

Analytic Extensions and Local Spectra

JAE CHUL RHO

1. Introduction. The direct sum $H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$ of an arbitrary family of Hilbert spaces $\{H_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is defined in the following: Let H be an additive subgroup $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$ consisting of all $(x_\gamma) \in \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$ for which $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|x_\gamma\|^2 < \infty$.

Defining scalar multiplication and vector addition in H , coordinatewise and the scalar product in H by

$$(1.1) \quad \langle (x_\gamma), (y_\gamma) \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle x_\gamma, y_\gamma \rangle,$$

Then $H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$ forms a Hilbert space.

Let $T_\gamma \in B(H_\gamma)$ be an endomorphism (that is a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space to itself),

Suppose that

$$(1.2) \quad \sup\{\|T_\gamma\| : \gamma \in \Gamma\} < \infty.$$

We define the sum $T = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} T_\gamma$ on H by

$$(1.3) \quad T((x_\gamma)) = (T_\gamma(x_\gamma)).$$

According to this definition, the operator T becomes an endomorphism, that is, $T \in B(H)$, and $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T_\gamma\| : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Defining a projection $P_\gamma : H \rightarrow H_\gamma$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad P_\gamma x = x_\gamma \text{ for each } x \in H,$$

We have the following.

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} P_\gamma = I \\ & \text{(ii) } P_\alpha P_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P_\alpha, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma) \\ & \text{(iii) The restriction of } T \text{ in } H_\gamma \text{ is } T_\gamma \text{ and} \\ & \text{(iv) } TP_\gamma = P_\gamma T \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $P_\gamma x \in H_\gamma$, and together with the assertion (iv), We see that the operator T reduces each of the spaces H_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Identifying an element x_γ in H_γ with the element $(0, 0, \dots, 0, x_\gamma, 0, 0, \dots)$ in

H , the space H_γ can be regarded as a subspace of the space H .

According to the above statement, it is easily seen that

$$Tx = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} T_\gamma x_\gamma \text{ and } x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x_\gamma.$$

The summations make senses since

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|T_\gamma x_\gamma\|^2 < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|x_\gamma\|^2 < \infty.$$

In this paper we shall show the relation between the local spectrum of $T \in B(H)$ at $x \in H$ and the local spectra of T_γ at $x_\gamma \in H_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

2. Notations, definitions and fundamental facts.

DEFINITION 1. *The local resolvent set $\rho(x, T)$ of T at x is the set of all complex numbers $\zeta \in C$ for which there is a neighborhood N of ζ , and an analytic function.*

$\mathcal{R} : N \rightarrow H$ such that $(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R} = x$ for all $\lambda \in N$.

The local spectrum $\sigma(x, T)$ of T at x is the complement in of $\rho(x, T)$ in C .

From this definition, We know that the analytic function $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ is an analytic continuation of the resolvent, i. e.,

$$R(\lambda, x, T) = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}x, \lambda \in \rho(T), \text{ that is } \rho(T) \subset \rho(x, T) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}x \text{ if } \lambda \in \rho(T), \text{ and analytic function in the set } \rho(x, T)$$

DEFINITION 2. *By an operator T having a single valued extension property, We mean that if there are two analytic extensions \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 of $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}x$, then $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R}_2$ on $N_1 \cap N_2$. Where $N_i \cap \rho(x, T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{R}_i : N_i \rightarrow H (i=1, 2)$.*

It is a well known fact that an analytic extension need not be single valued, and that if T has the single valued extension property, then there is unique maximal extension whose domain is $\rho(x, T)$.

In the present papers we assume that the operator T . (or $T_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$) has the single valued extension property.

3. Local Spectra. In this section, We will discuss the main purpose of this papers, first of all we have the following

LEMMA 1. *If an operator $T_\gamma : H_\gamma \rightarrow H_\gamma$ has the single valued extension property, then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Where $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ are analytic extensions of $(\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma)^{-1} x_\gamma$ and $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}x$ respectively.

Proof. Since $P_\gamma T = T P_\gamma$ and $P_\gamma \in B(H)$, We have $\sigma(P_\gamma x, T) \subseteq \sigma(x, T)$ for all $x \in H$, therefore $\sigma(x_\gamma, T) \subseteq \sigma(x, T)$ for all $x_\gamma \in H_\gamma$ and $x \in H$.

By definition, for each $\zeta \in \rho(x, T)$, there exists a neighborhood $N(\zeta)$ and an analytic function $\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad (\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = x \text{ for each } \lambda \in N(S).$$

Moreover we have

$$(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = x_\gamma \text{ since } \rho(P_\gamma x, T) \supseteq \rho(x, T).$$

An operator $\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma$ is a restriction of $\lambda I - T$ to H_γ and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma)$ belongs to H_γ . Consequently we get

$$(3.2) \quad (\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = x_\gamma.$$

On the other hand

$$P_\gamma [(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)] = P_\gamma x_\gamma = x_\gamma$$

Whence we have

$$(3.3) \quad (\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma)P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = x_\gamma \text{ since } P_\gamma^2 = P_\gamma.$$

From (3.2), (3.3) and the assumption that $T_\gamma (\gamma \in \Gamma)$ have single valued extension property, the equality

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$$

must be satisfied.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $T \in B(H)$, $H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$, $P_\gamma H = H_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in H$, then we have

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma(x_\gamma, T) \subseteq \sigma(x_\gamma, T_\gamma) \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. We know that the operator T is an extension of T_γ on H . Therefore the equation (3.2) implies

$$(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = x_\gamma \text{ for any } \lambda \in N(\zeta).$$

This shows $\zeta \in \rho(x_\gamma, T)$, that is, $\rho(x_\gamma, T_\gamma) \subseteq \rho(x_\gamma, T)$

thus we have (3.4).

THEOREM 1. Suppose $T \in B(H)$, $H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$, $P_\gamma H = H_\gamma$ and $T_\gamma \in B(H_\gamma)$. T has the single valued extension property if and only if T_γ has the single valued extension property for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In this case, We have

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma(x, T) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(x_\gamma, T_\gamma)$$

Proof. Suppose T_γ has the single valued extension property for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then there exists unique extension of the resolvent of T_γ at x_γ such that

$$(\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = x_\gamma \text{ for each } \lambda \in N(\zeta) \text{ if } \zeta \in \rho(x_\gamma, T_\gamma).$$

Since $P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma)$ by Lemma 1, We have

$$P_\gamma [(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) - x] = 0 \text{ for each } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Thus we have

$$(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = x.$$

This means that $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ is an extended resolvent of T at x . It is easily seen that the H -valued analytic function $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ is unique, and that

$$\zeta \in \rho(x, T), \text{ that is } \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \rho(x_\gamma, T_\gamma) \subset \rho(x, T).$$

Conversely, suppose that $T \in B(H)$ has the single valued extension property, then there exist a unique extended resolvent such that

$$(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = x$$

for each λ in some neighborhood of $\zeta \in \rho(x, T)$.

Operating P_γ , We have

$$(\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma) P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = x_\gamma.$$

On the other hand, suppose that the extended resolvent of T_γ at x_γ is $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma)$, that is,

$$(\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = x_\gamma.$$

Then $(\lambda I_\gamma - T_\gamma) [P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) - \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma)] = 0$.

Since λ is not an eigen value of T_γ , We have

$$P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma).$$

Therefore

$\zeta \in \rho(x_\gamma, T_\gamma)$ and hence we $P_\gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x)$ is the unique extended resolvent of T_γ at x_γ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This shows $T_\gamma (\gamma \in \Gamma)$ has the single valued extension property at x_γ and $\rho(x, T) \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \rho(x_\gamma, T_\gamma)$, thus we have completed the proof.

THEOREM 2. If $T \in B(H)$, $H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H_\gamma$ and $P_\gamma H = H_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T) = \sigma(T_\gamma).$$

Proof. For an arbitrary but fixed $\lambda \in \rho(T_\gamma)$ and each $x_\gamma \in H_\gamma$, there exists an analytic H_γ -valued function

$$f(\lambda) = (\lambda I - T_\gamma)^{-1} x_\gamma = (xI - T)^{-1} x_\gamma = (\lambda I - T)^{-1} P_\gamma x.$$

This shows that the number λ certainly belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(P_\gamma x, T)$, thus we have

$$\rho(T_\gamma) \subseteq \rho(P_\gamma x, T) \text{ for each } x \in H,$$

that is

$$\bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T) \subseteq \sigma(T_\gamma).$$

In order to have a converse relation, we consider an element $\zeta \in \bigcap_{x \in H} \rho(P_\gamma x, T)$.

By definition, there exists an analytic H_γ -valued function $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, P_\gamma x)$, and a neighborhood $N(\zeta)$ such that

$$(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{R}(\lambda, P_\gamma x) = P_\gamma x \text{ for each } x \in H \text{ and } \lambda \in N(\zeta).$$

Consider a mapping

$$A : x_\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\lambda, x_\gamma).$$

Obviously, this mapping is linear on H_γ into H_γ . We consider the span of the

set $\{\mathcal{L}(\lambda, x_\gamma) : x_\gamma \in H_\gamma\}$ of analytic vector valued functions, and denote it by $S = \text{span} \{\mathcal{L}(\lambda, x_\gamma) : x_\gamma \in H_\gamma\}$.

Since a linear Combination of analytic functions is analytic for $\lambda \in \bigcap_{x \in H} \rho(P_\gamma x, T)$, the operator A maps H_γ onto S . Therefore

$$(\lambda I - T)\mathcal{L}(\lambda, x_\gamma) = (\lambda I - T)Ax_\gamma = x_\gamma.$$

Thus we have

$$\|x_\gamma\| \leq \|(\lambda I - T)^{-1}\| \|Ax_\gamma\| \leq (|\lambda| + \|T\|) \|Ax_\gamma\|,$$

and

$$(|\lambda| + \|T\|)^{-1} \|x_\gamma\| \leq \|Ax_\gamma\|.$$

Since $T \in B(H)$, $M = (|\lambda| + \|T\|)^{-1} < \infty$ Whence

$$M \|x_\gamma\| \leq \|Ax_\gamma\|, \quad M > 0.$$

This shows that the operator A has a bounded inverse. The domain of A^{-1} is S and range of A^{-1} is all of H_γ , and the restriction of $\lambda I - T$ in S is the operator A^{-1} . Since A^{-1} is bounded and linear, this operator is Continuous. Therefore A^{-1} can be extended to a bounded operator on \bar{S} . Hence $A = (A^{-1})^{-1} : H_\gamma \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is also closed, this means that $(\lambda I - T_\gamma)^{-1}$ is continuous, thus we have $\zeta \in \rho(T_\gamma)$.

Consequently,

$$\bigcap_{x \in H} \rho(P_\gamma x, T) \subseteq \rho(T_\gamma) \\ \text{i. e., } \sigma(T_\gamma) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T).$$

It is well known that the equality $\sigma(T_\gamma) = \bigcup_{x \in H_\gamma} \sigma(x_\gamma, T_\gamma)$ is valid. From this together with Theorem 2, We have

$$\sigma(T_\gamma) = \bigcup_{x \in H_\gamma} \sigma(x_\gamma, T_\gamma) = \bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T)$$

or

$$\bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T) = \bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T).$$

Now we can prove the following.

THEOREM 3. *If $H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I} H_\gamma$, $P_\gamma H = H_\gamma$ and $T \in B(T)$, then following equality will be satisfied:*

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma(T) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \sigma(T_\gamma)$$

where

$$T = \sum_{\gamma \in I} T_\gamma \text{ and } T_\gamma \in B(H_\gamma).$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, $\bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \sigma(x_\gamma, T_\gamma) = \sigma(x, T)$,

we have

$$\bigcup_{x \in H} \bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T_\gamma) = \bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(x, T) = \sigma(T)$$

And by the fact that $\bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T_\gamma) = \sigma(T_\gamma)$.

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \bigcup_{x \in H} \sigma(P_\gamma x, T_\gamma) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \sigma(T_\gamma).$$

Therefore we have the desired equality

$$\sigma(T) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in I} \sigma(T_\gamma).$$

This completes the proof.

References

1. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Academic press Inc. Publishers, New York, 1963.
2. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators Part I*, II. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
3. J. D. Gray, *Local analytic extensions of the resolvent*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Nov., 1968. Vol. 27, No. 2.
4. J. G. Stampfli, *Analytic extensions and spectral localization*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 16, Number 1—6, 1966.

Sogang University