Individual behavioral competences for construction project risk manager

Kwang-Pyo Lee¹, Hyun-Soo Lee², Moonseo Park³, Byung-ki Kwon⁴ and Hosang Hyun⁵

Abstract: The Risk Management (RM) is applied for managing uncertainty of project. In this circumstance, the competences of RM have a direct effect on the performance of its application. Especially, as the RM, one of the project management areas, is a people-oriented management field, the individual behavioral competences are significant for a risk manager. Therefore, this paper describes the development of individual behavioral competences for construction project risk manager. For this, the research classifies the individual behavioral competences of RM. And, the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) are applied to verify a validity of the competences. Likewise, a reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha values is performed to test internal consistency. Based on the results, the authors carry out the Focus Group Interview (FGI) on expert panels of construction RM to confirm the hierarchical model of the individual behavioral competences. It is concluded that the proposed hierarchical model of individual behavioral competences helps construction companies to diagnose the competences of their project risk manager for progressing.

Keywords: Construction project; Risk management; Competence; Individual behavior

I. INTRODUCTION

In the construction industry, the risk management is applied to bring success to projects by achieving goals in cost, schedule, quality, and safety. A construction project involves a number of uncertainties due to its relation with many players, resources, and activities (Imbeah and Guikema 2009; Liu et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2013). Meanwhile, the risk management is applied to complex, uncertain, and risky projects in general (Raz et al. 2002). Therefore, the risk management is applied in construction project for eliminating the risks and mitigating uncertainties (Monetii et al. 2006; Zhi 1995; Zou et al. 2010).

When the risk management is applied to construction projects, its competences (i.e., knowledge, performance, and individual behavioral competences), which are the proved abilities to perform activities that lead to expected results based on defined processes (PMI 2007), have a direct effect on its performance (Crawford 1997). Particularly, as the risk management is one of project management areas, which has a characteristic of people-oriented management (PMI 2007), the individual behavioral competences are significant for a risk manager to possess skills that enable an effective interaction with others. Furthermore, these enable a risk manager to apply their knowledge and performance competences on projects effectively (PMI 2007).

Therefore, this paper describes the development of individual behavioral competences of risk management in

construction project, which have the most impact on the performance of risk manager. This paper's organization is as follows; the first part offers an overview of literatures related to competences of project management. Then, it derives the individual behavioral competences and elements, which compose the competences of project management, through an in-depth literature review. On the basis of these, the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) is applied to verify a validity of the competences and elements from a risk management perspective. Likewise, the reliability of the competences and elements is also analyzed by calculating Cronbach's alpha vales to test the internal consistency. Lastly, the Focus Group Interview (FGI) is conducted on expert panels of risk management in construction for confirming the hierarchical model of individual behavioral competences. The final section offers conclusions.

II. BACKGROUND

Much research have been proposed to improve the competence of project management. This research reviews and analyzes the previous studies to extract and classify the individual behavior competences.

A. Previous Studies

Related with individual behavioral competences, it has been developed from various organizations and institutes as a one of competence area for project management (APM 2008; IPMA 2006; PMI 2007). For instance, the International Project Management Association (IPMA)

¹ Ph.D. Student, Department of Architecture, Seoul National University, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea, lkp85@snu.ac.kr

² Professor, Department of Architecture, Seoul National University, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea, hyunslee@snu.ac.kr

³ Professor, Department of Architecture, Seoul National University, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea, mspark@snu.ac.kr

⁴ Ph.D. Student, Department of Architecture, Seoul National University, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea, lyx112@snu.ac.kr (*Corresponding Author)

⁵ Ph.D. Student, Department of Architecture, Seoul National University, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea,

(2006) provided the official concept, definitions and framework of the competences expected from project management personnel. And, the Association for Project Management (APM) (2008) also proposed competence framework which is composed of technical, behavior, and contextual competence. In addition, the Project Management Institute (PMI) (2007) provides a framework for the definition, assessment, and development of project manager competence.

Likewise, previous studies have been typically undertaken focusing on competences of project manager. For instance, the Edum-Fotwe and McCaffer (2000) describe a development of construction project managers and how they manage their professional skills in a changing construction project environment. And, the El-Sabaa (2001) assess how a project manager differs from a functional managers with respect to the attributes, skills and experiences which they associate with successful performance and careers. In addition, the Arditi and Balci. (2009) assess the managerial competences of female project managers by applying a competence assessment test and comparing the analysis results with the managerial competences of male project managers. Also, the Muller and Turner (2010) evaluate the leadership competences of successful project managers in different types of projects. In addition, much research related to competence have also been proposed. For instance, previous studies mainly regard on (1) the core competence (Dainty et al. 2005; Lampel 2001); (2) the organizational competence (Patil 2005; Zoiopoulos et al. 2008); (3) the personal competence (Dainty et al. 2005; Muller and Turner 2010); (4) the perceptions and attitudes on competence (Crawford 2005).

Previous studies are conducted focusing on the competence of project manager, core competence and organizational competence. But, there are few research exploring the individual behavioral competences of construction project risk manager. As a result, this study develop the individual behavioral competences for construction project risk manager

B. Analysis of Individual Behavioral Competences

To develop the individual behavioral competences for construction project risk manager, this research analyze the literatures focusing on competences of project management for deriving the competences related with individual behavioral. The literatures are classified into two types; results from the representative groups of international standards (APM 2008; IPMA 2006; PMI 2007) and the papers related with project management competences (Fotwe and MaCaffer 2000; El-Sabba 2001; Dainty et al. 2005; Jabar et al. 2013). From these literatures, the individual behavioral competences of project manager are drawn as shown TABLE 1.

Through the result of the analysis, this study derive 11 primary competences among 25 competences of project management, which are related with individual behavioral competences of project manager. The derived competences are as follows; Leadership, Self-control, Assertiveness, Openness, Results orientation, Efficiency, Negotiation, Problem (Conflict or Crisis) solving, Ethics, Communication and Teamwork. In addition, the 105 elements, which compose the 11 individual behavioral competences, are also drawn.

III. INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL COMPETENCES FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECT RISK MANAGER

This study analyzes the individual behavioral competences of project manager for customizing the competences suitable to a construction project risk manager. For this, the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) is applied to verity the validity of the competences. In addition, the Cronbach's alpha vales are calculated to test the internal consistency. Lastly, the Focus Group Interview (FGI) are carried out on expert panels of risk management in construction for confirming the hierarchical model of individual behavioral competences.

To perform these analysis, the survey were conducted from 4th February 2015 to 11th May 2015. The practitioners experiencing construction project risk

TABLE I
ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOR COMPETENCES

	Professional organization and institutes			Researches in project management			
	IPMA competence baseline (2006)	PMI PMCD Framework (2007)	APM competence framework (2008)	Edum- Fotwe & McCaffer (2000)	El-Sabaa (2001)	Dainty et al. (2005)	laili Jabar et al. (2013)
Leadership	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Self-control	✓				✓	✓	✓
Assertiveness	✓					✓	
Openness	✓				✓	✓	✓
Results orientation	✓	✓				✓	
Efficiency	✓	✓					
Negotiation	✓		✓	✓			
Problem solving	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Ethics	✓		✓				✓
Communication		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Teamwork			✓			✓	✓

www.iccepm2015.org

management in Korea were invited with an offline to answer the questionnaire. Before conducting the surveys, the authors present research objectives and related details about this research to the respondents. Then, the respondents rate the importance of each competences and elements in questionnaire. Each elements was rated based on a one-to-seven Likert scale, with 'one' meaning the worst possible score for a given element and 'seven' representing the best.

A. Exploratory Factor Analysis for Verifying Validity

The Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) is often thought of as revealing the internal structure of data in a way that best describes variables in that data. So, the EFA is applied in this study to verify the validity of the individual behavior competences and eliminate the competences, which have low impact in risk management perspective, using the results of EFA. In this process, the Principal Components Analysis (PCA), which is the eigenvector-based multivariate analysis (Ji et al. 2010), is applied for resolving the competences into their principal components (Trost and Oberlender 2003). And, the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 22.0) was used in conducting the EFA. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and p-value was assessed for appropriateness of EFA (Field 2005). The result of the KMO statistic is 0.822 which is appropriate higher than 0.5. And, the p-value is 0.00 which is appropriate lower than 0.05. The results of EFA is shown in TABLE 2.

TABLE II ROTATED FACTOR-LOADING MATRIX

D 1	Factors			
Personal competences	1	2	3	
Self-control	.849	.186	.162	
Ethics	.820	.099	.101	
Leadership	.792	.461	099	
Assertiveness	.772	.440	.026	
Efficiency	.767	.138	.031	
Communication	.057	.876	.095	
Teamwork	.319	.761	123	
Result orientation	.377	.649	.199	
Openness	.409	.516	.438	
Negotiation	.177	057	.893	
Problem-solving	079	.140	.840	

B. Cronbach's Alpha Vales for Reliability Analysis

After the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), this study performed the reliability analysis to test the internal consistency. For this, the Cronbach alpha coefficients of competences and elements are calculated using the Equation 1. The scale is determined to be reliable with Cronbach alpha value higher than 0.8 (Cramer 1994).

Cronbach alpha =
$$\frac{kCov / Var}{1 + (k - 1)Cov / Var}$$
 Equation.1

where k=number of competences in the scale, Cov=average covariance between items, total k(k-1) /2 items, and Var=average variance of the items, total k items.

The reliability analysis is applied in two steps. First, the Cronbach alpha coefficients of competences are analyzed. As results of the first step are shown to be reliable, the Cronbach alpha coefficients on elements of each competences are then calculated as shown in in TABLE3.

> TABLE III THE RESULTS OF RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

THE RESULTS OF RELIABILITY ANALYSIS					
		Reliability analysis			
Competences	Elements	Alpha if	Cronbach		
		item deleted	alpha		
	Delegation	.850			
	Feedback	.828			
	Motivation	.808			
	Power (Influencing				
	skills)	.814			
Leadership	Recognition	.820	.846		
1	Vision	.822			
	Team environment	.844			
	Accountability	.835			
	Collaboration	.828			
	Confidence	.863			
	Work attitude	.736			
Self-control	Balance and priorities	.667	.754		
Sen control	Time mgt.	.608	.,		
	Persuasion	.889			
Ati		.750	.896		
Assertiveness	Sociality	.730	.890		
	Personal conviction				
	Accessibility	.850			
Openness	Acknowledgement to the differences	.826	.840		
	Transparency	.650			
	Integration of social,	.030			
	technical and	.848			
	environmental aspects				
Results	Mgt. of interested	.750	.865		
orientation	parties' expectations	./30			
	Mgt. of risk, changes	.824			
	and configuration				
	Benchmarking	.893			
Efficiency	Compromises	.893	0.945		
	Problem solving for	.954			
	efficiency				
	Communication for negotiation	.852			
	Negotiation techniques	.838			
	Identification of				
	negotiation area	834			
	Identification of	025			
Negotiation	priorities	.835			
	Decision on desired	.841	.849		
	outcome	.071			
	Decision on minimum	.858			
	acceptable position	+			
	Collection of available information for	.833			
	negotiation	.033			
	Analysis of available	1	1		
	information for	.839			
	negotiation				
	Developments options	.846			

	Negotiation strategy	.841	
	Understanding their		
	motivation, wants and	.828	
	needs		
	Support strategy of	021	
	project team and	.831	
	stakeholders		
	Positive personal	.832	
	relationship		
	Documentation the	.831	
	results of negotiation	952	
	Problem definition	.852	
	Preparation on potential	.815	
	Identification conflict		
		.834	
	Sharing the conflict		
	with appropriate	.804	
	stakeholders	.004	
	Respect all the views		
	and questions	.846	
	Identification root		
Problem	cause of conflict	.842	.848
solving	Seeking paths to		.010
1	resolution	.869	
	Techniques for		
	arbitration	.841	
	Techniques for		
	Mediation	.843	
	Decision making	.830	
	Implementation of		
	solutions	.828	
	Monitoring the ongoing		
	situation	.815	
	Moral standards	.903	
	Confidence on ethics	.939	.918
	Fairness	.891	
Ethics	Integrity	.902	
	Transparency for ethics	.885	
	Law-abidingness	.899	
	Respect	.905	
	Identifying	000	
	communication needs	.890	
	Formal or informal		.901
	communication	.890	
	mechanisms		
	Speaking or writing	970	
	actively	.879	
	Listenning actively	.912	
Communication	Understanding actively	.876	
	Response actively	.895	
	Feedback on the	.075	
	communication	.874	
	Appropriate actions		
	considering the results	.883	
1	of communication	.005	
1	Information quality	.905	
1	Building an effective		
	team	.927	
	Agreement on ways for		
	working together	.889	
	Mgt the requirement of		
_	team	.865	
Teamwork	Mgt the circumstances		.891
	of team	.848	- - -
	Mgt the interests of	0.61	
	team	.861	
	Taking pride in	050	
	achevement and	.859	

contributions		
Communication regularly	.871	
Asking for support	.871	
Assistance	.892	

C. Focus Group Interviews (FGIs)

The Focus group interviews (FGIs) were applied to confirm the model through opinions of expert panels. FGI is a widely used exploratory and qualitative research method to enhance the understanding of the topic of interest (Patton 2002). A focus group is required about their perceptions, opinions, beliefs, and attitudes toward an objective of investigation. For this, participants in interactive group are able to free talk with other group members. In addition, the FGI can also be used to support results derived by quantitative method (Krueger and Casey 2000)

The FGI in this research was performed in 20th march 2015 with one moderator specialized in construction risk management and interviewees. The moderator played the role of the interviewer and interviewees consisted of six experts in the construction project risk management field as follows; 2 practitioners on construction project risk management implement attached to construction firms in Korea, 2 Ph.D. majoring in construction engineering affiliated to an institute, 1 architectural engineering Professor and 1 Ph.D. student.

From these procedures, several elements were excluded and eliminated after expert panel opinions. The descriptions of eliminated elements are as follows;

- The coaching, leadership style, natural authority, tenacity, relationship, morals and commitment elements are excluded from leadership competence.
- The working under stress element is excluded from self-control competence.
- The authority and personality elements are excluded from assertiveness competence.
- The broad non RM knowledge and flexibility elements are excluded from openness competence.
- The continuous improvement on results orientation, entrepreneurship and efficiency elements are excluded from results orientation competence.
- The motivation for efficiency element is excluded from efficiency competence.
- The body language, problem solving for negotiation, consensus management, negotiation firmly at the content and sharing the results of negotiation elements are excluded from negotiation competence.
- The building crisis management team and interpersonal skills elements are excluded from problem solving competence.
- The preparing communication plans, communication lines and acknowledgement of personal style of communication elements are excluded from communication competence.
- The maintaining an effective team element is excluded from teamwork competence.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This research described the development of individual behavioral competence model, which contains 11 competences and 76 elements, for construction project risk manager. In an effort to address this objective, this study first analyzed the individual behavioral competences of project management and derived the competences and elements through in-depth literature review. Then, the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and reliability analysis were applied to verify the validity and internal consistency of the individual behavior competences for customizing suitable to construction project risk manager. Lastly, this research confirm the model by applying Focus Group Interview (FGI) to reflect the opinions of expert panels.

The developed model in this study enables to diagnose current competence level of risk manager. And, the results of assessment would help them to obtain a clear view on individual behavioral competence in construction project risk management perspective. Also, individuals are able to progress their behavioral competence by improving the weaknesses based on the results of assessment. Finally, the developed model brings potential benefits that construction companies may assess themselves against key competitors to gain advantage in an international marketplace. Also, this study expands the literature relating to risk management in construction project.

Although the objectives of this study are achieved, there are some limitations to the conclusions that may be drawn from the results. The competences which is developed in this study may not be exhaustive with the passage of time. In addition, when the developed model is applied in construction project with other nationalities, the applicability of the individual behavior competences should be cautious.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by a grant (13AUDP-C067809-01) from Architecture & Urban Development Research Program funded by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport of Korean government.

REFERENCES

- [1] APM, Competence Framework. Association for Project Management, High Wycombe, 2008
- [2] A. R. Dainty, M. I. Cheng, D. R. Moore, "Competency-based model for predicting construction project managers' performance." *Journal* of Management in Engineering, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 2-9, 2005.
- [3] D. Arditi, G. Balci, "Managerial competencies of female and male construction managers." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 135, no. 11, pp. 1275-1278, 2009.
- [4] D. Cramer, "Introducing statistics for social research: Step-by-step calculations and computer techniques using SPSS", Routledge, London., 1994.
- [5] E. Monetti, S. A. Rosa da Silva, R. M. Rocha, "The practice of project risk management in government projects: A case study in Sao Paulo City.", Construction in developing economics: New issues and challenges, pp. 18 - 20. 2006.

- [6] F. T. Edum-Fotwe, R. McCaffer, "Developing project management competency: perspectives from the construction industry." *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 111-124, 2000.
- [7] H. Zhi, "Risk management for overseas construction projects.", International journal of project management, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 231-237, 1995.
- [8] I. I. Zoiopoulos, P. W. Morris, H. J. Smyth, "Identifying organizational competencies in project oriented companies: an evolutionary approach." *Management*, pp. 547 – 555, 2008.
- [9] I. Laili Jabar, F. Ismail, N. M. Aziz, N. A. I. Janipha, "Construction manager's competency in managing the construction process of IBS projects." *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 105, pp. 85-93, 2013.
- [10] International Project Management Association. "ICB-IPMA competence baseline version 3.0." International Project Management Association, Nijkerk, 2006.
- [11] J. Lampel, "The core competencies of effective project execution: the challenge of diversity." *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 471-483, 2001.
- [12] J.Y. Liu, P.X.W. Zou, W. Gong, "Managing project risk at enterprise level: exploratory case studies in China.", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 139, no. 9, pp. 1268-1274, 2013.
- [13] L.H. Crawford, "A global approach to project management competence." *Proceedings of the 1997 AIPM National Conference*, Gold Coast, Brisbane: AIPM, pp. 220–228, 1997.
- [14] L. Crawford, "Senior management perceptions of project management competence." *International journal of project management*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 7-16, 2005.
- [15] Project Management Institute (PMI), "Project manager Competency Development Framework", Newtown Square, Pennsylvania, USA, 2007.
- [16] R. A. Krueger, "Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research.", Sage, 2009.
- [17] R. Müller, R. Turner, "Leadership competency profiles of successful project managers." *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 437-448, 2010.
- [18] S. El-Sabaa, "The skills and career path of an effective project manager." *International journal of project management*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1-7, 2001.
- [19] S. H. Ji, M. Park, H. S. Lee, "Case adaptation method of case-based reasoning for construction cost estimation in Korea.", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 43-52, 2011.
- [20] S. H. Kwan, "Multivariate data analysis and application", Freedom Academy Publishing Co., Seoul, 72, 2008.
- [21] S. M. Trost, G. D. Oberlender, "Predicting accuracy of early cost estimates using factor analysis and multivariate regression." *Journal* of Construction Engineering and Management, vol. 129, no. 2, pp. 198-204, 2003.
- [22] S. Patil, "Competency-Based Organization for Project Management." In Proceedings of the 19th IPMA World Congress New Delhi, India. Retrieved July, vol. 8, pp. 2011, 2005.
- [23] S. Sharma, "Applied multivariate techniques", Wiley, New York, pp.116–123, 1996.
- [24] T. Raz, E. Michael, "Use and benefits of tools for project risk management." *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 9-17. 2001.
- [25] W. Imbeah, S. Guikema, "Managing Construction Projects Using the Advanced Programmatic Risk Analysis and Management Model.", Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, vol. 135, no. 8, pp. 772 - 781. 2009.
- [26] X. Zhao, B. Hwang, S. P. Low, "Developing fuzzy enterprise risk management maturity model for construction firms.", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 139, no. 9, pp. 1179-1189, 2013.