of X, and so can be reduced to a finite closure covering, $\{\overline{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha i})} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$; it is evident that $\bigcup \overline{U_{\alpha i}} \supset f(X)$.

For subsets of H-closed spaces and H-closed subsets of a space, we have the following theorems.

6. Theorem H-closed subspace of a Hausdorff space is closed, but not necessarily r.c.

Theorem R.c. subset F of a H-closed space
 Y is H-closed.

proof. Let $\mathfrak U$ be an open covering of F. Then $\mathfrak U$ $\bigcup \{F^e\}$ is an open covering of Y, hence reduced to a finite closure covering, i.e.,

 $\bigcup \{\overline{U}_i | U_i \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \bigcup F^{c-} = X.$

We have $\bigcup \overline{U}_i \supset F^{c-0} = F^0$ and $\bigcup \overline{U}_i \supset F^{0-} = F$.

8. Theorem Finite union of H-closed subsets is also H-closed.

For compact spaces, we have

- 9. Theorem ((3), p. 226) Let X be compact, Y be Hausdorff, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous. Then:
- (1) f is a closed map.
- (2) If f is a bijection, then f is a homeomorphism.
 For H-closed spaces, this cannot be true
- 10. Example Let X be the unit interval of R¹ with the topology T generated by the open intervals and O (the rationals)
- (a) I is Hausdorff
 - .. I is finer than the usual topology.
- (b) I is not regular, hence is not compact.
 - $X \setminus Q$ is closed in \mathfrak{T} and $0 \notin X \setminus Q$.

But $X \setminus Q$ and 0 cannot be separated by disjoint open sets.

- (c) I is H-closed.
 - .. Suppose $E \, \mathfrak{U} = \{U_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A\}$ (open cover of X) which contains no finite subcollection of $\overline{\mathfrak{U}} = \{\overline{U_{\alpha}} | \alpha \in A\}$ covers X. Then one of $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ is not covered by finite subcollection of $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$. Proceed this subdivision. We have a sequence $\{I_{\pi}\}$ with the following properties:
 - (a) $X = I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3 \supset \cdots$
 - (b) I_n is not covered by any finite subcollection of V̄.
 - (c) $x, y \in I_n \Rightarrow |x-y| \leq 2^{-n}$.

Then there is an $x^* \in \widetilde{\cap} I_n$.

Let $x^* \in U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$. Since U_α is open in \mathfrak{T} , there exists a read r > 0 such that $(x^* - r, x^* + r) \cap Q$

 $\bigcap X \in U_{\alpha}$. If *n* is large so enough that $2^{-n} < r$, $I_n \subset (x^* - r, x(+r)) \cap X = (x^* - r, x^* + r) \cap Q \cap X$ $\subset \overline{U}_{\alpha}$.

This contradiction completes the proof.

Now let Y be the unit interval with the usual topology, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the identity map. Then f is a continuous bijection which is neither closed nor open map. The above example shows that closed subset of a H-closed space is not necessarily H-closed. Consider $X \setminus Q$ in X. (cf. 7 Theorem)

Bibliography

(1) M. Katetov, On H-closed extensions of topo-

logical spaces, Caspis matem, fys. 72 (1947), pp. 17—32.

- (2) L. Mioduszewski and L. Rudolf, H-closed and extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces, Dissert. Math. (Roz. Mat.), Warszawa, 1969.
- (3) J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Inc. 1965.
- (4) P.S. Alexandroff et P.S. Urysohn, Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts, Verh. K. Akademic Amsterdam, Deel XIV, Nr 1 (1929), pp. 1—96.

P. 21에서 계속-

Bibliography

- (1) Walter Rudin, Principles of mathematical analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Company 1964.
- (2) James Dugundji, Topology; Allyn and Bacon in C.Boston 1966.
- (3) John L. Kelley, General topology; D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. 1957.
- [4] Seymour Lipschutz, General topology; Schaum Publishing Co. New York 1965.
- [5] Eduin Hewit and Karl Stromberg, Real and Abstract Analysis; Springer-Verlag Heidelberg 1969.

(Korea University)

p. 34 에서 계속--

의 용어나 상호 관계를 명확히 다루어야 한다.

11. 축도의 영역을 지도함에 있어서는 미터법의 단위 관계의 테두리에서 형식적인 측정기능에 치우 치는 것보다 축도의 개념을 인식시켜 근사값과 오차의 인식을 통하여 실용성을 높이도록 다루어 야 한다.

(1971. 1)