INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF CODIMENSION 2 IN A LOCALLY PRODUCT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

By Yong-Bai Baik

It is well known that submanifolds of codimension 2 in an almost complex

manifold are not in general almost complex. On the other hand invariant submanifolds of codimension 2 in an almost complex manifold are also almost complex and invariant submanifolds of codimension 2 in a (normal) contact Riemannian manifold are so also [6], [7].

In this paper, we shall prove that invariant submanifolds of codimension 2 in a locally product Riemannian manifold are also locally product Riemannian manifold.

In §1 we give definition of a locally product Riemannian manifold by the almost product structure tensors point of view. In §2 we give induced structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in our manifold by devices similar to [1]. In §3 we prove that the invariant submanifolds of codimension 2 in our manifold is also locally product. In §4 we show non-existence of invariant totally umbilical submanifold of codimension 2, of non-zero mean curvature.

1. Locally product Riemannian manifolds.

We shall now recall definition of locally product Riemannian manifold for the later use. On an (n+2)-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, if there exists a tensor field F of type (1, 1) such that

(1.1)
$$F^2 = I$$
,
(1.2) $G(FX, FY) = G(X, Y)$,
(1.3) $\nabla_X F = 0$,

where I denotes the identity tensor of type (1, 1) and \bigtriangledown the Riemannian connection determined by G, then the manifold M is called a locally product Riemannian manifold and the tensor field F defined by (1.1) is called an almost product structure.

We see that the matrix (F) has eigenvalues +1 and -1, and assume that +1 appears p times and -1 appears q times (so that p+q=n+2) among the eigenvalues of (F), then we have

(1.4) trace
$$F = p - q$$
.

178 Yong-Bai Baik

In this case, the locally product Riemannian manifold M is locally the product $M^{p} \times M^{q}$ of two manifolds.

A tensor field Φ of type (0, 2) defined by (1.5) $\Phi(X,Y) = G(FX,Y)$, for any two vector fields X and Y is symmetric. i.e., (1.6) $\Phi(X,Y) = \Phi(Y,X)$.

2. Submanifolds of codimension 2 in a locally product Riemannian manifold.

Let N be a submanifold of codimension 2 imbedded in an (n+2)—dimensional locally product Riemannian manifold M with almost product structures (F, G). Thus, if *i* denotes the imbedding $N \rightarrow M$ and B the differential of *i*, then induced metric g on N is defined in term of the metric G on M by

$$(2.1) g(X,Y) = G(BX,BY)$$

for any tangent vector fields X and Y on N.

We assume that the normal bundle of N is orientable, that is, there exists two unit vector fields C and D normal to i(N) and mutually orthogonal, then we have

(2.2) G(C,C)=1, G(C,D)=0, G(D,D)=1, G(BX,C)=0, G(BX,D)=0.

It is easy to see ([1], [7]) that we can define a tensor field f of type (1, 1), the vector fields E and A, 1-forms λ and μ , and scalar fields r, s and t on N by (2.3) $FBX=BfX+\lambda(X)C+\mu(X)D$,

(2.4)	FC = BE + rC + sD,
(2.5)	FD = BA + sC + tD.

PROPOSITION 1. $f, E, A, \lambda, \mu, r, s, t$ satisfy

- (2.6) $f^2 = I \lambda \otimes E \mu \otimes A$, $\lambda \cdot f = -r\lambda s\mu$, $\mu \cdot f = -s\lambda t\mu$,
- (2.7) fE = -rE sA, $\lambda(E) = 1 r^2 s^2$, $\mu(E) = -s(r+t)$,
- (2.8) fA = -sE tA, $\lambda(A) = -s(r+t)$, $\mu(A) = 1 s^2 t^2$.

PROOF. Transforming again the both members of (2.3) by F, we have $BX = F^2BX = B(f^2 + \lambda(X)E + \mu(X)A) + (f\lambda(X) + r\lambda(X) + s\mu(X))C$ $+ (f\mu(X) + s\lambda(X) + t\mu(X))D.$

Comparing tangential and normal parts we obtain the results (2.6). Similarly computing F^2C and F^2D , we have the relations (2.7) and (2.8) respectively.

PROPOSITION 2. If $rt - s^2 \neq 0$, then f is non-singular.

Invariant Submanifolds of Codimension 2 in a Locally Product Riemannian Manifold 179

PROOF. Suppose that fX=0, then $FBX=\lambda(X)C+\mu(X)D$, and hence $BX=F^2BX=B(\lambda(X)E+\mu(X)A)+(r\lambda(X)+s\mu(X))C+(s\lambda(X)+t\mu(X))D$, which yields $\lambda(X)=0$ and $\mu(X)=0$ for $rt-s^2 \neq 0$. Since X is tangent to N and BX=0, then we have X=0.

PROPOSITION 3. The tensor f defines an almost product structure on N if and

only if r+t=0, $r^2+s^2=1$.

PROOF. If r+t=0 and $r^2+s^2=1$, then from (2.7) and (2.8) we have $\lambda(E) = \mu(E) = 0$ and $\lambda(A) = \mu(A) = 0$, from which we get E = A = 0. Hence by (2.6), f is an almost product structure on N.

Conversely, if f is an almost product structure, then from (2.6) we have E = A = 0, and from (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain

 $r^2+s^2=1$, s(r+t)=0, $s^2+t^2=1$.

If s=0, then from (2.6) we get $\lambda \cdot f = \pm \lambda$ and $\mu \cdot f = \pm \mu$, from which $f = \pm I$. This contradicts the fact that f is a non-trivial almost product structure. Thus we have r+t=0 and $r^2+s^2=1$.

PROPOSITION 4. The induced metric g on N satisfy (2.9) $g(X,Y) = g(fX,fY) + \lambda(X)\lambda(Y) + \mu(X)\mu(Y),$ g(fX,Y) = g(X,fY),

(2.10) $g(X, E) = \lambda(X), \quad g(X, A) = \mu(X),$

(2.11) $g(E, E) = 1 - r^2 - s^2$, g(E, A) = -s(r+t), $g(A, A) = 1 - s^2 - t^2$.

PROOF. g(X,Y) = G(BX, BY) = G(FBX, FBY)= $g(fX, fY) + \lambda(X)\lambda(Y) + \mu(X)\mu(Y)$, $g(X, E) = G(BX, BE) = G(BX, FC - rC - sD) = G(FBX, C) = \lambda(X)$, $g(E, E) = G(BE, BE) = G(FC - rC - sD, FC - rC - sD) = 1 - r^2 - s^2$. Similarly, we have the remaining results.

From (2.11) we immediately obtain that the induced vectors E and A are non-zero if and only if $r^2+s^2 \neq 1$ and $s^2+t^2 \neq 1$ respectively.

If we denote by the $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ the covariant differentiation with respect to G, then we have the equations of Gause-Weingarten

(2.12) $(\widetilde{\nabla}_{BX}B)Y = h(X,Y)C + k(X,Y)D,$ $\widetilde{\nabla}_{BX}C = -BHX + l(X)D, \qquad \widetilde{\nabla}_{BX}D = -BKX - l(X)C,$

Yong-Bai Baik 180

where h and k are the second fundamental forms, and H and K are the corresponding Weingarten maps, and l is the third fundamental form. Since the enveloping manifold M is a locally product, taking account of (1.3)we have

> $\widetilde{\nabla}_{BX}FBY = h(X,Y)BE + k(X,Y)BA + (h(X,Y)r + k(X,Y)s)C$ $+(h(X,Y)s-k(X,Y)r)D+FB(\nabla_X Y).$

On the othere hand

 $\widetilde{\nabla}_{BX}FBY = \widetilde{\nabla}_{BX}(BfY + \lambda(Y)C + \mu(Y)D)$

 $=B((\nabla_X f)Y - \lambda(Y)HX - \mu(Y)KX + ((\nabla_X \lambda)Y + h(X, Y) - l(X)\mu(Y))C$ + $(\nabla_X \mu)Y + k(X,Y) + l(X)\lambda(Y))D + \lambda(\nabla_X Y)C + \mu(\nabla_X Y)D$,

where $\nabla_X Y$ denotes the component of $\widetilde{\nabla}_{BX} BY$ tangent to N. Therefore, using (2.3) and comparing tangential and normal parts we have

- $(\nabla_X f)Y = h(X,Y)E + k(X,Y)A + \lambda(Y)HX + \mu(Y)KX,$ (2.13)
- $h(X, fY) = rh(X, Y) + sk(X, Y) (\nabla_X \lambda)(Y) + l(X)\mu(Y),$ (2.14)
- (2.15) $k(X, fY) = sh(X, Y) + tk(X, Y) - (\nabla_X \mu)(Y) - l(X)\lambda(Y).$

The equation (2.13) gives us an expression for the covariant derivative of f, clearly N is totally geodesic then f is covariant constant. More genearly we prove

PROPOSITION 5. Let N be a submanifold of codimension 2 in M, if r+t=0,

 $r^2+s^2=1$, then f is covariant constant.

PROOF. If r+t=0 and $r^2+s^2=1$, by virtue of (2.11) we have E=A=0, and from (2.10) we have $\lambda(X) = \mu(X) = 0$. Thus we get $\nabla_X f = 0$.

3. Invariant submanifolds in a locally product Riemannian manifold.

We now assume that the tangent space of the submanifold N of codimension 2 in a locally product Riemannian manifold M is invariant under the action of the almost product structure tensor F of M, and such a submanifold an invariant submanifold.

For an invariant submanifold N, we have

FBX = BfX, (3.1)

that is

(3.2)λ=0, μ=0**.**

Invariant Submanifolds of Codimension 2 in a Locally Product Riemannian Manifold 181

in (2.3). Hence from (2.7) and (2.8) we get

(3.3) $r^2+s^2=1$, s(r+t)=0, $s^2+t^2=1$,

and from (2.11) we have E = A = 0.

We see easily that there occur only following two cases. i.e., case I and case I for an invariant submanifold N in a locally product Riemannian manifold M. Case I; s=0 and $r^2=t^2=1$ (rt>0).

Substituting above into (2.6), we have

 $\lambda \cdot f = \pm \lambda, \qquad \mu \cdot f = \pm \mu,$

which imply that

(3.4)
$$f = \pm I$$
.

In this case, the equation (2.4) and (2.5) can be written in the following

(3.5) $FC = \pm C$, $FD = \pm D$. (resp.) From (3.4) and (3.5) we get

 $(3.6) F=\pm I.$

This contradicts the fact that F is a non-trivial almost product structure over on M.

Case II; r+t=0 and $r^2+s^2=1$.

In this case, from (2.11) we have E=A=0. and from (2.10) we get $\lambda = \mu = 0$. Threfore the submanifold N is an invariant.

Thus we have

THEOREM 6. In order that a submanifold N of codimension 2 in a locally product Riemannian manifold M be an invariant, it is necessary and sufficient that t=-r, $r^2+s^2=1$ in (2.4) and (2.5).

For an invariant submanifold N, by the Theorem 6, the equations (2.4) and (2.5) can be written in the following

(3.7) FC = rC + sD, FD = sC - rD. $(r^2 + s^2 = 1)$

In this case, the transforms of C and D by F on the normal space at every point of N is a reflexion with respect to any line through the point.

Next, since N is an invariant submanifold we have from (2.6)

(3.8) $f^2 = I$,

and from (2.9)

(3.9) g(X,Y) = g(fX,fY),

from (2.13)

(3.10) $\nabla_X f = 0.$

182 Yong-Bai Baik

Thus we see that an invariant submanifold of codimension 2 in a locally product Riemannian manifold is also a locally product.

On the other hand, taking account of (3.8), the matrix (f) has ± 1 as eigenvalues, and we assume that (f) has eigenvalue +1 of multiplicity p' and eigenvalue -1 of multiplicity q', then we have (3.11) trace f = p' - q'.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a orthonormal local basis on N. Then n+2 vector fields $BX_1, BX_2, \dots, BX_n, C, D$ are also orthonormal basis at every point of M, and from (3.1) and (3.7) we have

trace $F = G(FBX_i, BX_i) + G(FC, C) + G(FD, D)$ = $g(fX_i, X_i) + r - r$ = trace f,

from (1.4) and (3.11) we obtain

p-q=p'-q'.

Since the invariant submanifold N is of codimension 2 in a (n+2)-dimensional manifold M, that is, p'+q'=n, hence we have

(3.12) p'=p-1, q'=q-1.

Thus we have

THEOREM 7. The invariant submanifold N in a locally product Riemannian manifold $M = M^{p} \times M^{q}$ is a locally product Riemannian manifold $N = N^{p-1} \times N^{q-1}$ with induced structures (f. g)

with induced structures (f, g).

4. Invariant totally umbilical submanifold in a locally product Riemannian manifold.

We assume that the enveloping manifold M is a locally product Riemannian manifold and the invariant submanifold N of codimension 2 imbedded in M is a totally umbilical. In this case, the second fundamental forms of N has the form (4.1) $h(X,Y) = \bar{h}g(X,Y), \quad k(X,Y) = \bar{k}g(X,Y),$ where $\bar{h} = (1/n)$ trace h and $\bar{k} = (1/n)$ trace k.

For an invariant submanifold N, the equations (2.14) and (2.15) become respectively

(4.2)	h(X, fY) = rh(X, Y) + sk(X, Y),
(4.3)	k(X, fY) = sh(X, Y) - rk(X, Y),

and from which

(4.4) $h(fX, fY) = h(X, Y), \quad k(fX, fY) = k(X, Y).$

Invariant Submanifolds of Codimension 2 in a Locally Product Riemannian Manifold 183 Substituting (4.1) into the equations (4.2) and (4.3) respectively we have (4.5) $\bar{h}g(X,fY) = (r\bar{h} + s\bar{k})g(X,Y),$ $\bar{k}g(X,fY) = (s\bar{h} - r\bar{k})g(X,Y),$

from which we have

(4.6) (trace f)
$$\bar{h} = n(r\bar{h} + s\bar{k})$$
,
(tracef) $\bar{k} = n(s\bar{h} - r\bar{k})$,

and taking use of $r^2 + s^2 = 1$, we have

(4.7) $(\operatorname{trace} f)^2(\bar{h}^2 + \bar{k}^2) = n^2(\bar{h}^2 + \bar{k}^2).$

According to Theorem 7, trace $f \neq \pm n$, then (4.7) imply that $\bar{h} = \bar{k} = 0$. Thus we have

THEOREM 8. An invariant totally umbilical submanifold of codimension 2 in a locally product Riemannian manifold is a totally geodesic. If $\bar{h}^2 + \bar{k}^2 \neq 0$, that the invariant submanifold N has non-zero mean curvature, then we have trace $f = \pm n$. This contradicts to Theorem 7.

THEOREM 9. Let M be a locally product Riemannian manifold, there is no totally umbilical invariant submanifold of codimension 2 in M, of non-zero mean curvature

> Pusan University Pusan, Korea

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D.E. Blair, G.D. Ludden and K. Yano, Induced structures on submanifolds. Ködai Math. Semi. Rep. 22(1970). 188-198.
- [2] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry volume II. Interscience Tracts, New York, 1969.
- [3] M. Okumura, Totally umbilical hypersurfaces of a locally product Riemannian manifold.
 Kōdai Semi. Rep. 19(1967), 35~42.
- [4] B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces. Ann. of Math. 85(1967), 246-266.
- [5] S. Tachibana, Some theorems on locally product Riemannian manifold. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 12(1960), 281-292.
- [6] K. Yano and S. Ishihara. Invariant submanifolds in a almost contact manifold. Kodai Math. Semi. Rep. 21(1969) 350-364.
- [7] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, On a problem of Nomizu-Smyth on a normal contact Riemannian manifold. J. Differential Geometry. 3(1969) 45-58.
- [8] K. Yano, Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces. Pergamon Press (1955).