

ON THE COMPLETENESS OF UNIFORM SPACES

By Youngshik Chang

§ 1. Introduction

Kelley's conjecture* on the completeness of uniform spaces is as follows: a uniform space satisfying the first axiom of countability would be complete if every Cauchy sequence in the space converged to a point of the space.

The main purpose of this note is to prove that his conjecture is false. In § 3 we shall construct a uniform space satisfying the first axiom of countability in which every Cauchy sequence converges to a point but some Cauchy net does not converge. Such a space evidently is not complete.

I owe thanks to professor Chi Young Kim who has suggested many improvements for this note.

§ 2 Definitions and theorems.

As a preparation to the following section we shall describe some definitions and theorems which can be found in [1].

Let D be a directed set with the binary relation \geq .

DEFINITION 1. A net $\{x_n | n \in D\}$ is eventually in a set A iff there is an element $m \in D$ such that, if $n \in D$ and $n \geq m$, then $x_n \in A$.

DEFINITION 2. A net $\{x_n | n \in D\}$ in the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is a Cauchy net iff the net $\{(x_m, x_n) | (m, n) \in D \times D\}$ is eventually in each member of the uniformity \mathcal{U} . (It is understood that $D \times D$ is given the product ordering.)

DEFINITION 3. A uniform space is complete iff every Cauchy net in the space converges to a point of the space.

THEOREM 1. A family \mathcal{B} of subsets of $X \times X$ is a base for some uniformity for X if and only if

- (a) each member of \mathcal{B} contains the diagonal Δ ;
- (b) if $U \in \mathcal{B}$, then U^{-1} contains a member of \mathcal{B} ;

* cf. J. L. Kelley "General Topology" (1955) page 193

- (c) if $U \in \mathcal{B}$, then $V \circ V \subset U$ for some V in \mathcal{B} ; and
 (d) the intersection of two members of \mathcal{B} contains a member.

THEOREM 2. If \mathcal{B} is a base for the uniformity \mathcal{U} for X , then for each $x \in X$ the family of sets $V[x]$ for V in \mathcal{B} is a base for the neighborhood system of x .

§3 Lemmas and main theorem.

Now in this section it will be shown that the Kelley's conjecture is false.

Let X be any uncountable set. For each sequence $S = \{x_i | i \in \omega\}$ in X we make a subset U_s of $X \times X$ such that

$$U_s = (X - \bigcup_i \{x_i\}) \times (X - \bigcup_i \{x_i\}) \cup (\sum_i (x_i, x_i))$$

LEMMA 1. *The subset U_s of $X \times X$ has the following properties.*

- (1) U_s contains the diagonal Δ .
- (2) $U_s = U_s^{-1}$.
- (3) $U_s \circ U_s = U_s$.

PROOF. (1) and (2) are clear.

For every member $(x, y) \in U_s \circ U_s$ there exists some point z in X such that $(x, y) = (x, z) \circ (z, y) \in U_s \circ U_s$.

(i) If x or y is a member of the sequence S , then $x = z = y$ and therefore (x, y) belongs to U_s .

(ii) If both x and y are not members of the sequence S , then the (x, y) clearly belongs to U_s .

It follows from (i) and (ii) that $U_s \circ U_s$ is contained in U_s .

While $U_s \circ U_s \supset U_s \circ \Delta = U_s$, therefore $U_s \circ U_s = U_s$. This establishes (3).

For every sequence S' in X we may construct a subset $U_{s'}$ of $X \times X$ as before.

LEMMA 2. *The family $\mathcal{B} = \{U_s | S \text{ is a sequence in } X\}$ is a base for some uniformity \mathcal{U} of X .*

PROOF. By Lemma 1 the following conditions (1), (2) and (3) are clearly satisfied in \mathcal{B} .

- (1) Each member of \mathcal{B} contains the diagonal Δ .
- (2) For each U_s in \mathcal{B} , $U_s = U_s^{-1}$.
- (3) For each U_s in \mathcal{B} , $U_s \circ U_s = U_s$.

For arbitrary two members $U_{s'}$ and $U_{s''}$ of \mathcal{B} there are two sequences $S' = \{x_1', x_2', \dots, x_i', \dots\}$ and $S'' = \{x_1'', x_2'', \dots, x_i'', \dots\}$ in X .

Let $S = \{x_1', x_1'', x_2', x_2'', \dots, x_i', x_i'', \dots\}$. Then since S is a sequence in X there is a member U_s in \mathcal{B} . It is clear that $U_{s'} \cap U_{s''} = U_s$. Hence $U_{s'} \cap U_{s''}$ is a member of \mathcal{B} . We now have the following result.

(4). The intersection of two members of \mathcal{B} is again a member of \mathcal{B} .

(1), (2), (3) and (4) are the sufficient conditions for the family \mathcal{B} to be a base for some uniformity \mathcal{U} of X (by Theorem 1).

Then we have:

LEMMA 3. (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space with the discrete uniform topology.

PROOF For an arbitrary point x of X there exists a sequence $S' = \{x_i' \mid i \in \omega\}$ in X such that $x = x_i'$ for each $i \in \omega$. Since $U_{s'}$ is a member of the base \mathcal{B} , $U_{s'}[x] = \{x\}$ is a neighborhood of x (by Theorem 2). Hence Lemma 3 follows.

LEMMA 4. A sequence $S = \{x_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ in (X, \mathcal{U}) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if there is a $k \in \omega$ such that $x_m = x_n$ for every $m, n \geq k$.

PROOF Let $S = \{x_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ be a sequence in (X, \mathcal{U}) . If there is not $k \in \omega$ satisfying the given condition, then for every $j \in \omega$ there exist $m, n \in \omega$ such that $m, n \geq j$ and $x_m \neq x_n$. Since x_m and x_n are the members of the sequence S , (x_m, x_n) does not belong to the U_s .

Therefore $S \times S = \{(x_i, x_j) \mid (i, j) \in \omega \times \omega\}$ is not eventually in U_s . Hence the sequence S is not a Cauchy sequence in (X, \mathcal{U}) . This establishes half of Lemma 4, and the converse is obvious.

LEMMA 5. Every Cauchy sequence in (X, \mathcal{U}) converges to one point of the space.

PROOF. It is clear by Lemma 4.

Then we have the following main theorem.

MAIN THEOREM. For the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) which is constructed as above,

(a) the first axiom of countability is satisfied,

(b) every Cauchy sequence in (X, \mathcal{U}) converges to one point of the space,

and (c) there is some Cauchy net in (X, \mathcal{U}) which does not converge to a point of the space.

PROOF. (a) and (b) are obvious by the Lemmas 3 and 5. Let $X_s = X - \{x_i \mid x_i \in S\}$ where $S = \{x_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ is a sequence in X . Then the family $\mathcal{O} = \{X_s \mid U_s \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is clearly directed by \subset , and every member X_s in \mathcal{O} is a non-empty subset of the set X because the set X is uncountable. For each X_s in \mathcal{O} we may choose a point y_s in X_s . Then the net $\{(y_{s'}, y_s) \mid (X_{s'}, X_s) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}\}$ is eventually in each member of the base \mathcal{B} for the uniformity \mathcal{U} , because for each member U_s in \mathcal{B} there is a member X_s in \mathcal{O} such that $(y_{s'}, y_s) \in U_s$ whenever $X_{s'}, X_s$ follow X_s in the ordering \subset . Since the net $\{(y_{s'}, y_s) \mid (X_{s'}, X_s) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}\}$ is eventually in each member of the base for the uniformity \mathcal{U} , the net $\{y_s \mid X_s \in \mathcal{O}\}$ is a Cauchy net in (X, \mathcal{U}) (by Definition 2).

It is enough to prove that the Cauchy net $\{y_s \mid X_s \in \mathcal{O}\}$ does not converge to a point of the space. For an arbitrary member X_s in \mathcal{O} there is a point y_s in X_s which is a member of the Cauchy net.

Let $X_{s'} = X_s - y_s$. Then $X_{s'}$ is a member of \mathcal{O} and follows X_s . Therefore there is a point $y_{s'}$ in $X_{s'}$ which is a member of the Cauchy net, and $y_{s'} \neq y_s$. This shows that for every point x of X , the Cauchy net is not eventually in $\{x\}$. Since (X, \mathcal{U}) is a discrete space by Lemma 3 the Cauchy net can not converge to a point of the space. (c) follows.

October 10, 1962.

Department of Mathematics
Dan Kook College.

REFERENCES

- [1] John L. Kelley: *General topology* (1955)
- [2] Sierpinski: *General topology* (1952)
- [3] Hausdorff: *Set theory* (1957)
- [4] E. Kawano: *Theory of topological spaces* (1957)