# A Consideration of Emergency Action Level Initiation Condition for Research Reactor HANARO

Hae-Cho Lee\*, Jongsoo Kim, GY Lee, JS Kim, HK kim, BS Kim, GH Lee, and SY Baek

Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 111, Daedeok-daero 989beon-gil, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Republic of Korea \*hclee@kaeri.re.kr

### 1. Introduction

Because reactors are used for different purposes and their safety characteristics are designed differently, it is difficult to apply emergency publicity standards for certain accidents such as power generation reactors. However, applying the safety characteristics inherent to the research reactor in accordance with the emergency notification standard proposed by the IAEA, the technical basis of the emergency notification standard can be standardized to some extent. Therefore, in this study, based on the emergency level (EAL) proposed by the IAEA, the applicability of the research reactor HANARO was investigated.

# 2. Emergency Action Level

## 2.1 IAEA Emergency Action Level

The IAEA threat class II research reactor emergency standards are classified into core safety function disturbance and fission product barrier damage for safety system accidents. In addition to raising the radiation level due to these accidents, Incidents of fire, natural disasters, fuel handling, and spent fuel storage are described.

This study investigated the applicability of the Emergency Report Standard for Emergency Causes and Radiation Levels of HANARO to the IAEA Emergency Announcement Criteria.

#### 2.2 Application of Emergency Action Level

IAEA emergency situations can be classified into

three categories: critical safety system failures, fission product barrier losses, and radiation dose escalation. Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3 show the HANARO applicability results for the start conditions of each IAEA EAL. The results are based on Hanaro SAR and the Emergency Plan.

Table 1. Key Safety System Obstacles

| IAEA initiation<br>conditions       | Applicability analysis for<br>HANARO  |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Failure to stop<br>nuclear reaction | Not applicable under Hanaro SAR   |
| Inadequate core cooling             | <ul> <li>The beam tube rupture is<br/>applied as a limitation accident<br/>of HANARO</li> <li>-Cooling channel blockage<br/>accident is applied.</li> </ul> |
| AC or DC power                      | Not applicable under HANARO   |
| loss                                | SAR   |
| Includes system                     |   |
| equipment Safety                    | Not applicable under HANARO   |
| system Loss or                      | SAR   |
| worsening control                   |   |

Table 2. Loss of fission product barrier

| IAEA initiation   | Applicability analysis for  |
|---|---|
| conditions  | HANARO  |
| Significant damage risk<br>of core or irradiated fuel                       | Applicability analysis for<br>HANARO  |
| High concentration<br>radioactivity in water<br>tank or reactor<br>building | This starting condition applies<br>because of the possibility of a<br>small leakage of radioactive<br>material from the fuel during<br>output operation due to<br>manufacturing defects or other<br>causes. |

|                   | The release of large quantities of   |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                   | radioactive material from the        |
| Damage to reactor | containment of reactor buildings can |
|                   | not be expected from research        |
|                   | reactors. No accident cases. Not     |
|                   | applicable.                          |

# Table 3. Increase of Radiation Level

| IAEA initiation<br>conditions   | Applicability analysis for<br>HANARO  |
|---|---|
| Exhaust emission<br>above the limit   | Environmental releases are important in<br>terms of on-site and off-site protection<br>measures. Applied the chimney monitor<br>indicator to HANARO   |
| High radiation level of<br>control room etc.<br>required for continuous<br>safety system operation<br>and maintenance | Control room is applicable. Since the control room is important for maintaining the reactor control function, the loss of the operator's ability due to the increase in the radiation level in the control room is applied.                                       |
| High radiation levels in<br>areas requiring frequent<br>access for safety system<br>maintenance or control            | Nuclear (Emergency) Emergency<br>Plan is unilateral. It does not take into<br>consideration that all workers in other<br>areas except the control room are<br>evacuated or introduced immediately.  |
| Highradiation levels in<br>non-core areas (cg.,<br>laboratorics)  | Not considered under the nuclear emergency plan.  |
| Raising the radiation<br>level of the reactor<br>building   | Since it is necessary to take into consideration on-<br>site and out-of-site protection measures due to<br>provisional hazards and surface release due to the<br>increase of radiation levels of nuclear reactors after<br>emergency ventilation system shutdown. |
| Unplanned increase in<br>reactoricativation levels  | This is applicable because there<br>may be an increase in the<br>radiation level due to unforeseen<br>unplanned incidents in core fuel.   |
| High radiation dose<br>rate at or above site<br>boundaries  | Actual standards for inhabitant<br>protection measures on site, and the<br>actual measured radiation dose rates<br>at site boundaries are applied.  |

The results of the applicability analysis as one can be summarized as shown in Table 4 for the emergency start conditions.

Table 4. Summary of EAL Initiation Conditions for HANARO

| Incident                       | HANARO Application Emergency                 |
|--------------------------------|--|
| classification                 | release criteria Starting condition          |
| Core Safety System             | • Beam tube breakage accident                |
|                                | (loss of coolant accident)                   |
|                                | $\circ$ Cooling channel cut-off accident     |
|                                | (loss of coolant flow rate)                  |
| Fission product                | • Fuel defect accidents                      |
| banier                         |  |
| Increase in radiation<br>level | • Emissions above the limit                  |
|                                | $\circ$ Jay's loss of residence              |
|                                | $\circ$ Increase of radiation level in       |
|                                | Reactor building                             |
|                                | • Unplanned increase in reactor              |
|                                | radiation levels                             |
|                                | $\circ$ High radiation dose rate at the site |
|                                | boundary                                     |

# 3. Conclusion

It is important to establish the ability to timely recognize the emergency classification according to the severity of the accident in order to respond promptly to the radiation emergency preparedness and emergency response of nuclear facilities. This function is only possible if emergency guidelines have been prepared in advance for nuclear safety facilities. Therefore, based on the results of this study, specific and quantitative emergency standards are required depending on the severity of the accident.

# REFERENCES

 IAEA, "Generic Procedures for Response to a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency at Research Reactors", EPR-RESEARCH REACTOR, Sep. 2011.