## **ON THE COMMUTATIVITY OF NEAR RINGS**

By Steve Ligh

A near ring is a triple  $(R, +, \cdot)$  such that (R, +) is a group,  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and  $\cdot$  is left distributive over +, i.e. w(x+y)=wx+wy for each w, x,  $y \in R$ . An element  $r \in R$  is called right distributive if and only if (a+b)r=ar+brfor each  $a, b \in R$ . A near ring R is distributively generated (d.g.) if there exists  $S \subseteq R$  such that  $(S, \cdot)$  is a sub-semigroup of  $(R, \cdot)$ , each element of S is right distributive, and S is an additive generating set for (R, +). Other definitions may be found in [4]. The purpose of this note is to extend the famous " $x^{n(x)}=x$ " theorem of Jacobson to a special class of d.g. near rings. Also a very elementary proof of theorem 2 in [3] is obtained.

DEFINITION. A d.g. near ring R is called an  $\alpha$ -near ring if whenever  $x \in R$  is right distributive, then -x is also right distributive.

Every ring is clearly an  $\alpha$ -near ring. Examples of  $\alpha$ -near rings which are not rings can be found in [1, 2.5, #29, #36].

The following lemma is easy.

LEMMA 1. If R is a near ring and x is a right distributive element, then (-w)x = -(wx) = w(-x) for each  $w \in R$ .

LEMMA 2. Let R be an  $\alpha$ -near ring and suppose x is a right distributive element in R. Then yx=0 for each  $y \in R'$ , where R' is the commutator subgroup of (R, +).

PROOF. It suffices to show that (a+b-a-b)x=0 for each  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . This follows from the calculation below.

$$(a+b-a-b)x = [a-(a-b)-b]x = ax + [-(a-b)]x + (-b)x$$
$$= ax + (a-b)(-x) + (-b)x$$
$$= ax + a(-x) + (-b)(-x) + (-b)x = 0.$$

THEOREM. Let R be an  $\alpha$ -near ring without any nonzero nilpotent elements. Then R is a ring.

PROOF. Since R is d.g., every element in R can be written as a finite sum of right and anti-right distributive elements [4, p. 1367]. In particular, R is an  $\alpha$ -near ring, so each element of R is a finite sum of right distributive elements.

## 106 Steve Ligh

Now suppose  $x \neq 0$  is an element of R' and  $x = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ . Then by lemma 2, we have that

$$x^{2} = x(a_{1} + a_{2} + \dots + a_{n}) = xa_{1} + xa_{2} + \dots + xa_{n} = 0.$$

This contradiction implies that R'=0 and hence (R, +) is an abelian group. It follows that [2, p. 93] R is a ring.

Using the " $x^{n(x)} = x$ " theorem of Jacobson we get the following result.

COROLLARY 1. Let R be an  $\alpha$ -near ring such that for each  $x \in R$ , there is an integer n(x) > 1 for which  $x^{n(x)} = x$ . Then R is a commutative ring.

The next result [3, Theorem 2] was obtained by using subdirect sum representation of near rings. The following is a very elementary proof.

COROLLARY 2. Every d.g. boolean near ring R is a boolean ring.

PROOF. Suppose that x is a right distributive element. Since  $(x+x)^2 = x+x$ , we see that x+x=0 by expanding  $(x+x)^2$ . Thus -x=x and hence -x is also right distributive. It follows that R is an  $\alpha$ -near ring and by corollary 1, R is a boolean ring.

A near ring R is said to be distributive if every element of R is right distributive. Thus R is an  $\alpha$ -near ring. An example of a distributive near ring which is not a ring is given in [1, 2.5, #29]. The following is a corollary of lemma 2.

COROLLARY 3. Let R be a distributive near ring. Then either every element of R is a zero divisor or R is a ring.

University of Florida

ς.

**€**—

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Clay, The near-rings on groups of low order, Math. Z. 104(1968), 364-371.
- [2] A. Fröhlich, Distributively generated near-rings, I. Ideal Theory, Proc. London Math. Soc. 8(1958), 76-94.
- [3] Steve Ligh, On boolean near-rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1(1969), 375-379.
- [4] Steve Ligh, On division near-rings, Canad. J. Math. 21(1969), 1366-1371.

· · ·