

ON INFINITESIMAL HOLOMORPHICALLY PROJECTIVE TRANSFORMATIONS IN RECURRENT KAEHLERIAN MANIFOLDS

By Sang-Seup Eum

§ 0. Introduction.

Recently S. Tachibana and S. Ishihara [1],[2] have studied infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations in Kaehlerian manifolds. They had shown that if a Kaehlerian manifold admits an analytic non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation, the Lie derivative of the holomorphically projective curvature tensor vanishes.

T. Sumitomo [3] had shown that the tensor $R_{ki}R_j^k + \frac{1}{n}(R^{kl}R_{kl})g_{ij} - \frac{2}{n}RR_{ij}$ is positive definite in a non-Einstein Riemannian manifold.

In the present paper we shall investigate infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations by using above two results in recurrent Kaehlerian manifolds. In §1, we shall give some preliminary facts concerning Kaehlerian manifolds and infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations for the later use. In §2, we shall show that the Lie derivative of the tensor P^{kji}_h not vanishes, and find out a tensor T_{lkji} which is pure for l, i in a recurrent Kaehlerian manifold admitting an analytic non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation. In §3, we shall prove that there is no harmonic vector K_l such that $\nabla_l R_{jk} = K_l R_{jk}$ in a compact (Ricci recurrent) Kaehlerian manifold admitting a non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation.

§1. Preliminaries.

Let us consider an $n(=2m>2)$ real dimensional Kaehlerian manifold with local coordinates x^i , and we shall restrict our attention in the present paper to manifolds which are real representations of (complex) Kaehlerian manifolds. (Indices run over $1, 2, \dots, n=2m$.)

Then the positive definite Riemannian metric g_{ji} and the complex structure φ_i^h satisfy the following equations.

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_j^r \varphi_r^i &= -\delta_j^i, & g_{rt} \varphi_j^r \varphi_i^t &= g_{ji}, \\ \varphi_{ji} &= g_{ri} \varphi_j^r = -\varphi_{ij}, & \varphi^{ji} &= g^{jr} \varphi_r^i = -\varphi^{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_k \varphi_j^i = 0, \quad \nabla_k g_{ji} = 0,$$

where ∇_k denotes the operator of the covariant differentiation with respect to $\{j^h_i\}$.

Let R_{kji}^n be the Riemannian curvature tensor and R_{ji} the Ricci tensor, i.e. $R_{ji} = R_{hji}^h$ and $R_{kjih} = R_{kji}^r g_{rh}$, $R = R_{ji} g^{ji}$ and

$$(1.2) \quad S_{ji} = \varphi_j^r R_{ri},$$

then the following identities are valid [4]. (As to the notations, we follow K. Yano [4].)

$$(1.3) \quad R_{kji}^r \varphi_r^h = R_{kjr}^h \varphi_i^r, \quad R_{kji}^r \varphi_h^r = R_{kjh}^r \varphi_i^r,$$

$$(1.4) \quad S_{ji} = -S_{ij}.$$

The holomorphically projective curvature tensor P_{kji}^h is given by [2]

$$(1.5) \quad P_{kji}^h = R_{kji}^h + \frac{1}{n+2} (R_{ki} \delta_j^h - R_{ji} \delta_k^h + S_{ki} \varphi_j^h - S_{ji} \varphi_k^h + 2S_{kj} \varphi_i^h),$$

and we can obtain the following identities [1], [4].

$$(1.6) \quad P_{(kj)i}^h = 0, \quad P_{[kji]}^h = 0, \quad P_{rji}^r = 0, \quad P_{kjr}^r = 0,$$

$$(1.7) \quad P_{kji}^r \varphi_r^h = P_{kjr}^h \varphi_i^r, \quad P_{rji}^h \varphi_k^r = P_{rki}^h \varphi_j^r,$$

$$(1.8) \quad P_{rji}^t \varphi_t^r = 0, \quad P_{kjr}^t \varphi_t^r = 0.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for $P_{kji}^h = 0$ is that the manifold is a space of constant holomorphic curvature, i.e. a space whose curvature tensor R_{kji}^h takes the form

$$(1.9) \quad R_{kji}^h = -\frac{R}{n+2} (g_{ki} \delta_j^h - g_{ji} \delta_k^h + \varphi_{ki} \varphi_j^h - \varphi_{ji} \varphi_k^h + 2\varphi_{kj} \varphi_i^h).$$

The following identities are known for a vector fields v^i and a tensor field T_{ji}^h [4].

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{L} \nabla_l T_{ji}^h - \nabla_l \mathcal{L} T_{ji}^h = T_{ji}^r \mathcal{L} \{l_r^h\} - T_{ri}^h \mathcal{L} \{l_j^r\} - T_{jr}^h \mathcal{L} \{l_i^r\},$$

$$(1.11) \quad \nabla_k \mathcal{L} \{j_i^h\} - \nabla_j \mathcal{L} \{k_i^h\} = \mathcal{L} R_{kji}^h,$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the operator of Lie differentiation with respect to v^i .

We shall call a vector field v^i an infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation, if it satisfies

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{L} \{j_i^h\} = \rho_j \delta_i^h + \rho_i \delta_j^h - \bar{\rho}_j \varphi_i^h - \bar{\rho}_i \varphi_j^h,$$

where ρ_j is a certain gradient vector and $\bar{\rho}_j = \varphi_j^t \rho_t$.

If we substitute (1.12) into (1.11), then we have

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{L}R_{kji}^h = \delta_j^h \nabla_k \rho_i - \delta_k^h \nabla_j \rho_i - \varphi_j^h \nabla_k \bar{\rho}_i + \varphi_k^h \nabla_j \bar{\rho}_i - (\nabla_k \bar{\rho}_j - \nabla_j \bar{\rho}_k) \varphi_i^h,$$

and summing for k and h , we find

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{L}R_{ji} = -n \nabla_j \rho_i - 2\varphi_j^r \varphi_i^t \nabla_r \rho_t. \quad [1] \text{ (pp.83)}$$

A vector field v^i is called analytic if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi_i^h \equiv -\varphi_i^r \nabla_r v^h + \varphi_r^h \nabla_i v^r = 0.$$

S. Tachibana and S. Ishihara showed that if v^i is an analytic infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation, the following equation holds [1] (pp.84)

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{L}P_{kji}^h = 0.$$

2. An analytic non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation in a recurrent Kaehlerian manifold.

In the present paper we consider an $n(=2m>2)$ -dimensional recurrent Kaehlerian manifold defined by [5] (pp.172)

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_l R_{kji}^h = K_l R_{kji}^h,$$

where K_l is a vector field.

By the definitions, following relations hold in a recurrent Kaehlerian manifold,

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_l R_{ji} = K_l R_{ji}, \quad \nabla_l P_{kji}^h = K_l P_{kji}^h,$$

and from (1.10) and (1.12) we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_k \mathcal{L}g_{ji} &= 2\rho_k g_{ji} + \rho_j g_{ki} + \rho_i g_{jk} - \bar{\rho}_j \varphi_{ki} - \bar{\rho}_i \varphi_{kj}, \\ \nabla_k \mathcal{L}g^{ji} &= -\left(2\rho_k g^{ji} + \rho^j \delta_k^i + \rho^i \delta_k^j - \bar{\rho}^j \varphi_k^i - \bar{\rho}^i \varphi_k^j\right). \end{aligned}$$

At first place, we can prove that the following

THEOREM 1. *If a recurrent Kaehlerian manifold admits an analytic non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation, the Lie derivative of P^{kji}_h not vanishes.*

PROOF. If we assume that $\mathcal{L}P^{kji}_h = 0$, then by (1.15) we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{L}(P_{kji}^h P^{kji}_h) = \mathcal{L}(g_{lh} g^{mi} g^{nj} g^{tk} P_{kji}^h P_{tnm}^l) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}g_{lh}) P_{kji}^l P^{kji}_h + (\mathcal{L}g^{lh}) P_{kjl}^i P^{kj}_h + 2(\mathcal{L}g^{lh}) P_{tkj}^i P_h^{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating above equation covariantly, and taking account of (2.2), (2.3) and again making use of (2.4), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad 0 &= (\nabla_r \mathcal{L} g_{lh}) P_{kji}^l P^{kji h} + (\nabla_r \mathcal{L} g^{lh}) P_{kjl}^i P^{kj}_{hi} + 2(\nabla_r \mathcal{L} g^{lh}) P_{lkj}^i P_h^{kj}_{i} \\
&= (2\rho_r g_{lh} + \rho_l g_{rh} + \rho_h g_{rl} - \bar{\rho}_l \varphi_{rh} - \bar{\rho}_h \varphi_{rl}) P_{kji}^l P^{kji h} \\
&\quad - (2\rho_r g^{lh} + \rho^l \delta_r^h + \rho^h \delta_r^l - \bar{\rho}^l \varphi_r^h - \bar{\rho}^h \varphi_r^l) P_{kjl}^i P^{kj}_{hi} \\
&\quad - 2(2\rho_r g^{lh} + \rho^l \delta_r^h + \rho^h \delta_r^l - \bar{\rho}^l \varphi_r^h - \bar{\rho}^h \varphi_r^l) P_{lkj}^i P_h^{kj}_{i} .
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (1.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\rho}_l \varphi_r^h P_{kjh}^i P^{kjl}_{i} &= \bar{\rho}_l \varphi_h^i P_{kjr}^h P^{kjl}_{i} , \\
\bar{\rho}_l \varphi_r^h P_{h kj}^i P^{lkj}_{i} &= \bar{\rho}_l \varphi_k^h P_{hrj}^i P^{lkj}_{i} ,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore we can obtain from (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\rho_r P_{lkj}^i P^{lkj}_{i} - 2\rho_l P_{kji}^l P^{kji}_{r} + 2\rho_l P^{k j l i} P_{k j r i} + 4\rho_l P^{lk j i} P_{r k j i} \\
&- 4\bar{\rho}_l \varphi_r^h P_{h kj}^i P^{lkj}_{i} - 2\bar{\rho}_l \varphi_r^h P_{kjh}^i P^{kjl}_{i} + 2\bar{\rho}_l \varphi_r^h P_{kji}^h P^{k j i l} = 0 ,
\end{aligned}$$

by some calculations.

Contracting the left hand side of the above equation by ρ^r , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &4\rho_r \rho^r P_{lkj}^i P^{lkj}_{i} - \rho_l \rho_r (2P_{kji}^l P^{kji}_{r} - 2P^{k j l i} P_{k j r i} - 4P^{lk j i} P_{r k j i}) \\
&- \bar{\rho}_l \bar{\rho}^h (4P^{lk j i} P_{h kj}^i + 2P^{k j l i} P_{k j h}^i - 2P^{k j i l} P_{k j i h}) = 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the following relations by using (1.7).

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad \text{a)} \quad &\bar{\rho}_l \bar{\rho}^h P^{k j i l} P_{k j i h} = \rho_t \varphi_l^t P^{k j i l} \rho_s \varphi_h^s P_{k j i}^h \\
&= \rho_t \varphi_l^i P^{k j t}_{i} \rho_s \varphi_i^h P_{k j h}^s = \rho_t \rho^s P^{k j h t} P_{k j h s} , \\
\text{b)} \quad &\bar{\rho}_l \bar{\rho}^h P^{k j l i} P_{k j h}^i = \rho_t \varphi_l^t P^{k j l i} (-\rho^s \varphi_s^h P_{k j h}^i) \\
&= \rho_t \varphi_i^l P^{k j t}_{i} (-\rho^s \varphi_i^h P_{k j s}^h) = \rho_t \rho^s P^{k j t h} P_{k j s}^h , \\
\text{c)} \quad &\bar{\rho}_l \bar{\rho}^h P^{lk j i} P_{h kj}^i = \rho_t \varphi_l^t P^{lk j i} \rho^s \varphi_h^s P_{h kj}^i \\
&= \rho_t \varphi_l^h P^{l t j i} \rho^s \varphi_h^k P_{h s j}^i = \rho_t \rho^s P^{h t j i} P_{h s j}^i .
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.7) a) b) and c) into (2.6) we obtain

$$\rho_r \rho^r P_{lkj}^i P^{lkj}_{i} = 0 ,$$

from which follows $P_{lkj}^i = 0$ since $\rho_r \neq 0$.

In this case, our manifold is a Kaehler-Einstein space by (1.9), and $R_{ji} = 0$ by the first relation of (2.2), and by (1.5) we get $R_{kji}^h = 0$ which contradicts to our assumption. q.e.d.

Next, Let v^i be an analytic non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation. If we substitute (1.12) and (1.15) into the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{L}\nabla_l P_{kji}^h - \nabla_l \mathfrak{L}P_{kji}^h \\ &= P_{kji}^r \mathfrak{L}\{_{lr}^h\} - P_{rji}^h \mathfrak{L}\{_{lk}^r\} - P_{kri}^h \mathfrak{L}\{_{lj}^r\} - P_{kjr}^h \mathfrak{L}\{_{li}^r\} , \end{aligned}$$

then by using

$$\mathfrak{L}\nabla_l P_{kji}^h = \mathfrak{L}(K_l P_{kji}^h) = (\mathfrak{L}K_l) P_{kji}^h ,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad (\mathfrak{L}K_l) P_{kji}^h &= \delta_l^h P_{kji}^r \rho_r - 2\rho_l P_{kji}^h - \rho_k P_{lji}^h - \rho_j P_{kli}^h - \rho_i P_{kjl}^h \\ &\quad - \varphi_l^h P_{kji}^r \bar{\rho}_r + \varphi_l^r (\bar{\rho}_k P_{rji}^h + \bar{\rho}_j P_{kri}^h + \bar{\rho}_i P_{kjr}^h) . \end{aligned}$$

Contracting on $h=l$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad (\mathfrak{L}K_r) P_{kji}^r = (n-2) \rho_r P_{kji}^r ,$$

by virtue of (1.6) and (1.8).

By using (1.7) we can see

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad (n-2) \bar{\rho}_r P_{kji}^r &= (n-2) \varphi_i^r \rho_t P_{kjr}^t = \varphi_i^r (\mathfrak{L}K_t) P_{kjr}^t \\ &= \varphi_r^t (\mathfrak{L}K_t) P_{kji}^r , \end{aligned}$$

and by putting

$$\bar{K}_r = \varphi_r^t K_t ,$$

we have

$$(2.11) \quad (\mathfrak{L}\bar{K}_r) P_{kji}^r = (n-2) \bar{\rho}_r P_{kji}^r .$$

Multiplying the both sides of (2.8) by ρ_h , and substituting (2.9) and (2.11) in it, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad & (\mathfrak{L}K_l + 2\rho_l) (\mathfrak{L}K_h) P_{kji}^h \\ &= (\mathfrak{L}K_h) [\rho_l P_{kji}^h - \rho_k P_{lji}^h - \rho_j P_{kli}^h - \rho_i P_{kjl}^h \\ &\quad + \varphi_l^r (\bar{\rho}_k P_{rji}^h + \bar{\rho}_j P_{kri}^h + \bar{\rho}_i P_{kjr}^h)] - (\mathfrak{L}\bar{K}_h) \bar{\rho}_l P_{kji}^h . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad & -2\rho_l P_{kji}^h - \rho_k P_{lji}^h - \rho_j P_{kli}^h - \rho_i P_{kjl}^h + \varphi_l^r (\bar{\rho}_k P_{rji}^h + \bar{\rho}_j P_{kri}^h \\ & + \bar{\rho}_i P_{kjr}^h) = (\mathfrak{L}K_l) P_{kji}^h - \delta_l^h P_{kji}^r \rho_r + \varphi_l^h \bar{\rho}_r P_{kji}^r . \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.13) into (2.12), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}K_h) \rho_l P_{kji}^h - (\mathcal{L}K_l) \rho_r P_{kji}^r + (\mathcal{L}\tilde{K}_l) \tilde{\rho}_r P_{kji}^r - \tilde{\rho}_l (\mathcal{L}\tilde{K}_h) P_{kji}^h = 0 ,$$

and by (2.9), (2.11), above equation is rewritten into

$$(2.14) \quad [(n-2)\rho_l - \mathcal{L}K_l] \rho_r P_{kji}^r = [(n-2)\tilde{\rho}_l - \mathcal{L}\tilde{K}_l] \tilde{\rho}_r P_{kji}^r .$$

If $\rho_r P_{kji}^r = 0$, equation (2.8) coincide with equation (4.1) of [1], then it must hold $\rho_l P_{kji}^h = 0$, which contradicts to our assumption, therefore $\rho_r P_{kji}^r \neq 0$. By (1.7) we see

$$\tilde{\rho}_r P_{kji}^r = \varphi_i^r \rho_t P_{kjr}^t ,$$

then from (2.14) we have the following final result.

$$(2.15) \quad T_{lkji} = \varphi_l^t \varphi_i^s T_{tkjs} ,$$

where we have put

$$(2.16) \quad T_{lkji} = [(n-2)\rho_l - \mathcal{L}K_l] \rho_r P_{kji}^r .$$

From (2.15) it follows that the tensor T_{lkji} is pure in l, i , [4] hence we have the following

THEOREM 2. *If a recurrent Kaehlerian manifold admits an analytic non-affine infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation, the tensor T_{lkji} defined by (2.16) is pure in l and i .*

§3. An infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation in a compact Ricci recurrent Kaehlerian manifold.

In this section we consider a compact Ricci recurrent Kaehlerian manifold defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_l R_{ji} = K_l R_{ji} ,$$

where K_l is a vector field.

Calculating directly we have

$$\nabla_l \nabla_i R_{jk} = \nabla_l (K_i R_{jk}) = (\nabla_l K_i) R_{jk} + K_i K_l R_{jk} ,$$

$$\nabla_i \nabla_l R_{jk} = \nabla_i (K_l R_{jk}) = (\nabla_i K_l) R_{jk} + K_l K_i R_{jk} .$$

If we assume that K_l is a harmonic vector field, then we have $\nabla_l K_i = \nabla_i K_l$, and by applying the Ricci's identity to R_{jk} , we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad R_{lij}^r R_{rk} + R_{lik}^r R_{jr} = 0 .$$

Let us calculate the Lie derivative of the left side of (3.2) then we have

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathcal{L}R_{lij}^r)R_{rk} + R_{lij}^r \mathcal{L}R_{rk} + (\mathcal{L}R_{lik}^r)R_{jr} + R_{lik}^r \mathcal{L}R_{jr} = 0.$$

If our manifold admits a non-affine (non-analytic) holomorphically projective transformation, by substituting (1.13) and (1.14) into (3.3) and using (1.2), and (1.4), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla_l \rho_j) R_{ik} - (\nabla_i \rho_j) R_{lk} - (\nabla_l \tilde{\rho}_j) S_{ik} + (\nabla_i \tilde{\rho}_j) S_{lk} \\ & + (\nabla_l \rho_k) R_{ij} - (\nabla_i \rho_k) R_{lj} - (\nabla_l \tilde{\rho}_k) S_{ij} + (\nabla_i \tilde{\rho}_k) S_{lj} \\ & - n(\nabla_r \rho_k) R_{lj}^r - n(\nabla_r \rho_j) R_{lik}^r \\ & - 2(\nabla_t \rho_s) \varphi_r^t \varphi_k^s R_{lij}^r - 2(\nabla_t \rho_s) \varphi_r^t \varphi_j^s R_{lik}^r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), we get

$$R_{ilrj} R_k^r - R_{likr} R_j^r = 0,$$

and contracting it by g^{ji} we have

$$(3.5) \quad R_{lij}^r R^{ji} = R_{li}^r R^{rt}$$

and using it, we have the following relations by (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla_t \rho_s) \varphi_r^t \varphi^{ls} R_{lij}^r R^{ji} = (\nabla_t \rho_s) S^{th} S_h^s \\ & = -(\nabla^t \rho_s) \varphi_h^k \varphi_l^h R_{kt} R^{ls} = (\nabla_t \rho_s) R_k^t R^{ks}, \\ & (\nabla_t \rho_s) \varphi_r^t \varphi_j^s R_{li}^{lr} R^{ji} = -(\nabla_t \rho_s) S^t_i S^{si} \\ & = (\nabla_t \rho_s) \varphi_i^k \varphi^{li} R_{kt} R_l^s = -(\nabla_t \rho_s) R_k^l R^{ks}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & a) \quad S_l^l = 0, \\ & b) \quad (\nabla_r \rho_j) R_{li}^{lr} R^{ji} = -(\nabla_r \rho_j) R_t^r R^{tj}, \\ & c) \quad (\nabla_i \tilde{\rho}_k) S^k_j R^{ji} = \varphi_k^t (\nabla_i \rho_t) \varphi^{ks} R_{sj} R^{ji} = (\nabla_i \rho_t) R_j^t R^{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting (3.4) by $R^{ji} g^{lh}$ and using (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad (\nabla_l \rho_j) [R_i^l R^{ji} - R R^{lj}] - (\nabla_l \tilde{\rho}_j) S_i^l R^{ji} + (\nabla^l \rho_l) R_{ji} R^{ji} = 0.$$

On the other hand, the following relation hold after the direct calculations.

$$(3.9) \quad (\nabla_l \tilde{\rho}_j) S_i^l R^{ji} = (\nabla_l \rho_t) S_i^l S^{ti} = (\nabla_l \rho_t) R_j^l R^{tj}.$$

Substituting (3.9) into (3.8) we have

$$(3.10) \quad (\nabla_l \rho_j) R R^{lj} - (\nabla^l \rho_l) R_{ji} R^{ji} = 0.$$

If we calculate the Lie derivative of the tensor $R_{lij}^r R^{ji} - R_{li}^r R^{rt}$ which

vanishes in a Ricci recurrent Kaehlerian manifold by (3.5) with respect to a holomorphically projective transformation, we have the following equation.

$$(3.11) \quad (\mathcal{L}R_{li}^r)R^{ji} + R_{li}^r \mathcal{L}R^{ji} - (\mathcal{L}R_{lt})R^{rt} - R_{lt} \mathcal{L}R^{rt} = 0.$$

We can calculate the following relations by making use of (3.5), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_h \rho_k) \varphi^{ih} \varphi^{jk} R_{li}^r R_r^l &= (\nabla_h \rho_k) S^{hr} S_r^k = (\nabla_h \rho_k) R_t^h R^{tk}, \\ (\nabla_h \rho_k) \varphi_l^h \varphi_t^k R^{tr} R_r^l &= (\nabla_h \rho_k) S_r^h S^{kr} = (\nabla_h \rho_k) R_t^h R^{tk}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (1.13) and (1.14) into (3.11), and multiplying R_r^l to it, and using (3.5), (3.7) a), (3.9) and above relations, we can obtain the following equation.

$$(3.12) \quad (\nabla_j \rho_i) R R^{ji} - n (\nabla_l \rho_t) R^{rt} R_r^l = 0,$$

where we have used $S_{ji} R^{ji} = 0$.

If we combine (3.10) and (3.12) we can see

$$2(\nabla_l \rho_j) R R^{lj} - n (\nabla_l \rho_t) R^{tr} R_r^l - (\nabla^l \rho_l) R_{tr} R^{tr} = 0,$$

and this equation can be rewritten into

$$(3.13) \quad (\nabla_l \rho_j) [R_r^l R^{jr} + \frac{1}{n} g^{lj} (R_{tr} R^{tr}) - \frac{2}{n} R R^{lj}] = 0.$$

By the definition of Lie derivative and (1.12) we have

$$\mathcal{L}\{\varphi_{ji}^h\} = \nabla_j \nabla_i v^h + R_{rji}^h = \rho_j \delta_i^h + \rho_i \delta_j^h - \bar{\rho}_j \varphi_i^h - \bar{\rho}_i \varphi_j^h,$$

and summing for i and h , we get

$$(3.14) \quad \nabla_j (\nabla_i v^i) = (n+2) \rho_j,$$

i. e.

$$(3.15) \quad \nabla_l \nabla_j (\nabla_i v^i) = (n+2) \nabla_l \rho_j.$$

Substituting (3.15) into (3.13) we obtain the following equation.

$$(3.16) \quad \nabla_l \nabla_j (\nabla_i v^i) [R_r^l R^{jr} + \frac{1}{n} g^{lj} (R_{tr} R^{tr}) - \frac{2}{n} R R^{lj}] = 0.$$

T. Sumitomo showed that the tensor

$$R_r^l R^{jr} + \frac{1}{n} g^{lj} (R_{tr} R^{tr}) - \frac{2}{n} R R^{lj}$$

is positive definite in a non-Einstein Riemannian manifold [3] (pp. 123), and furthermore a Ricci (non-symmetric) recurrent Kaehlerian manifold is not an Einstein one.

Therefore, by E. Hopf's theorem [5] we can calculate that the solution function $\nabla_i v^i$ of (3.16) must be constant in a compact Ricci recurrent Kaehlerian manifold.

Again making use of (3.14) we can see that ρ_j vanishes. Then we have the following

THEOREM 3. *In a compact Ricci recurrent Kaehlerian manifold C_n :*

$$\nabla_i R_{ji} = K_i R_{ji} \quad (K_i \text{ is a vector field.})$$

there exists no harmonic vector field K_i when C_n admits a non-affine (non-analytic) infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformation.

Oct. 1961

Mathematical Department

Liberal Arts and Science College

Kyungpook University

Taegu, Korea

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Tachibana and S. Ishihara *On infinitesimal holomorphically projective transformations in Kaehlerian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. Jour., Vol. 12, No.1 (1960) 77–101.
- [2] S. Ishihara, *Holomorphically projective changes and their groups in an almost complex manifold* Tôhoku Math. Jour., Vol. 9, No.3 (1957) 273–297.
- [3] T. Sumitomo, *Projective and conformal transformations in compact Riemannian manifolds*, Tensor New Series, Vol.9, No.2 (1959) 113–135.
- [4] K. Yano, *Lie derivatives and its applications*, Amsterdam (1957).
- [5] K. Yano and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, Princeton (1953).