

# Estimation of Centerline Temperature of the Rare Earth Glass Waste Form

Jung-Hoon Choi\*, Tae-Kyo Lee, Ki-Rak Lee, Seung-Youb Han, Na-Young Kim, Seon-Ah Jang, and Hwan-Seo Park  
Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 111, Daedeok-daero 989beon-gil, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

\*mrchoijh@kaeri.re.kr

## 1. Introduction

High level glass waste forms are to be stored in the storage building before final disposal. The waste form storage vault should be operated using mechanically induced cooling system to remove the decay heat preventing overheat of the vault and devitrification of glass waste form in the canister [1,2]. However, even with the air cooling system in the storage vault, when the waste loading of heat generative nuclides are high, the centerline temperature of a glass waste form could exceed its glass transition temperature, leading to the increase of leaching rate of radioactive nuclides due to the devitrification of glass waste form [3]. Therefore, the estimation of centerline temperature of glass waste form for each waste stream is very essential in the period of storage. Here, the centerline temperature of rare earth glass waste form generated from pyrochemical process has been estimated [4].

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Fabrication of rare earth glass waste form

The rare earth glass waste form was fabricated using a  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-B}_2\text{O}_3$  glass frit and  $\text{Nd}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3$  as a surrogate waste material of rare earth fission products. For a vitrification of mixed oxides, the crucible was heated to  $1,450^\circ\text{C}$  with a heating rate of  $6^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$  and maintained at  $1,450^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 hours. Thermal conductivity that is required in the centerline temperature calculation was measured using NETZSCH-LFA457 under an Ar atmosphere with a sample size of 12.7 mm in diameter and 2 mm in thickness.

### 2.2 Centerline temperature calculation

Centerline temperatures of waste forms for each transuranic elements (TRU) recovery ratio in the

electrowinning process of the pyrochemical process were calculated using steady-state conduction equation (see equation (1) and (2)) in a long and solid cylinder with uniform heat generation and constant thermal conductivity. The heat generations of the waste form for each TRU recovery ratio were calculated using ORIGEN-S code.

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( kr \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial \phi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \dot{q} = \rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \quad (1)$$

$$T_c = T(r = 0) = \frac{-A + \sqrt{A^2 + 2B \left( AT_s + \frac{B}{2} T_s^2 + \frac{\dot{q}}{16} D^2 \right)}}{B} \quad (2)$$

## 3. Results and Discussion

During the pyrochemical process, TRUs could be involved in the rare earth waste owing to the TRU recovery ratio (hereafter TRR) in the electrowinning process. The nuclide formulation of each waste form after electrowinning process was calculated according to the TRR, where TRR-100 indicates 100% TRU recovery ratio. TRR-99, TRR-95, TRR-90, TRR-85, and TRR-80 indicate TRU recovery ratio of 99%, 95%, 90%, 85%, and 80%, respectively.

The centerline temperatures of each waste form having 0.3 m diameter were calculated according to the scheme in Fig. 1 and the results are summarized in Table 1. In case of TRR-100, when there are no TRUs in the rare earth waste, the centerline temperature was  $138.34^\circ\text{C}$  at the initial stage of storage. The centerline temperature was increased according to the decrease of TRU recovery ratio, however, even the TRR-80 case showed the centerline temperature of  $201.62^\circ\text{C}$ , which is far below the glass transition temperature of the rare earth glass waste form ( $T_g = 769.46^\circ\text{C}$ ). Therefore, it is concluded that thermal stability of waste form in case of 0.3 m diameter is not affected by the TRU recovery ratio (even with the TRR-80 case) in the electrowinning process.




Fig. 1. Scheme of centerline temperature ( $T_c$ ) calculation ( $T_s$ : surface temperature,  $k$ : thermal conductivity,  $q$ : heat generation,  $D$ : diameter).

Table 1. Centerline temperatures of the rare earth glass waste form with 0.3 m diameter

Year	Centerline temperature of the waste form [°C]					
	TRR-100	TRR-99	TRR-95	TRR-90	TRR-85	TRR-80
0.3	138.34	142.09	156.36	172.77	187.80	201.62
1	135.59	139.34	153.64	170.08	185.14	198.98
3	129.35	133.10	147.41	163.86	178.92	192.77
10	116.04	119.71	133.72	149.83	164.57	178.13
30	103.18	106.45	118.95	133.34	146.54	158.70
100	100.03	102.34	111.20	121.45	130.88	139.61
300	100.00	101.36	106.56	112.60	118.19	123.38
1000	100.00	100.55	102.65	105.11	107.40	109.53

#### 4. Conclusion

In order to determine thermal stability of the waste form immobilizing rare earth waste generated from the electrowinning process of the pyrochemical process, the centerline temperature of the rare earth glass waste form was calculated using steady-state conduction equation in a long and solid cylinder type waste form of constant thermal conductivity and uniform heat generation. To verify the effects of TRU content in the rare earth waste on the centerline temperature, the TRU recovery ratio (TRR) was varied from 80% to 100%. It was revealed that thermal stability of waste form in case of 0.3 m diameter was not affected by the TRU recovery ratio in the electrowinning process, meaning that the waste form size is thermally reasonable due to the low centerline temperature being far below the glass

transition temperature of the rare earth glass waste form.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Rainisch, Alternative design concept for the second glass waste storage building, WSRC-TR-92-466 (1992) 1-23.
- [2] B.J. Hardy, Temperatures in DWPF glass waste storage building, WSRC-RP-93-1177 (1993) 1-10.
- [3] D.B. Spilman, L.L. Hench, D.E. Clark, Devitrification and subsequent effects on the leach behavior of a simulated borosilicate nuclear waste glass, Nucl. Chem. Waste Man. 6 (1986) 107-119.
- [4] J.H. Choi, H.C. Eun, T.K. Lee, K.R. Lee, S.Y. Han, M.K. Jeon, H.S. Park, D.H. Ahn, J. Nucl. Mater. 483 (2017) 82-89.