

A NOTE ON HYPERSURFACES OF ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLDS

By Jin Suk Pak

1. Introduction.

In a recent paper [1], the authors consider a $2n$ -dimensional manifold M imbedded in almost contact manifold \tilde{M}^{2n+1} with fundamental affine collineation ϕ , fundamental vector field ξ and contact form η , and assume that for each $p \in M$ the vector field ξ does not belong to the tangent hyperplane of the hypersurface. This means that the vector field ξ can be taken as the "affine normal" to the hypersurface.

More recently [2], in the case which ξ is always tangent to M , it is known that there exists a vector field N playing the role of "affine normal" along the hypersurface.

In this paper, we consider the case where ξ is always tangent to M .

2. Hypersurfaces of almost contact manifolds.

Let $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ be an almost contact manifold, and let $M = M^{2n}$ be a hypersurface imbedded in \tilde{M} . Throughout this paper, we assume that the vector field ξ is always tangent to M . Then it is known that a vector field N exists along the hypersurface M such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi N &= -A, \quad \eta(N) = 0 \\ \text{and } \phi X &= fX + \alpha(X) \cdot N \end{aligned}$$

for some vector field A on M , (1, 1) type tensor field f and 1-form α .

Applying ϕ to the relation (2.1), we get

$$-X + \eta(X)\xi = f^2X + \alpha(fX)N - \alpha(X)A,$$

which shows that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f^2 &= -I + \eta \otimes \xi + \alpha \otimes A, \\ \alpha(fX) &= 0, \quad \eta(A) = 0, \quad \alpha(\xi) = 0, \quad \alpha(A) = 1. \\ f(\xi) &= 0, \quad f(A) = 0, \quad \eta(fX) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, where $\mathcal{X}(M)$ is the set of all vector fields on M . Thus we

Manifolds, mapping, tensor fields and any geometric objects we discuss are assumed to be differentiable and of class C^∞ .

have that, in an almost contact manifold \tilde{M} , a hypersurface M for the vector field ξ to be tangent to M admits $(f, \xi, A, \eta, \alpha, \lambda)$ -structure.

Moreover, if we define a tensor field \tilde{f} as

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{f} = f + \eta \otimes A,$$

then we obtain

$$\tilde{f}^2(X) = f^2(X) + \eta(fX)A + \eta(X)f(A) + \eta(X)\eta(A)A = f^2(X),$$

that is, $\tilde{f}^2 = f^2$ on M . From which we have that

$$\tilde{f}^4(X) = -\tilde{f}^2(X) \quad \text{on } M,$$

by virtue of (2.2). Since \tilde{f} has the same rank at each point of M , we find that the tensor field \tilde{f} defined as (2.3) is a quartic structure in M .

On the other hand, for the same \tilde{f} we get

$$\tilde{f}^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi + \alpha \otimes A,$$

$$\text{and } \eta(A) = 0, \quad \alpha(\xi) = 0.$$

Hence we can see that the hypersurface M is to be globally framed.

Combining the above results.

THEOREM 1. *The hypersurface M imbedded in almost contact manifold \tilde{M} in such a way that the vector field ξ is always tangent to M is a globally framed quartic manifold.*

3. Hypersurfaces of Sasakian manifolds.

Let $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, \tilde{g})$ be an almost contact manifold, and let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection of \tilde{g} . For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)N,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X N = -HX + w(X)N,$$

where $\nabla_X Y$ and $-HX$ are the tangential parts (with respect to N) of $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_X N$, respectively, to M . We can see that $\nabla : (X, Y) \rightarrow \nabla_X Y$ is a symmetric connection on M , h is symmetric, and is called the second fundamental form of M (with respect to N).

If $h=0$ on M , then M is called to be totally geodesic. Let g be the induced metric: $g = \tilde{g}/M$. In general, the connection ∇ is not the Levi-Civita connection of g . Using (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = h(X, Y)g(N, Z) + h(X, Z)g(Y, N)$$

Suppose that ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric g , then we find

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X \cdot g(Y, Z) + Y \cdot g(X, Z) - Z \cdot g(X, Y) + g([X, Y], Z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+g([Z, X], Y) + g(X, [Z, Y]) \\
 &= 2\tilde{g}(\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)N, Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

From which we have

$$(3.4) \quad h(X, Y)\tilde{g}(N, Z) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Since N is an affine normal, we find from (3.3) and (3.4) the following:

THEOREM 2. *In order that the connection ∇ of the hypersurface M imbedded in almost contact manifold \tilde{M} in such a way that the vector field ξ is always tangent to M is a Riemannian connection of $g = \tilde{g}/M$, it is necessary and sufficient that M is totally geodesic.*

Now, we assume that $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, \tilde{g})$ is a Sasakian manifold; that is, the following holds good:

$$(3.5) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_U \phi)V = \eta(V)U - \tilde{g}(U, V)\xi, \quad U, V \in \mathcal{X}(\tilde{M}),$$

where $\mathcal{X}(\tilde{M})$ is the set of all vector fields on \tilde{M} . It is well known that (3.5) implies

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_U \xi = \phi U$$

Suppose M is totally geodesic, then (3.6) implies $\alpha(X) = 0$ for any X . Thus we have immediately the following:

THEOREM 3. *There are no totally geodesic hypersurfaces imbedded in a Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} in such a way that the vector field ξ is always tangent to M .*

Summing up theorem 2 and 3, we obtain

THEOREM 4. *The induced connection ∇ of the hypersurface M imbedded in a Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} in such a way that the vector field ξ is always tangent to M cannot be a Riemannian connection of $g = \tilde{g}/M$.*

4. Hypersurfaces of affinely cosymplectic manifold.

We assume that $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ is affinely cosymplectic; an almost contact manifold $\tilde{M}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ with a symmetric affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}\phi = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}\eta = 0.$$

Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)Y = 0, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Y = f(\nabla_X Y) + \alpha(\nabla_X Y)N - h(X, Y)A, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

On the other hand

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Y = (\nabla_X f)Y + f(\nabla_X Y) + h(X, fY)N - \alpha(Y)HX \\ + \alpha(Y)\omega(X)N + (\nabla_X \alpha Y)N.$$

Comparing (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$(4.4) \quad (\nabla_X f)Y = \alpha(Y)HX - h(X, Y)A,$$

$$(4.5) \quad (\nabla_X \alpha)Y = -h(X, fY) - \alpha(Y)\omega(X).$$

Moreover, we find from (4.1), (4.4) and (4.5)

$$(4.6) \quad [f, f](X, Y) + d\eta(X, Y)\xi + d\alpha(X, Y)A \\ = \alpha(Y)HfX - \alpha(X)HfY + \alpha(X)fHY - \alpha(Y)fHX \\ + (\alpha \wedge \omega)(X, Y)A$$

Now we assume that M is to be totally flat, then $HX=0$.

Thus we find from (4.6) the following:

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that the hypersurface M imbedded in an affinely cosymplectic manifold \tilde{M} in such a way that the vector field ξ is always tangent to M is to be totally flat. Then the necessary and sufficient condition in order that $(f, \xi, A, \eta, \alpha, \lambda)$ -structure is normal is $\alpha \wedge \omega = 0$ on M .*

Kyungpook University

REFERENCE

- [1] Samuel I. Goldberg and Kentaro Yano, *Noninvariant hypersurfaces of almost contact manifolds*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 22(1970), 199—218.
- [2] —, *Polynomial structure on manifolds*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 22(1970), 25—34.