

MUTANTS IN SEMIGROUPS, A GENERALIZATION

By Joseph S. Cartisano

1. Introduction.

A mutant in a semigroup is defined as follows: a subset M of a semigroup S is a *mutant* if and only if $MM \subseteq S \setminus M$, where $MM = \{ab : a \in M \text{ and } b \in M\}$ and $S \setminus M$ is the set of all elements in S not in M . In [2] Iseki made a definition of a mutant in a semigroup S as follows: a subset M of S is an (m, n) mutant of S if and only if $M^m \subseteq S \setminus M^n$. Iseki also established in [2] a theorem which states that if H and L are (m, n) mutants in semigroups S and T , respectively, then $H \times L$ is an (m, n) mutant of $S \times T$. The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the concept of mutant by defining a set we choose to call a *niltant*, and proving a theorem similar to Theorem 2 in [3] which Kim has established.

2. Notations and Definitions.

Let S be a semigroup. If $H \subseteq S$, define $E(H) = \{e \in H : e^2 = ee = e\}$. For n a positive integer let $H_n = \{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n : a_i \in H, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $S \setminus H = \{a \in S : a \notin H\}$.

DEFINITION. Let N be a subset of a semigroup S . If $N^i \subseteq S \setminus N$ for all positive integers i , $1 < i \leq n+1$ (n is a fixed integer), we then say N is a *n-th order niltant* in S .

NOTE. A 1-st order niltant is a mutant.

3. Theorems.

We will now state and prove a theorem similar to the theorem established by Iseki which was stated in our introduction. But first we will need a lemma.

LEMMA. *If M and N are n -th order niltants in semigroups S and T , respectively, then $M \times N$ is a n -th order niltant in $S \times T$.*

PROOF. Let $(x_i, y_i) \in M \times N$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, for $1 < r \leq n+1$. Now suppose $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \cdots (x_r, y_r) = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r, y_1 y_2 \cdots y_r) \in M \times N$.

This implies $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r) \in M$ and $(y_1 y_2 \cdots y_r) \in N$ which gives a contradiction. Hence our conclusion holds.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a m -th order niltant, N a n -th order niltant, in semi-groups S and T respectively. Then $M \times N$ is a p -th order niltant in $S \times T$, where p is the max of m and n .*

PROOF. If $m=n$, our conclusion follows from the lemma. Suppose then that $m < n$. Let $(x_i, y_i) \in M \times N$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ where $1 < r \leq n+1$. Now if

$$(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) \cdots (x_r, y_r) \in M \times N,$$

then $(y_1 y_2 \cdots y_r) \in N$. But $N^r \subseteq S \setminus N$, hence a contradiction. Dually we get a contradiction for $m > n$. This completes our proof.

Before we state the main result of this paper, we reproduce here Theorem 2 of Kim in [3], without the proof.

THEOREM. *Let S be a semigroup.*

(i) *S has no decomposition $S = M_1 \cup M_2$,*

(ii) *S has no decomposition $S = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$ into three disjoint mutants $M_i, (i=1, 2, 3)$ of S .*

We shall now state and prove the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 2. *A semigroup S has no decomposition into the union of three disjoint n -th order niltants in S , for $n \geq 1$.*

PROOF. Clearly, if $E(S) \neq \phi$, the empty set, then our conclusion follows quickly. Thus we will assume $E(S) = \phi$, which also implies S is infinite. Now the combination of our note at the end of section 2 and Kim's Theorem 2 stated above, gives our desired result for $n=1$.

Consider now N_1, N_2, N_3 three disjoint 2-nd order niltants in S . The following symbol borrowed from Kim [3] will be used:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1, 4,) \\ & (2, 5,) \\ & (3,) (6) \end{aligned}$$

This symbol denotes that if niltant N_1 contains elements x, x^4 , niltant N_2 contains x^2, x^5 , and niltant N_3 contains x^3 . Then there is no niltant $N_i (i=1, 2, 3)$ containing x^6 .

We have the following combinations for $n=2$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (1, 4,) \quad (1, 4,) \quad (1, 5,) \quad (1, 6,) \quad (1, 6, 9,) \\ & (2, 5,) \quad (2,) \quad (2,) \quad (2, 5,) \quad (2, 7, 8,) \end{aligned}$$

(3,) (6) (3, 5,) (6) (3, 4,) (6) (3, 4,) (7) (3, 4, 5,) (10)

(1, 4, 7, 10, 13,) (1, 4, 7,)
 (2, 3, 11, 12,) (2, 3, 10, 11,)
 (5, 6, 8, 9,) (14) (5, 6, 8, 9,) (12)

(1, 4, 10, 13,) (1, 4, 11,)
 (2, 3, 11, 12,) (2, 3, 10,)
 (5, 6, 7, 8, 9,) (14) (5, 6, 7, 8, 9,) (12)

(1, 4,) (1, 5, 8, 12,)
 (2, 3, 10, 11,) (2, 3, 10, 11,)
 (5, 6, 7, 8, 9,) (12) (4, 6, 7, 9,) (13)

(1, 6,) (1, 7,) (1, 8,)
 (2, 3,) (2, 3,) (2, 3,)
 (4, 5, 7,) (8) (4, 5, 6,) (8) (4, 5, 6, 7,) (9)

Our conclusion for $n=2$.

Consider $n=3$.

(1, 5,) (1, 6,) (1, 6,) (1, 5,)
 (2,) (2, 5,) (2, 7,) (2, 3,)
 (3, 4,) (6) (3, 4,) (7) (3, 4, 5,) (8) (4, 6, 7,) (8)

(1, 6,) (1, 7,) (1, 8,)
 (2, 3,) (2, 3,) (2, 3,)
 (4, 5, 7,) (8) (4, 5, 6,) (8) (4, 5, 6, 7,) (9)

Our conclusion for $n=3$.

Consider $n=4$.

(1, 6,) (1, 6,) (1, 6,)
 (2, 5,) (2, 7,) (2, 3,)
 (3, 4,) (7) (3, 4, 5,) (8) (4, 5, 7,) (8)

(1, 7,) (1, 8,)
 (2, 3,) (2, 3,)
 (4, 5, 6,) (8) (4, 5, 6, 7,) (9)

Our conclusion for $n=4$,

Consider $n=5$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1, &) & (1, &) & (1, & & 7,) \\ (2, & 5,) & (2, &) & (2, & 3, &) \\ (3, & 4, &)(6) & (3, & 4, & 5,) & (6) & (& 4, & 5, & 6, &) & (8) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1, & & 8,) \\ (2, & 3, &) \\ (& 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, &) & (9) \end{array}$$

Our conclusion for $n=5$.

Consider $n=6$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1, &) & (1, &) & (1, & & 8,) \\ (2, & 5,) & (2, &) & (2, & 3, &) \\ (3, & 4, &)(6) & (3, & 4, & 5,) & (6) & (& 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, &) & (9) \end{array}$$

Our conclusion for $n=6$.

Now clearly for any $n \geq 7$ we shall continue to have only three possible combinations. Suppose we had $n \geq 7$, then if $x^1 \in N_1$, $x^2 \in N_2$, x^4 must be in N_3 . Thus x^3 can be in N_2 or N_3 . If $x^3 \in N_2$, x^5 must be in N_3 . Therefore x^8 cannot be in N_i , ($i=1, 2, 3$). If $x^3 \in N_3$ then x^6 cannot be in N_i , ($i=1, 2, 3$). This completes our proof.

4. Conjectures.

CONJECTURE 1. No semigroup S has a decomposition into the union of a finite number of disjoint n -th order nilpotents in S , for $n \geq 1$.

CONJECTURE 2. Let S be a topological semigroup. If $a \in S$ and $a^i \neq a$ for any integer $1 < i \leq n+1$, n some positive integer, then there exists an open nilpotent of n -th order $N(a)$ in S containing a .

West Liberty State College

REFERENCES

- [1] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston: *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [2] K. Iseki: *On mutants in semigroups*, Proc. Japan Acad. 38, pp. 478—479. 1962.
- [3] J.B. Kim: *Mutants in semigroups*, (Zech. Math. Journal, 19(94), Praha, pp.86—90, 1969.